

# EFFICACY OF CERTAIN INSECTICIDES AGAINST SUCKING PESTS AND PHYLLODY IN SESAMUM

# P DIVYA\*, S DHURUA<sup>1</sup>, P SEETHARAMU<sup>2</sup>, M S V CHALAM<sup>2</sup> AND S GOVINDARAO<sup>3</sup>

Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur 522034, India <sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, Agricultural College, Naira 532185, Andhra Pradesh, India Agricultural Research Station, Seethampet 532443, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Entomology, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati 517502, Andhra Pradesh, India <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics and Computer Applications, Agricultural College, Naira 532185, Andhra Pradesh, India

\*Email: divyaponnangi97@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0001-7952-260X

#### ABSTRCT

A field experiment was conducted at the Agricultural college, Naira during rabi, 2020-21 on the control of major insect pests on sesamum viz., leafhopper *Hishimonus phycitis* (Distant) and whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) and phyllody disease. The results revealed that *thiamethoxam* 25WG @ 0.25 g/l was very effective against both the pests with 72.2 and 50.5% reduction in incidence, respectively. Thiamethoxam was also effective against phyllody (PDI of 5.72), and gave a maximum seed yield of 6.50 q/ ha and B:C ratio (1:4.7). Seed treatment with imidacloprid 17.5SL @ 5 ml/ kg seed stood as the next best with B:C ratio of 1:3.7.

Key words: Sesamum, whitefly, leafhopper, phyllody, seed treatment, thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, yield, cost benefits

The sesamum Sesamum indicum L. is an oilseed crop and India ranks third in the world with 19.47 lakh ha with a productivity of 470 kg/ ha. (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of India, 2019-2020). The crop is attacked by 38 insect pests (Rai, 1976), among which leafhopper Hishimonus phycitis (Distant) and whitefly Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) are the major sucking pests. Both nymphs and adults of these suck the cell sap from leaves, flowers and pods. Due to this curling of leaf margins, stunted growth of the plants occur. Leafhopper is also responsible to transmit phyllody diseases in sesamum (Ahirwar et al., 2010). There is scope of utilizing the certain new insecticide molecules effective in small quantity against these. Keeping this in view, this study evaluates the efficacy of certain insecticides against these.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the Agricultural college, Naira ( $83.56^{\circ}E$ ,  $18.23^{\circ}N$ , 27 masl) in the north coastal zone of Andhra Pradesh during rabi, 2020-2021. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments including untreated check, and replicated thrice. Plot size was 20 m<sup>2</sup> with spacing of 30x 10 cm with the variety YLM- 66. The treatments include T<sub>1</sub>: Seed

treatment with imidacloprid 17.5 SL @ 5ml/ kg seed, T<sub>2</sub>: thiamethoxam 25WG @ 0.25g/l, T<sub>2</sub>: diafenthiuron 50WP @ 1.6g/l, T<sub>4</sub>: pymetrozine 50WG @ 0.6g/l, T<sub>5</sub>: spinosad 45SC @ 0.33 g/l, T<sub>6</sub>: novaluron 10EC @ 1.0 ml/ l,  $T_{7}$ : chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC @ 0.3 ml/ l,  $T_{8}$ : chlorantraniliprole 9.3% +  $\lambda$  cyhalothrin 4.6% @ 0.5ml/ l, T<sub>9</sub>: acephate 75 WP @ 1.5 g/ l, T<sub>10</sub>: untreated check. When the pest reached its respective ETLs level, first spraying was done at 35 days after sowing. Precount of insect pests were recorded one day prior to the spraying, with post-treatment counts on 5<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 15 days after spraying. Counts on number of pests/ plant were recorded from randomly selected 10 plants from each treatment by excluding border rows. The data on PDI of phyllody was taken 10 days interval after spraying. The data on number of leafhoppers and whiteflies/ plant were subjected to ANOVA. The % reduction in incidence was calculated using modified Abbots formula (Flemming and Ratnakaran 1985,). These data were subjected to ANOVA with arc sine value transformation (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) using OPSTAT 2021 software, V 11.9.08. The PDI and benefit cost ratio were computed using standard methodology.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSSION**

