



EFFICACY OF HEXANE EXTRACTS OF SOME PLANTS AGAINST RICE WEEVIL *SITOPHILUS ORYZAE* (L.) IN STORED MAIZE

N ANANDHABHAIRAVI, M SHANTHI*, C CHINNIAH, R GEETHA¹ AND S VELLAIKUMAR²

Department of Agricultural Entomology; ¹Department of Seed Science and Technology;
²Department of Biotechnology, Agricultural College and Research Institute (AC&RI),
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Madurai 625104, Tamil Nadu, India
*Email: mshanthiento@tnau.ac.in (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

Hexane extracts of certain botanicals were evaluated in the laboratory for their oral, contact, fumigant toxicity and repellent activity at 5% concentration against rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* L. at the Natural Pesticide Laboratory, Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, Tamil Nadu during 2019-2021. The results revealed that all the botanicals were effective. Considering the contact toxicity, 5% hexane extract of *Mentha spicata* 5% (80.00%) performed better at 72 hr after treatment. *M. spicata* and *Vitex negundo* 5% hexane extract exhibited maximum oral toxicity (90.00%). Fumigant effect was maximum in *V. negundo* (73.33%), *M. spicata*, *Ocimum sanctum* and *Tagetes erecta* flower extracts (70.00%). *Ocimum sanctum* (70.56%) and *M. spicata* (67.22%) exhibited maximum repellency. Thus, *M. spicata* at 5% was the most effective as contact, oral, fumigant toxicant and repellent against *S. oryzae* in stored maize.

Key words: *Sitophilus oryzae*, hexane extracts, botanicals, contact toxicity, oral toxicity, repellency, fumigant, *Mentha spicata*, *Vitex negundo*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Curcuma longa*.

Maize is the major cereal crop in the world after wheat and rice. In developing countries, maize production and consumption often falls below demand as a result of post-harvest losses due to storage pests (Mohale et al., 2010). Post-harvest losses by stored insect pests amount to 9% in developed countries and 20% or more in developing countries (Philips and Throne, 2010). In stored maize, rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is the most destructive both in field and storage and caused losses of 30-80%. One pair of *S. oryzae* can reproduce about one million of its species within a period of 3 months under favourable conditions (Thomas et al., 2002). Adults are internal feeders and cause vertical infestation (Prakash et al., 1987). Already registered insecticides are few in number and fumigant chemicals are in restriction for use (Philips and throne, 2010). To combat these problems, botanical insecticides could be viable as component of IPM. Plant materials with insecticidal properties are locally readily available, affordable, relatively it is nonpoisonous to human health and less detrimental to the environment (Talukder and Howse, 1995). Plants contain a large number of secondary metabolites viz., terpenoids, alkaloids, glycoside, phenols, tannins etc., which play a major role in plant defense and cause behavioural and physiological effects on insects. Thus,

in recent years research is being focused on evaluating and developing botanical insecticides in view of their relative safety to the environment. The present study evaluates the toxicity of hexane plant extracts from some plants against *S. oryzae* under laboratory conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted during 2019-2021, at the Natural Pesticide Laboratory (9.925°N, 78.119°E), Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, Tamil Nadu. Stock culture of *S. oryzae* was collected from the Department of Millets, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore and maintained in the laboratory (30± 2° C; 70± 5%RH0. Maize grains were kept in hot air oven at 40°C for 48 hr to eliminate possible insect infestation from the field. Adult weevils (200 nos.) were released in plastic jars (1 l capacity) filled with clean, undamaged and sterilized 500 g of whole maize grains and covered with perforated plastic lids, and kept undisturbed until adult emergence. The F1 generation of the weevils emerged from the culture were used for the bioassay. All experiments were carried out under the same insect rearing conditions. A total of 11 botanicals were evaluated in comparison with *Acorus calamus*

1% as treated check, acetone as positive control and untreated check as negative control.

