

Indian Journal of Entomology 85(4): 1084-1087 (2023)

# INFLUENCE OF TRICHOMES ON THE INCIDENCE OF TWO SPOTTED SPIDER MITE TETRANYCHUS URTICAE ON BRINJAL

K Siddhartha, C Chinniah\*, M Shanthi, C Rajamanickam<sup>1</sup> and M L Mini<sup>2</sup>

Department of Agricultural Entomology; <sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture; <sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Centre of Innovation Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai 625104, Tamil Nadu, India \*Email: prof.chinniahento@gmail.com (corresponding author)

## ABSTRACT

The present study evaluates the effect of host plant resistance by antixenosis (trichomes) in 40 brinjal entries to the two spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, an important pest of brinjal. The maximum trichome density was observed (719.15/0.5cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area) with the variety Namakkal local and minimum (276.82/0.5cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area) was with Tiruppur local. Stellate type of trichomes were observed on all the entries, with maximum length on the upper surface of the leaves being 200.18 µm on Namakkal local, and on lower surface of leaves (269.36 µm) on Chinthapalli local-2. Manapparai local measured 247.40 µm long on midrib and 206.84 µm on veins. The correlation coefficients between the trichome density, length and *T. urticae* incidence revealed a significant negative correlation. Arka Nidhi was found to be resistant (2.07/cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area) with low trichome density (427.50 µm).

**Key words:** *Tetranychus urticae*, brinjal, varietal resistance, leaf trichomes, upper and under surface, density, length, antixenosis, correlation coefficients

The brinjal Solanum melongena L. is cultivated in an area of 728.0 lakh ha with the annual production of about 126.60 lakh tonnes in India (Anonymous, 2018). This crop is attacked by more than eleven species of spider mites (Gupta, 1985). Among these, the two spotted spider mite Tetranychus urticae Koch is an extremely polyphagous and serious pest of at least 150 economically important crops including brinjal (Jeppson et al., 1975). It causes an estimated yield loss of 26.94 to 64.93% during severe infestation (Shukla et al., 2017). The host plant resistance through antixenosis results from the physical barrier of the plant that reduces the interaction between plant and herbivore (Gowthish et al., 2018). Ayyanar (2017) reported that the trichome density and length in brinjal had a negative impact on the incidence of sucking pests especially mites and observed that trichomes will hinder their movement and feeding. Jayabal et al. (2017) and Sheeba (2010) also confirmed these exhibiting a negative impact on the mites in okra varieties. The host plant resistance can be an important component to manage T. urticae. Hence, the present study evaluated the impact of trichome density and length in brinjal entries.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed materials including released popular varieties,

hybrids and local land races were collected from the Department of Olericulture, Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore; Annamalai University, Chidambaram; Vegetable Research Station, TNAU, Palur; Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, KAU, Vellanikkara and Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru; National Seeds Corporation (NSC). Local popular land races were obtained from the farmers directly and the popular hybrids were procured from seed companies. The seeds were sown in mud pots of convenient size for screening under in-vitro conditions. The screening was carried out in a polyhouse located in orchard of the Department of Horticulture, AC&RI, Madurai adopting CRD design with two replications. The trichome density and length were assessed after sufficient population buildup and damage symptoms. In the experiment, the plants were infested with 30 mites on 25th day after transplanting (a) one seedling/ pot. The mite incidence was assessed at 10 days interval after inoculation, and continued till the incidence is nil. Mite counts were made from the top, middle and bottom leaves of each plant, in 1 cm<sup>2</sup> area and the means were subjected to statistical analysis.