The data from Table 1 reveals that the *H. phycitis* 

					No. of leaf	No. of leathoppers/3 leaves/plant	aves/plant				No. of w	No. of whitefly/3 leaves/plant	es/plant	
S. No	Treatments	Dose (ml or g/l)	1 DBS	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Overall mean	Reduction Over Control (%)	1 DBS	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Overall mean	Reduction over control (%)
-	Seed treatment with Imdiacloprid 17.5SL	5ml / kg seed	1.31 (1.13)	0.98 0 0.99) <sup>bod</sup>	1.53 (1.24) <sup>bodef</sup>	1.68 (1.25) <sup>b</sup>	1.36 (1.16) <sup>bd</sup>	52.7%	10.10 (3.17)	10.60 (3.25) <sup>bcde</sup>	14.50 (3.81) <sup>defg</sup>	11.33 (3.36) <sup>b</sup>	13.24 (3.63) <sup>bc</sup>	20.48%
7	Thiamethoxam 25WG	0.25g/l	1.67 (1.29)	0.43 (0.65) <sup>a</sup>	0.90 (0.95) <sup>a</sup>	0.80 0.89) <sup>a</sup>	0.80 (0.89) <sup>a</sup>	72.2%	10.77 (3.28)	5.70 (2.38) <sup>a</sup>	7.03 (2.65) <sup>a</sup>	5.80 (2.23) <sup>a</sup>	8.23 (2.87) <sup>a</sup>	50.5%
3	Diafenthiuron 50WP	1.6g/l	1.36 (1.16)	1.00 (0.99) <sup>bcde</sup>	1.26 (1.12) <sup>abc</sup>	2.20 (1.48) <sup>be</sup>	1.34 (1.15) <sup>bc</sup>	53.4%	10.57 (3.24)	12.33 (3.50) <sup>bcdefgh</sup>	14.47 (3.80) <sup>def</sup>	14.00 (3.73) <sup>bcde</sup>	11.60 (3.40) <sup>b</sup>	30.3%
4	Pymetrozine 50WG	0.6g/l	1.47 (1.20)	0.97 (0.98) <sup>4</sup>	1.19 (1.06) <sup>ab</sup>	1.90 $(1.37)^{bc}$	1.28 (1.13) <sup>b</sup>	55.5%	7.27 (2.44)	11.30 (3.32) <sup>bcde</sup>	12.30 (3.50) <sup>bcde</sup>	12.93 (3.59) <sup>bcd</sup>	14.67 (3.82) <sup>bcdef</sup>	11.8%
S.	Spinosad 45SC	0.33g/l	1.37 (1.16)	1.13 (1.05) <sup>bcdefg</sup>	1.45 (1.20) <sup>bcd</sup>	1.97 (1.38) <sup>bcd</sup>	1.51 (1.23) <sup>bce</sup>	47.5%	10.63 (3.26)	9.43 (3.05) <sup>bc</sup>	11.07 (3.30) <sup>bcd</sup>	12.33 (3.51) <sup>bc</sup>	15.67 (3.96) <sup>defgh</sup>	6%
9	Novoluron 10EC	1.0ml/l	1.33 (1.15)	1.37 (1.15) <sup>bcdefg</sup>	1.60 (1.24) <sup>bcdef</sup>	2.63 (1.61) <sup>cdef</sup>	1.67 (1.29) <sup>bcdefg</sup>	42.1%	10.50 (3.24)	11.67 (3.40) <sup>bcdefg</sup>	11.47 (3.37) <sup>bcd</sup>	15.67 (3.96) <sup>bcdef</sup>	13.33 (3.64) <sup>bcd</sup>	20%
Г	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC	0.3ml/l	1.77 (1.33)	1.17 (1.07) <sup>bcdefgh</sup>	1.85 (1.34) <sup>cdefh</sup>	2.27 (1.50) <sup>bcdef</sup>	1.93 (1.39) <sup>defghi</sup>	32.9%	10.37 (3.22)	11.37 (3.33) <sup>bcdef</sup>	12.03 (3.25) <sup>b</sup>	17.33 (4.16) <sup>cdefghij</sup>	13.83 (3.72) <sup>bcde</sup>	16.9%
×	Chlorantraniliprole $9.3\% + \lambda$ Cyhalothrin 4.6%	0.5ml /l	1.36 (1.1.7)	1.25 (1.17) bcdefghi	1.70 (1.30) <sup>bcdefg</sup>	2.87 (1.65) <sup>cdefg</sup>	1.78 (1.34) <sup>bcdefgh</sup>	38%	11.03 (3.22)	9.27 (3.02) <sup>b</sup>	10.83 (3.27) <sup>be</sup>	16.23 (4.03) <sup>bcdefgh</sup>	14.67 (3.83) <sup>bedefg</sup>	11.8%
6	Acephate 75 WP ( standard check )	1.5g/l	1.39 (1.18)	1.03 (1.01) <sup>bedef</sup>	1.87 (1.37) <sup>defghi</sup>	3.07 (1.75) <sup>efgh</sup>	1.59 (1.26) <sup>bcdef</sup>	44.7%	11.77 (3.42)	13.67 (3.69) <sup>defghi</sup>	11.37 (3.36) <sup>bcd</sup>	16.00 (4.00) <sup>bcdefg</sup>	14.33 (3.77) <sup>bcdef</sup>	13.9%
10	Untreated check F test		1.72 (1.31) NS	2.80 (1.67) Sig	3.35 (1.83) <sup>j</sup> Sio	3.80 (1.95) <sup>gh</sup> Sig	2.88 (1.68) <sup>j</sup> Si <i>o</i>		12.50 (3.54) NS	14.37 (3.77) <sup>defghij</sup> Sig	15.63 (3.94) <sup>efgh</sup> Sig	17.07 (4.13) <sup>cdefghi</sup> Sig	16.65 (4.88) <sup>i</sup> Sig	
	SEm± CD (p= 0.05) CV		0.05 - 7.52	0.08 0.23 12.42	0.08 0.24 11.09	0.11 0.33 12.77	0.07 0.21 9.17		0.27 - 14.34	0.19 0.57 10.14	0.17 0.51 8.67	0.25 0.75 11.57	0.15 0.44 6.94	