The botanicals viz., *Citrus aurantium*, *Curcuma longa*, *Eucalyptus globulus* *Lantana camara*, *Mentha spicata*, *Murraya koenigii*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Ricinus communis*, *Tagetes erecta* and *Vitex negundo* were obtained from the healthy, mature and succulent leaves/ rhizomes/ flowers. The plant parts were washed with distilled water and air dried for a week under shade at room temperature of 29-31°C and macerated into fine powder using an electric grinder and sieved through sieve, with 0.1 mm pore size, to obtain uniform particle size. The leaf powders were stored separately in plastic containers and placed in a dark place until used for extraction. Dried leaf/ rhizome/ flower powders (100 g) were separately soaked in 1000 ml hexane (non-polar solvent) and stirred in a magnetic stirrer at 2000 rpm for 2 hr and left to stand for 24 hr. Later, the contents were carefully filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The extract was concentrated in rotary vacuum evaporator at 40°C under reduced pressure to get the crude hexane extract. The residue obtained was weighed and % recovery yield was estimated. The residue was stored in amber coloured glass vials (wrapped with aluminium foil) and maintained in a refrigerator (4 °C) until bioassay.

The contact toxicity of hexane extract at 5% was evaluated by treating circular discs of Whatman No. 1 filter paper (9 cm dia) and exposed to 10 weevils in petri dish, covered with vaseline coated lid. Each treatment was replicated thrice, and observations on dead insects made after 24, 48 and 72 hr of treatment and expressed as % mortality (Ashamo and Akinnawonu, 2012). The oral toxicity was evaluated by thorough coating of the maize seeds (10 g) with 5% hexane extract and five pairs of adults were released. The treated seeds were placed in plastic jars and secured with cotton cloth and rubber bands. The number of dead weevils was recorded after 3, 5, 7, 9 and 15 days of treatment (Rani Selva et al., 2019) and expressed as % mortality; any mortality in control was corrected using Abbott formula (1925). The fumigant effect of hexane extracts (5%) was evaluated by impregnating the filter paper discs (1.5 cm dia.) and it was attached to inner surface of screw cap of glass vials (25 ml) after evaporating the solvent. Ten weevils were released into the vials with 20 maize seeds separately. The neck of the vials was blocked with nylon cloth to avoid direct contact of insects with paper disc (Jayakumar et al., 2017). Mortality was observed after 24, 48 and 72 hr exposure. Each treatment and control

were replicated thrice. The repellent activity of botanical extracts was investigated by the method described by Talukdar and Howse (1994). The repellency treatment was replicated thrice and *S. oryzae* present on the treated and untreated halves was recorded at hourly interval from one to 24 hr. Data was expressed as % repulsion (PR) following the formula (Valsala and Gokuldas, 2015).

$$\% \text{ repellency } (\%) = \frac{\text{NC}-\text{NT}}{\text{NC}+\text{NT}} \times 100$$

Where, NC = % of weevils present in the control half, and NT = % of weevils present in the treated half. Based on % repellency, the concentrations were grouped into different classes. The repellency class 0 included 0.01-<0.1% repellency, 0.1-20 is grouped under class I, 20.1-40 is grouped under class II, 40.1-60 is grouped under class III, 60.1-80 is grouped under class IV and 80.1-100 is grouped under class V. All the experiments were done in completely randomized design (CRD). The % mortality and repellency determined was transformed to arcsine values and statistically analyzed for ANOVA using SPSS for windows (version 16) software. Grouping of data were done by using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results revealed that the all the botanicals exhibited toxicity against *S. oryzae*, while the treated check *Acorus calamus* 1% was efficient than the other treatments. The phytochemical recovery using hexane (non-polar solvent) from the selected botanicals ranged from 1.01 to 5.35%; leaves of *E. globulus* yielded the maximum of 5.35% followed by 3.43% in *C. longa* rhizome and 3.20% in *C. aurantium* leaves; *M. spicata* extract showed maximum contact toxicity (80.00%) after 72 hr of treatment, and it was followed by *V. negundo*, *O. sanctum* and *E. globulus* (70.00%) (Table 1). Earlier Kumar et al. (2009) reported that *M. arvensis* essential oil caused 100% contact toxicity at 200µl l⁻¹ against *Callosobruchus chinensis*. Earlier reports on *V. negundo* showed that 6% acetone extract was toxic against *S. granarius* (72.22%) (Rahman et al., 2003), leaf aqueous extracts 10% against *C. chinensis* in black gram (Jayaraj et al., 2019) and admixture of *V. negundo* leaf powder 2% against *C. maculatus* (36.10%) (Manju et al., 2019). Hexane extracts of *Ocimum suave* caused mortality of *S. zeamais* (Opiyo, 2020) *E. globulus* oil caused mortality of *S. oryzae* at 2 µl/ 500 cm³ (Patil et al., 2019).