The trichome density from the leaf lamina, midrib and veins of all the entries were assessed adopting the methodology suggested by Maite et al. (1980). The

S.	Entries	Mite incidence/	Trichome length (µm)				No. of
No.		cm <sup>2</sup> leaf area	Linner surface	I ower surface	Midrib	Veins	trichomes/ 0.5
1	A	7.42 (2.91)ef	152 52 (12 27)	202 48 (14 25)	172 41 (12 15)	101.05 (12.50)	$cm^2$ area of leaf
1	Ariyalur local-1	$16.22(2.81)^{cr}$	152.52(12.37) 120.76(11.01)	202.48 (14.25)	1/2.41(13.15) 125.06(11.68)	181.85(13.50) 158.20(12.61)	560.98(23.70)
2	Ariyalur local-2	$10.22 (4.09)^{\text{m}}$	120.70(11.01) 164.22(12.84)	100.20(12.08)	133.90(11.08)	138.39(12.01) 102.25(12.02)	5/2.75(19.52)
5 1	Arka Ananu Arka Harabitha	$3.27(1.94)^{\circ}$	104.33(12.04) 156.75(12.54)	232.73(13.27) 205.53(14.25)	210.00(14.74) 102.51(12.90)	193.33(13.92) 192.62(12.57)	552 22 (22 52)
4	Arka Haisiittia	$(2.79)^{\circ\circ}$	150.75(12.34) 155.20(12.48)	203.33(14.33) 209.50(14.49)	192.31(13.09) 180.15(13.44)	183.03(13.37) 184.50(13.60)	535.52(25.55) 540.00(23.25)
6	Arka Nidhi	$2.07(1.60)^{a}$	135.20 (12.48)	209.30(14.49) 232.57(15.27)	210.22 (14.52)	201.94(14.23)	A27 50 (20.69)
7	Annamalai-1	$17.9(4.29)^{\text{m}}$	$123\ 50\ (11\ 14)$	162.25(12.76)	149 75 (12 26)	151.79(12.34)	365 32 (19 13)
8	Rhavani local	$655(266)^{cd}$	159 78 12 66)	227.60 (15.10)	205 66 (14 36)	197.75(12.94)	588 00 (24 26)
9		$16.32(4.10)^{jkl}$	122 78 (11 1)	$162\ 00\ (12\ 75)$	14754(1217)	157.80 (12.58)	388 75 (19 73)
10	Cbinthanalli	$740(281)^{\text{ef}}$	157 43 (12 57)	21758(1477)	199.95(14.16)	181 57 (13 49)	574 98 (23 99)
10	local-1	7.40 (2.01)	157.45 (12.57)	217.50 (14.77)	199.95 (14.10)	101.57 (15.47)	574.96 (25.99)
11	Chinthapalli local-2	2.25 (1.66) <sup>a</sup>	166.21 (12.91)	269.36 (16.43)	247.03 (15.73)	203.50 (14.28)	703.77 (26.54)
12	Gottivada local	14.27 (3.84) <sup>i</sup>	130.00 (11.42)	157.25 (12.56)	151.30 (12.32)	161.30 (12.72)	377.33 (19.44)
13	Guntur local-1	15.47 (4.00) <sup>j</sup>	106.52 (10.34)	159.30 (12.64)	144.56 (12.04)	153.70 (12.42)	379.17 (19.49)
14	Guntur local-2	17.37 (4.23) <sup>lm</sup>	111.48 (10.58)	136.65 (11.71)	124.55 (11.18)	126.79 (11.28)	349.87 (18.72)
15	Haritha	7.48 (2.82) <sup>ef</sup>	148.65 (12.21)	228.13 (15.12)	169.57 (13.04)	186.99 (13.69)	550.25 (23.47)
16	Irapaduguda	16.30 (4.10) <sup>jkl</sup>	125.95 (11.24)	158.85 (12.62)	149.67 (12.25)	158.84 (12.62)	328.33 (18.13)
17	Kovilpatti local	7 05 (2 75)cdef	146 88 (12 14)	222 88 (14 95)	171 25 (13 11)	174 80 (13 24)	534 50 (23 13)
18	Manannarai	$3 47 (1 99)^{b}$	140.00(12.14) 165.72(12.80)	222.00(14.00) 236.31(15.30)	247.40(15.71)	206.84(14.40)	684 00 (26 16)
10	local	5.47 (1.99)	105.72 (12.07)	250.51 (15.57)	247.40 (13.74)	200.04 (14.40)	004.00 (20.10)
19	Madurai local	7.38 (2.81) <sup>ef</sup>	147.38 (12.16)	221.48 (14.90)	180.52 (13.45)	185.28 (13.63)	584.78 (24.19)
20	Mahy-91	15.52 (4.00) <sup>j</sup>	106.98 (10.37)	140.05 (11.86)	149.36 (12.24)	159.90 (12.67)	346.00 (18.61)