-
0-2
202
rab
t ratio rab
efit cost
Ц
ly and be
ody
yll
ph
sesamum phyl
ticides against sesa
/ of insec
le 2. Efficacy
Table 2.

No.	Treatments	Mean % Disease Index (PDI)	sease Index DI)	Yield (q/ha)	Increase in yield over	Cost of insecticides	Total cost of	Gross returns	Net returns (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio
		Pre	10 days		untreated	(Rs/ha)	cultivation	(Rs/ha)		
		spraying	after		check		(Rs/ha)			
			1 <sup>st</sup> spray		(q/ha)					
	Seed treatment with Imdiacloprid 17.5SL	15.07	36.90	4.80	1.30	350	7850	36000	28150	1:3.7
	5ml / kg seed	(22.82)	$(37.4)^{hi}$							
	Thiamethoxam 25WG	20.73	5.72	6.50	3.00	1010	8510	48750	40240	1:4.7
	0.25g/1	(27.65)	$(13.82)^{a}$							
	Diafenthiuron 50WP	18.67	34.67	4.35	0.85	2500	10000	32625	22625	1:2.2
	1.6g/1	(25.57)	$(36.04)^{h}$							
	Pymetrozine 50WG	17.80	10.60	5.50	2.00	1500	0006	41250	32250	1:3.5
	0.6g/1	(24.90)	$(18.92)^{b}$							
	Spinosad 45SC	16.50	14.70	5.20	1.70	6500	14000	39000	25000	1:1.7
	0.33g/1	(23.92)	(22.47) <sup>bcde</sup>							
	Novoluron 10EC	16.60	10.73	4.90	1.40	1100	8600	36750	28150	1:3.2
	1.0ml/1	(23.94)	$(19.07)^{bcd}$							
	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC	15.47	11.60	5.70	2.20	4500	12000	42750	30750	1:2.5
	0.3ml/1	(23.00)	$(19.06)^{bc}$							
	Chlorantraniliprole 9.3%+\lambda Cyhalothrin 4.6%	13.87	19.63	4.29	0.79	1940	9440	32175	22735	1:2.4
	0.5ml / 1	(21.77)	$(26.30)^{\rm efg}$							
	Acephate 75 WP (standard check)	15.80	18.83	4.18	0.68	600	8100	31350	23250	1:2.8
	1.5g/1	(23.55)	$(25.33)^{\rm ef}$							
10	Untreated check	20.17	44.23	3.50		ı	7000	26,250	19,250	1:3.0
		(26.55)	$(41.08)^{i}$							
	F test	NS	Sig.							
	SEm±	1.27	1.37							
	CD (p=0.05)	ı	4.10							
	CV%	9.07	9.06							

Efficacy of certain insecticides against sucking pests and phyllody in sesamum P Divya et al.