Table 1. Toxicity of hexane extracts of certain botanicals against *S. oryzae* adults

Treatments	Mean mortality (%)			Insect repellency (%)						Mean Repellency	Class repellency
	Contact toxicity @ 72 hrs	Oral toxicity @ 15 DAT	Fumigation toxicity @ 72 hrs	1 hr	2 hr	3 hr	4 hr	6 hr	24 hr		
<i>C. aurantium</i>	63.33	73.33	66.67	56.67	50.00	56.67	63.33	63.33	63.33	58.89	III
5%	(52.77) ^c	(59.00) ^d	(54.78) ^{ab}	(48.84) ^{bc}	(45.00) ^{cd}	(48.84) ^{cde}	(52.77) ^{bc}	(52.77) ^{bc}	(52.77) ^{def}	(50.17) ^{fg}	
<i>C. longa</i> 5%	63.33	76.67	60.00	50.00	56.67	56.67	63.33	66.67	66.67	60.00	III
	(52.77) ^c	(61.21) ^{cd}	(50.77) ^b	(45.00) ^{cd}	(48.84) ^{bcd}	(48.84) ^{cde}	(52.77) ^{bc}	(54.78) ^{bc}	(54.78) ^{cde}	(50.83) ^{efg}	
<i>E. globulus</i>	70.00	70.00	66.67	60.00	63.33	66.67	63.33	66.67	73.33	65.56	IV
5%	(56.99) ^c	(56.99) ^d	(55.06) ^{ab}	(50.76) ^{bc}	(52.77) ^{bc}	(54.78) ^{bc}	(52.86) ^{bc}	(54.78) ^{bc}	(59.00) ^{bc}	(54.16) ^{cd}	
<i>L. camara</i>	66.67	76.67	63.33	53.33	56.67	46.67	60.00	56.67	63.33	56.11	III
5%	(54.78) ^c	(61.21) ^{cd}	(52.77) ^{ab}	(46.92) ^{bcd}	(48.92) ^{bcd}	(43.07) ^c	(50.85) ^{bcd}	(48.84) ^c	(52.77) ^{def}	(48.56) ^g	
<i>M. spicata</i>	80.00	90.00	70.00	63.33	63.33	66.67	70.00	70.00	70.00	67.22	IV
5%	(63.43) ^b	(71.56) ^b	(56.79) ^{ab}	(52.77) ^b	(52.77) ^{bc}	(54.78) ^{bc}	(56.79) ^b	(56.99) ^{bc}	(56.79) ^{bcd}	(55.15) ^{bc}	
<i>M. koenigii</i>	63.33	80.00	66.67	36.67	50.00	46.67	46.67	60.00	60.00	50.00	III
5%	(52.77) ^c	(63.92) ^{bcd}	(54.77) ^{ab}	(37.22) ^e	(44.99) ^{cd}	(42.99) ^e	(43.07) ^d	(50.85) ^c	(50.76) ^{ef}	(44.98) ^h	
<i>O. sanctum</i>	70.00	86.67	70.00	63.33	70.00	70.00	73.33	76.67	70.00	70.56	IV
5%	(56.99) ^c	(68.85) ^{bc}	(56.99) ^{ab}	(52.77) ^b	(56.99) ^{ab}	(56.79) ^b	(59.00) ^b	(61.21) ^b	(56.78) ^{bcd}	(57.25) ^b	
<i>R. communis</i>	66.67	73.33	66.67	53.33	56.67	63.33	70.00	66.67	76.67	64.44	IV
5%	(54.78) ^c	(59.00) ^d	(54.77) ^{ab}	(46.92) ^{bcd}	(48.84) ^{bcd}	(52.77) ^{bc}	(56.79) ^b	(54.78) ^{bc}	(61.21) ^{ab}	(53.55) ^{cde}	
<i>T. erecta</i> 5%	66.67	86.67	63.33	53.33	53.33	60.00	60.00	70.00	70.00	61.67	IV
	(55.07) ^c	(68.85) ^{bc}	(52.85) ^{ab}	(46.92) ^{bcd}	(46.92) ^{cd}	(50.85) ^{bcd}	(50.76) ^{bcd}	(56.79) ^{bc}	(59.00) ^{bc}	(51.87) ^{def}	
<i>T. erecta</i> 5%	60.00	73.33	70.00	43.33	43.33	50.00	50.00	56.67	56.67	50.00	III
	(50.76) ^c	(59.20) ^d	(56.99) ^{ab}	(41.15) ^{de}	(41.15) ^d	(45.00) ^{de}	(44.99) ^{cd}	(48.84) ^c	(48.84) ^f	(45.00) ^h	
<i>V. negundo</i>	70.00	90.00	73.33	53.33	56.67	56.67	60.00	70.00	73.33	61.67	IV
5%	(56.79) ^c	(71.56) ^b	(58.99) ^a	(46.92) ^{bcd}	(48.84) ^{bcd}	(48.84) ^{cde}	(50.85) ^{bcd}	(56.79) ^{bc}	(59.00) ^{bc}	(51.87) ^{def}	
<i>A. calamus</i>	100.00	100.00	93.33	83.33	80.00	90.00	86.67	93.33	100.00	88.89	V
1%	(89.50) ^a	(89.50) ^a	(77.54) ^a	(66.14) ^a	(63.43) ^a	(71.56) ^a	(68.85) ^a	(77.54) ^a	(89.50) ^a	(72.83) ^a	
(Standard check)											
Acetone (Control)	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Untreated check	(0.66) ^d	(0.66) ^e	(0.00) ^c								
Mean	60.00	69.76	59.29	55.83	58.33	60.83	63.89	68.06	70.56	62.92	-
SED	2.95	3.58	4.10	2.49	3.68	3.32	3.88	3.84	2.47	1.33	