21	MDU-1	15.82 (4.04) <sup>jk</sup>	114.63 (10.73)	143.69 (12.01)	139.75 (11.84)	145.30 (12.07)	392.82 (19.83)
22	Musiri local-1	7.08 (2.75) <sup>cdef</sup>	150.38 (12.28)	222.60 (14.94)	198.71 (14.11)	172.62 (13.16)	543.17 (23.32)
23	Musiri local-2	7.15 (2.77) <sup>cdef</sup>	147.88 (12.18)	219.08 (14.82)	198.02 (14.09)	187.87 (13.72)	566.92 (23.82)
24	Musiri local-3	7.33 (2.8) <sup>def</sup>	152.07 (12.35)	217.95 (14.78)	178.71 (13.39)	189.90 (13.80)	556.42 (23.60)
25	Namakkal local	3.20 (1.92) <sup>b</sup>	200.18 (14.17)	271.59 (16.50)	223.15 (14.95)	198.26 (14.10)	719.15 (26.83)
26	Palur-2	11.65 (3.49) <sup>g</sup>	134.90 (11.64)	195.15 (13.99)	154.31 (12.44)	173.66 (13.20)	449.92 (21.22)
27	Pusa purple	11.48 (3.46) <sup>g</sup>	138.25 (11.78)	169.55 (13.04)	153.75 (12.42)	166.95 (12.94)	450.92 (21.25)
20	Swethe	772(297)f	150 40 (12 20)	210.06(11.01)	196 20 (12 67)	199 40 (12 74)	540 92 (22 27)
20	Swettia	$1.12(2.07)^{2}$	130.49(12.29) 120.17(11.20)	210.00(14.01) 214.42(14.66)	100.30(13.07) 102.70(12.04)	100.40(15.74) 175.06(12.29)	340.85(25.27)
29	(No. 132)	18.10 (4.51)	129.17 (11.39)	214.43 (14.00)	193.79 (13.94)	175.96 (15.28)	317.25 (17.83)
30	Simran	15.12 (3.95) <sup>ij</sup>	131.20 (11.480	151.04 (12.31)	151.61 (12.33)	122.40 (11.09)	395.83 (19.91)
31	Surya	7.47 (2.82) <sup>ef</sup>	159.71 (12.66)	203.58 (14.29)	184.02 (13.58)	172.33 (13.15)	546.15 (23.38)
32	Telikecherla	16.00 (4.06) <sup>jk</sup>	112.93 (10.65)	154.68 (12.46)	150.08 (12.27)	160.21 (12.68)	361.23 (19.02)
22	Tiruppur local	$20.52(4.58)^n$	110 60 (10 06)	120.38 (10.00)	112 70 (10 64)	138 10 (11 78)	276 82 (16 65)
37	Tuni local	20.32(4.38)	119.00(10.90) 157.38(12.56)	120.38(10.33) 210.00(14.85)	112.79(10.04) 185.06(13.65)	138.19 (11.78)	270.82(10.03) 501 58(24.33)
34	LIGIE 4411	$12.78(2.04)^{h}$	137.38(12.30) 145.21(12.07)	219.90(14.03) 200 56 (14.18)	165.90(15.05) 156.35(12.52)	167.38(12.06)	371.38(24.33) 471.83(21.73)
36	Uiala	$7 37 (2 81)^{def}$	149.21(12.07) 149.53(12.25)	200.50(14.18) 222.65(14.94)	103.73(12.52)	107.38(12.90) 181.70(13.50)	553 60 (23 54)
30	Vijav	16.07(2.01)	149.33(12.23) 128.25(11.35)	157 28 (12 56)	193.73(13.94) 151.16(12.32)	161.70(13.30) 163.03(12.82)	335.00(23.34) 376.83(10.43)
51	$(\Delta RBH_005)$	10.77 (+.10)	120.23 (11.33)	137.20 (12.30)	131.10 (12.32)	105.75 (12.02)	570.05 (17.45)
38	VNR Kanchi	11 37 (3 45)g	135 97 (11 68)	186 50 (13 67)	152 00 (12 30)	160 56 (12 69)	474 83 (21 80)
30	VNR Kirti	7 03 (2 74) cdef	155.77(11.00) 157.63(12.57)	211 73 (14 57)	207 31 (14 42)	170.30(12.09) 170.32(12.11)	571.82(21.00)
59	$(\Delta RBH-555)$	1.05 (2.14)	137.03 (12.37)	211.75 (14.57)	207.31 (14.42)	1/7.52 (13.41)	5/1.02 (23.92)
40	VNR Hthal	6 92 (2 72)cdef	152 81 (12 38)	216 50 (14 73)	204 85 (1/ 33)	172 03 (13 14)	551 50 (23 40)
SEA	THE OTEAL	0.72 (2.72)	0 5010	0 5022	0 5122	0 5308	0 3505
CD	(n=0.05)	0.1570	1 106/	1 1001	1 0355	1 0010	0.3303
001	P 0.00)	0.1570	1.1704	1.1771	1.0555	1.0710	0.7004