989

incidence ranged from 1.31 to 1.72/ plant before treatment and the difference between treatments were non-significant. After the first spray all the treatments were significantly superior over untreated check, of which thiamethoxam 25WG @ 0.25g/ 1 resulted in significantly less incidence (0.80/ plant) with 72.2% reduction. Pymetrozine 50WG @ 0.6ml/ l, diafenthiuron 50WP @ 1.6 g/l and seed treatment with imidacloprid 17.5SL @ 5ml/ kg seed were the next best and on par with each other. With B. tabaci incidence before treatment counts ranged from 10.10 to 12.50/ plant with insignificant variations. But with thiamethoxam 25WG @ 0.25 g/l the incidence reduced to 8.23/ plant, with 50.5% reduction followed by diafenthiuron 50WP (a, 1.6 g/l (11.60/ plant) with 30.3% reduction. The next best treatments were seed treatment with imidacloprid 17.5SL @ 5ml/ kg seed, novaluron 10EC @ 1.0ml/ l, chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC (a) 0.3 ml/ l. These observations on the efficacy of insecticides against H. phycitis corroborates with those of Saradava (2004) on sucking pests infesting groundnut under dry farming conditions. Imidacloprid 0.005% and thiamethoxam 0.05 % were the most effective against sucking pests like leafhoppers, aphids and whiteflies of okra. Sharma and Lal (2002) observed that thiamethoxam @ 25 g a.i./ ha is effective against whiteflies in brinjal. Mhaske and Mote (2005) also found imidacloprid 22.5 g/ ha and thiamethoxam 50 g/ ha as effective against whiteflies in brinjal. Naik et al. (2009) also found that thiamethoxam (a) 0.005% was the most effective and significantly superior in brinjal. As regards phyllody incidence, it ranged from 15.07 to 20.17 before treatment, and the mean PDI values at 10 days after 1st spray was from 5.72 to 44.23; thiamethoxam 25WG (a)0.25 g/1 (5.72) resulted in 87% reduction in PDI, and pymetrozine 50WG @ 0.6 ml/ 1 and novaluron 10EC (a) 1.0ml/l were the next best (Table 2). Maximum B:C ratio of 1: 4.7 was obtained with thiamethoxam 25WG (a) 0.25 g/l with maximum net returns of Rs 40240/ha (Table 2). Seed treatment with imidacloprid 17.5SL @ 5ml/ kg seed, pymetrozine 50WG @ 0.6ml/l and novaluron 10EC @ 1.0ml/l were the next best.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The senior author acknowledges the Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur and Agricultural College, Naira for providing facilities.

## FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The senior author acknowledges the Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University. Lam, Guntur for providing financial support.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Divya conducted the original experiment and wrote the original manuscript and done the data analysis. Chalm and Govindarao contributed the editing, conceptualization, visualization and supervision during the writing of manuscript.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahirwar R M, Gupta M P, Banerjee S. Field efficacy of natural and indigenous products on sucking pests of sesame. 2010. Indian Journal of Natural Product Resources. 1(2): 221-226.
- Fleming R, Retnakaran A. 1985. Evaluating single treatment data using Abott's formula with reference to insecticides. Journal of Economic Entomology 78(6): 1179-1181.
- Gomez, K A, Gomez, A A. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, John Wiley and Sons. pp.644-645.
- Ghosh J, Chaudhuri, N, Roy G. 2016. Bio-efficacy of Thiamethoxam 25 WG% against sucking pests of Okra under terai region of West Bengal. International journal of science, Environment. 5(3): 1217-1225.
- Mhaske B M, Mote U N. 2005. Studies on evaluation of new insecticides against brinjal pest complex. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 230: 303-306.
- Naik V C B, Arjuna Rao P, Krishnayya P V, Chalam. M S V. 2009. Seasonal incidence and management of *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius and *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida of Brinjal. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 17: 9-13.
- Rai B K. 1976. Pests of sesamum. In pests of oilseed crops in India and their control, Indian council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi, pp.120.
- Saradava D A. 2004. Management of sucking pests infesting groundnut under dry farming conditions. M Sc (Ag.) Thesis. Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. 58 pp.
- Sharma D, Lal O P. 2002. Bioefficacy of thiamethoxam in comparison to recommended insecticides against leafhopper and white fly of brinjal. (*Solanum melongena* L.). Journal of Entomological Research 16(3): 257-262.
- Sri P C. Bodh (Chief Adviser). 2019. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of India, New Delhi.138. http://eands. dacnet.nic.in. (15/06/2021).

(Manuscript Received: March, 2022; Revised: May, 2022; Accepted: May, 2022; Online Published: June, 2022)

Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e22120