Figures in parentheses arcsine transformed values; In a column, mean followed by same letter not significantly different from each other; DMRT ($p \leq 0.05$); SED: Standard Error of the difference.

Extracts of *M. spicata* and *V. negundo* 5% showed maximum oral toxicity (90.00%) followed by *O. sanctum* and *T. erecta* leaf extract (86.67%) after 72 hr of treatment. Demetre et al. (2021) reported that 5% essential oil of *M. arvensis*, *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* showed 100, 99.00 and 97.0% mortality of *S. granarius*, respectively after 24 hr of treatment (Table 1). Oil from *V. negundo* leaves showed high overall toxicity to the adults of *S. zeamais* (Liu et al., 2009). Soujanya et al. (2016) showed that the *V. negundo* acetone leaf extract caused 73.30% mortality of *S. oryzae*; *O. sanctum* 2% recorded 36.50% mortality of *S. oryzae* (Deb et al., 2015); and methanol: hexane blend extract of *O. basilicum* was most effective against *S. zeamais* (Ouko et al., 2017). Bandana Bhau et al. (2010) reported that the admixture of *T. erecta* leaf powder caused 78.00%

mortality of *S. oryzae* adults in rice. Maximum fumigant toxicity was exhibited by *V. negundo* 5% (73.33%) and it was statistically on par with the treated check *A. calamus* followed by *M. spicata*, *O. sanctum* and *T. erecta* flower extract (70.00%), after 72 hr of treatment (Table 1). Kathirvelu and Senthoo Raja (2015) reported that the *V. negundo* extracts caused 70.00% fumigant toxicity. Kathirvelu et al. (2012) found that the biotablets made of *V. negundo* performed better in causing mortality. The present results agree with those of Kathirvelu and Senthoo Raja (2015) who reported that the *M. piperita* acetone extracts caused 63.00% mean mortality and 70.00% mortality of weevils after 5th day of fumigation; *O. canum* and *O. basilicum* caused 80.00% mortality. Ouko et al. (2017) stated that the hexane extracts of *O. basilicum* had potent toxic activity against *S. zeamais*.