Table 1. Screening of brinjal entries for resistance to T. urticae

\* Each value mean of two replications; Figures in parentheses square root transformed values

number of trichomes/ half cm<sup>2</sup> was counted under a stereozoom microscope (Carl Zeiss Stemi 508) at 10x magnification. The trichome length was also measured by using the same leaf bit used for trichome density assessment (Laichattiwar et al., 2018). The data from pot culture and laboratory experiments were subjected to ANOVA using AGRESS software, and significance evaluated by F-tests, while the significance of difference between the treatment mean values was compared by LSD (p=0.05). Correlation and regression coefficients were computed to find out the relationship between the mite incidence and trichome density and length, using SPSS ver.17.0 software.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The trichome density on leaves revealed highly significant differences among the brinjal entries screened, maximum being in the leaves of Namakkal local  $(719.15/0.5 \text{ cm}^2)$  while the minimum was with the Tiruppur local (276.82/0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) (Table 1). Ayyanar (2017) also observed maximum trichome density on resistant entry IC 249358 (652/cm<sup>2</sup>) and the least (236/ cm<sup>2</sup>) with IC 261801 which is a highly susceptible one. Jayanthi et al. (2018) also observed similar variations in density of trichomes in brinjal varieties on both adaxial  $(76.66 \pm 1.90)$  and abaxial surfaces  $(121.58 \pm 2.02)$ , exhibiting a positive correlation between trichomes on adaxial and abaxial surfaces. Nain et al. (2017) with seven genotypes of okra observed similar effects of trichomes in okra. Exceptionally, Arka Nidhi which was identified as a resistant entry  $(2.07/\text{ cm}^2 \text{ leaf area})$ recorded low trichome density of (427.50/ 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area). Sarma (2010) observed Arka Nidhi with the least mite incidence (8.15 mites/ 6.25 cm<sup>2</sup>) among 12 brinjal entries screened against T. macfarlanei. The correlation coefficients (r) between total trichome density and T. urticae incidence revealed that the number of trichomes/ 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area exhibits a significant negative correlation (r = -0.9195). These observations agree with those of Sarma (2010), Mutthuraju (2013), Mahendrakumar (2016), Ayyanar (2017) who observed that trichome density exhibited a significant negative correlation with mite incidence in brinjal.

The data on trichome length revealed highly significant differences among the brinjal entries, with maximum being observed on the upper surface of Namakkal local (200.18  $\mu$ m), lower surface of Chinthapalli local-2 (269.36  $\mu$ m), midrib of Manapparai local (247.40  $\mu$ m), and veins of Manapparai local (206.84  $\mu$ m). The least trichome length was observed