The results on the repellency of hexane extracts against *S. oryzae* showed that maximum repellency was found in *O. sanctum* 5% - 70.56% followed by *M. spicata* 5% - 67.22%. Srinivasan et al. (2003) reported that the *O. sanctum* extracts displayed 90.00% repellency against *S. oryzae* in stored maize. Kumar et al. (2009) observed that the repellent activity of *M. arvensis* was to an extent of 85% at 200 μ l l⁻¹ against *C. chinensis* after 30 min of exposure (Table 1). These confirm the contact, oral and fumigant toxicity and repellent effect of *M. spicata*, *V. negundo*, *O. sanctum* and *E. globulus*. The contact toxicity due to *Mentha* spp. treatment is attributed to the presence of carvone, which was identified in our GC-MS-MS analysis (data not included). The contact toxicity due to *Mentha* spp. treatment is attributed to the presence of carvone identified in our GC-MS-MS analysis (data not included). Carvone were reported to present in *M. spicata* and possessed contact toxicity effect on *Tribolium castaneum* (Mansoori et al., 2020), and contact and fumigant toxicity on *S. zeamais* (Herrera et al., 2014). Many studies have shown maximum toxicity of ketones against *Sitophilus* in contact and fumigant tests (Lee et al., 2003; Tripathi et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2011; Germinara et al., 2012). Present GC-MS-MS analysis revealed that *V. negundo* and *O. sanctum* possessed the bioactive principle β -caryophyllene, as shown by Liu et al. (2010). β -caryophyllene is responsible for the contact toxicity to *S. oryzae*, and the adult was most sensitive to it with the LD50 of 0.159 μ l/cm² (Chaubey, 2012). Caryophyllene and germacrene D exhibited ovipositional suppression on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Adebayo and Gbolade, 1994).

REFERENCES

- Abbott W S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18(2): 266-267.
- Adebayo T A, Gbolade A A. 1994. Protection of stored cowpea from *Callosobruchus maculatus* using plant products. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 15: 185-216.
- Ashamo M O, Akinnawonu O. 2012. Insecticidal efficacy of some plant powders and extracts against the Angoumois grain moth, *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 45(9): 1051-1058.
- Bhau B, Mahajan Gaurav, Yadav M K, Singh S P. 2010. Effect of botanicals on *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *Environment and Ecology* 28(3): 1620-1622.
- Chaubey M K. 2012. Responses of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) against essential oils and pure compounds. *Herba Polonica* 58(3).
- Deb S, Borad P K, Gadhiya V C. 2015. Evaluation of some botanical materials and synthetic insecticides against *Sitophilus oryzae* L. on stored maize. *Pesticide Research Journal* 27 (2): 237-241.
- Demetre S, Lebbe O, Hecq F, Nicolis S C, Kenne Kemene T, Martin H, Fauconnier M L, Hance T. 2021. Insecticidal activity of 25 essential oils on the stored product pest, *Sitophilus granarius* Foods 10: 200.
- Germinara G, A De Cristofaro, G Rotundo. 2012. Bioactivity of short-chain aliphatic ketones against adults of the granary weevil, *Sitophilus granarius* (L.)
- Gomez K A, Gomez A A. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons. 680 pp.
- Herrera J M, Zunino M P, Massuh Y, Pizzolito R P, Dambolena J S, Gañan N A, Zygadlo J A. 2014. Fumigant toxicity from five essential oils rich in ketones against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky). *Agriscientia* 31(1): 35-41
- Jayakumar M, Arivoli S, Raveen R, Tennyson S. 2017. Repellent activity and fumigant toxicity of a few plant oils against the adult rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* Linnaeus 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 5(2): 324-335.
- Jayaraj J, Srinivasan G, Shanthi M. 2019. Pre-harvest and storage treatment of botanicals on *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in black gram. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 81(4): 727-729.
- Kathirvelu C, Kanagarajan R, Mahalakshmi K. 2012. Formulating botanical fumigant tablet with five plant species and screening them against stored grain pests in laboratory. Proc. International Conference on Science and Technology for Clean and Green Environment, Dept. of Zoology, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu. pp. 307-310.
- Kathirvelu C, Senthoo Raja R. 2015. Efficacy of selected plant extracts as insecticidal fumigant against certain stored grain insect pests under laboratory conditions. *Plant Archives* 15 (1): 259-266.
- Kumar A, Shukla R., Singh P, Singh A K, Dubey N K. 2009. Use of essential oil from *Mentha arvensis* L. to control storage moulds and insects in stored chickpea. *Journal of the science of Food and Agriculture* 89(15): 2643-2649.
- Lee S, Peterson C J, Coats J R. 2003. Fumigation toxicity of monoterpenoids to several stored product insects. *Journal of Stored Product Research*. 39: 77-85.
- Liu C B, Xue M, Liu Y Q, Liu A H, Wang H T. 2009. Insecticidal components and toxicity of *Vitex negundo* (Lamiaceae: Verbenaceae) essential oil to *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and their action mechanisms. *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 52: 159-167.
- Liu Y, Xue M, Zhang Q, Zhou F, Wei J. 2010. Toxicity of β -caryophyllene from *Vitex negundo* (Lamiaceae: Verbenaceae) to *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its action mechanism. *Acta Entomologica Sinica*. 53(4): 396-404.
- Liu Z, S. Chu, G Jiang. 2011. Toxicity of *Schizonepeta multifida* essential oil and its constituent compounds towards two grain storage insects. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 91: 905-909.
- Manju K, Jayaraj J, Shanthi M. 2019. Efficacy of botanicals against pulse beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) in green gram. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 81(1): 144-147.
- Mansoori S, Bahmanyar H, Jafari Ozumchelouei E, Najafipour I. 2020. Investigation and optimisation of the extraction of carvone and limonene from the Iranian *Mentha spicata* through the ultrasound-assisted extraction method. *Indian Chemical Engineer* 14: 1-0.
- Mohale S, Allotey J, Siame B A. 2010. Control of *Tribolium confusum* J. Duval by diatomaceous earth (protect- ittm) on stored groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and *Aspergillus flavus* link spore dispersal. *African Journal of Food Agriculture Nutrition and Development* 10(6): 2678-2694.

- Ogendo J O, Deng A L, Belmain S R, Walker D J, Musandu A A O. 2004. Effect of insecticidal plant materials, *Lantana camara* L. and *Tephrosia vogelii* Hook, on the quality parameters of stored maize grains. *Journal of Food Technology in Africa* 9(1): 29-35.
- Opiyo S A. 2020. Insecticidal activity of *Ocimum suave* wild extracts and compounds against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky. *Basic Sciences of Medicine* 9(2): 32-37.
- Ouko R O, Koech S C, Arika W M, Njagi, S M, Oduor R O, Ngugi M P. 2017. Bioefficacy of organic extracts of *Ocimum basilicum* against *Sitophilus zeamais*. *Entomology Ornithology and Herpetology* 6 (190): 2161-0983.
- Patil N B, Adak T, Gowda G B, Pandi G, Annamalai M, Golive P, Rath P C, Jena M. 2019. Toxicity and repellency of essential oils against rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *Indian Journal of Entomology* 81(3): 575-584.
- Philips T W, Throne J E. 2010. Biorational approaches to managing stored-product insects. *Annual Review of Entomology* 55: 375-397.
- Prakash A, Rao J, Pasalu I C, Mathur K C. 1987. Rice storage and insect pest management. B R Publishing Corporation, Delhi. 429 pp.
- Rahman M A, Taleb M A, Biswas M M. 2003. Evaluation of botanical product as grain protectant against grain weevil, *Sitophilus granarius* (L.) on wheat. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences* 2(6): 501-504.
- Rani Selva S, Gailce Leo Justin C, Sheeba Joyce Roseleen S. 2019. Evaluation of plant extracts against rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in stored sorghum seeds. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 8(8): 154-159.
- Soujanya P L, Sekhar J C, Kumar P. 2016. Efficacy of plant extracts against rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) in stored maize. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 78(4): 342-345.
- Srinivasan R, Uthamasamy S, Mohan S, B. Usharani. 2003. The Effect of three plant products on *Sitophilus oryzae*. *E. Plant Protection Bulletin* 55(1): 2.
- Talukder F A, Howse P E. 1994. Laboratory evaluation of toxic repellent properties of the pithraj tree, *Aphanamixis polystachya* wall and parker, against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *International journal of pest management* 40: 274-279.
- Talukder F A, Howse P E. 1995. Laboratory evaluation of toxic and repellent properties of the pitharaj tree, *Aphanamixis polystachya* Wall and Parker, against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *International Journal of Pest Management* 40: 274-279.
- Thomas K J, Selvanayagam M, Raja N, Ignacimuthu S. 2002. Plant products in controlling rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae*. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research* 61: 269-274.
- Tripathi A K, Prajapati V, Kumar S. 2003. Bioactivities of l-carvone, d-carvone, and dihydrocarvone toward three stored product beetles. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 96(5): 1594-1601.
- Valsala K K, Gokuldas M. 2015. Repellent and oviposition deterrent effects of *Clerodendrum infortunatum* on the pulse beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 3(4): 250-253.

(Manuscript Received: May, 2021; Revised: June, 2021;
Accepted: June, 2021; Online Published: August, 2021)
Online published (Preview) in www.entosocindia.org Ref. No. e21109