with Guntur local-1 (106.52  $\mu$ m) on upper surface, Tiruppur local (120.38 µm) on lower surface, Tiruppur local (112.79 µm) on midrib, and Simran (122.40 µm) on vein. Ayyanar (2017) observed that the incidence decreased with increase in length of trichomes, and trichomes in the lower surface of leaves were longer in brinjal. Star shaped (multi-radiate, stellate and non-glandular) trichome having one vertical hair and 7-8 horizontal hairs were observed in all the entries. Bayoumy et al. (2017) also reported that brinjal leaves had smooth and soft surfaces with stellate trichomes on both adaxial and abaxial surfaces of leaves. Jayabal et al. (2017) also observed similar trichomes in okra, with longer ones being observed in highly resistant and resistant entries. The correlation coefficients (r) between trichome length and T. urticae incidence revealed that the length on the upper and lower surfaces, those on midrib and veins exhibit a significant negative relationship (r = -0.905, -0.901, -0.862, -0.861, respectively). These observations are in conformity with those of Jayabal et al. (2017) and Ayyanar (2017). The multiple linear regression between T. urticae incidence and trichome length revealed a significantly high value ( $R^2 = 0.87$ ), implying that these trichome length contributed directly towards the population buildup of T. urticae on brinjal entries to an extent of 87.65%. Thus, it is concluded that host plant resistance (antixenosis) observed with brinjal's morphological characters like trichome density and length exhibit a significant negative impact on the incidence of T. urticae.

#### REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2018. National Horticulture Board, Area and production of horticulture crops for 2018 - 19 (3rd advance estimates).
- Ayyanar S. 2017. Studies on diversity, temporal trend screening for resistance and biorational management of sucking pests of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Ph D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai. 68-112 pp.
- Bayoumy M H, Awadalla S S, El-Gendy M A, El-Lawatay N E. 2017. Comparative morphology and chemical composition of plant leaf and their relation with population density of certain piercingsucking insect pests. Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology 8(2): 31-37.
- Gowthish K, Muthukumaran N, Selvanarayanan V. 2018. Antixenosis resistance in blackgram against leaf caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* Fab. Multilogic in Science 8: 62-65.
- Gupta S K. 1985. Handbook plant mites of India. Zoological Survey of India. Kolkata. 520 pp.
- Jayabal T D, Chinniah C, Kalyanasundaram M. 2017. Impact of trichomes on the incidence of two spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) on okra *Abelmoschus esculentus* L.(Moench). Journal of Entomology and Zoological Studies 5(6): 2540-2546.
- Jayanthi P D, Ravindra M A, Kempraj V, Roy T K, Shivashankara K

Influence of trichomes on the incidence of two spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* on brinjal 1087 K Siddhartha et al.

S, Singh T H. 2018. Morphological diversity of trichomes and phytochemicals in wild and cultivated brinjal species. Indian Journal of Horticulture 75(2): 265-272.

- Jeppson L R, Keifer H H, Baker E W. 1975. Mites injurious to economic plants: Univ of California Press. 614 pp.
- Laichattiwar M A, Meena R S, Singh P S. 2018. Physio-Morphic characters of different varieties/ genotypes against population fluctuation of sucking pests of brinjal *Solanum melongena* (L.). International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 11(2): 409-414.
- Mahendrakumar B N. 2016. Biology, population dynamics and screening of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) varieties against two spotted red spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. M Sc Thesis, Navasari Agricultural University, Navsari. 123-126 pp.
- Maite R K, Bidinger F R, Sheshu Reddy K V, Davies J C. 1980. Nature and occurrence of trichomes in sorghum lines with resistance to the sorghum shoot fly, Joint Progress Report. Sorghum physiology-3 Sorghum Entomology-3 ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. 159 pp.

- Mutthuraju G P. 2013. Investigations on host plant resistance mechanisms in brinjal (Solanum melongena Linn.) to two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). Ph.D Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. pp. 91-104.
- Nain J, Kumar D, Mehta S K, Yadav N K, Saini V K. 2017. Effect of structural traits of okra genotypes on biology of two spotted mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acarina: Tetranychidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5(2): 819-823.
- Sarma A R S. 2010. Seasonal incidence and management of brinjal mite *Tetranychus* spp. Ph D Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. 69-72 pp.
- Sheeba J R S. 2010. Host plant resistance in okra against two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. Ph D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. 61-88 pp.
- Shukla A, Radadia G G, Hadiya G D. 2017. Estimation of loss due to two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) infesting brinjal. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science 6: 2145-2150.

(Manuscript Received: February, 2021; Revised: June, 2021; Accepted: July, 2021; Online Published: October, 2021) Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e21040