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ABSTRACT

Pink stem borer Sesamia inferens causes deadheart and white ear symptoms by boring into stem and peduncle region causing yield losses in barnyard millet. A field trial to find out efficacy of cartap hydrochloride 4G, chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC, flubeniamide 20WG, emamectin benzoate 5SG, spinetoram 11.7SC and phorate 10G against S. inferens was carried out in Tamil Nadu. Spinotram 11.7SC (92.63%) was the most effective followed by flubeniamide 20% WG (90.56%). Phorate 10G (81.57%) was found to be less effective. Plots treated with spinotram 11.7SC gave maximum yield (9.57 q/ ha) with the cost benefit ratio of 1:3.08 followed by flubeniamide 20% WG (8.91 q/ ha) with the cost benefit ratio of 1:3.42. Phorate 10 G was the least performing treatment with 29.62% increase in yield over control with the cost benefit ratio of 1:2.84. Control plot recorded 44.5% less yield when compared to treated plots.
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Barnyard millet (Echinochloa frumentacea Link) belongs to the family Poaceae, is a multi-purpose crop cultivated for both food and fodder (Gomashe, 2017). It is a very good source of nutrients like proteins and dietary fibers. The grains are good source of carbohydrates, fibers and minerals like zinc and iron when compared to other major cereals (Renganathan et al., 2020). The nutritional contents per 100g of barnyard millet grains are 11.6 g protein, 74.3 g carbohydrates, 5.8 g fat, 14 mg calcium, 15.2 mg iron, 14.7 g crude fibers, 121 mg phosphorus, 4.4 mg minerals and 300 k.cal of energy (Changmei and Dorothy, 2014).

The demand for this crop has been recently hiked because of its high nutrient content. Barnyard millet is damaged by several insect pests like defoliators, stem borers and sap feeders. Among them, pink stem borer, Sesamia inferens Walker (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) is a serious pest in barnyard millet (Gahukar and Reddy, 2019). In peninsular India, it causes more damage throughout the year (Santhosh et al., 2008). Adults lay eggs inside the leaf sheath in clusters. After hatching, the larva bores into the stem and feeds inside. During panicle emergence, the infestation causes white chaffy panicles which is termed as white ear (Reddy et al., 2003). Though many studies have been done for the management of pink stem borer in different crops, yet no study is done on their infestation and control in barnyard millet. Hence, the present study was undertaken to identify the suitable insecticides for the management of S. inferens in barnyard millet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the fields of Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai. The efficacy of six insecticides viz., cartap hydrochloride 4G, chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC, flubeniamide 20WG, emamectin benzoate 5SG, spinetoram 11.7SC and phorate 10G were evaluated in MDU-1 variety of barnyard millet. All the recommended agronomic practices were followed except plant protection chemicals. The pretreatment count was taken one day before every spray. Two rounds of insecticidal spray were given on 30 and 50 days after germination. The granules were applied in the leaf whorls and others were given as foliar spray. The total number of tillers and deadhearts were counted in 10 randomly selected plants from each plot at 5, 10 and 15 days after spray. The % deadheart, % white ear, % reduction over control and increase in yield over control were calculated (Kumar, 2018). The economics like cost of cultivation, net returns and cost benefit ratio in different treatments were calculated based on the yield data and market price of barnyard millet using the formula given by Sidar et al. (2017). The experiment was carried out using RBD with each treatment replicated thrice. The data collected from each plot were processed to arcsine and
Table 1. Efficacy of insecticides against *S. inferens* and effect on yield of barnyard millet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trt. No.</th>
<th>Insecticides</th>
<th>Dosage (a.i./ha)</th>
<th>PTC 5 DAS</th>
<th>First spray (% incidence)</th>
<th>Second spray (% incidence)</th>
<th>Percentage incidence (pooled mean)</th>
<th>Cumulative % reduction over control</th>
<th>Yield (q/ha)</th>
<th>Gross return (Rs.)</th>
<th>C:B Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Cartap hydrochloride 4% G</td>
<td>750 g (25.78)</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>(13.63)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(12.26)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>(14.31)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>86.66</td>
<td>1:2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC</td>
<td>30 m/ha (29.26)</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>88.71</td>
<td>1:3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Flubendiamide 20% WG</td>
<td>25 g (24.62)</td>
<td>18.44</td>
<td>(12.18)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(9.11)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>(11.15)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>90.56</td>
<td>1:3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Emamectin benzoate 5% SG</td>
<td>9.5 g (26.24)</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>85.55</td>
<td>1:2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Spinetoram 11.7% SC</td>
<td>50 g (25.14)</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>92.63</td>
<td>1:3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Standard check</td>
<td>1 kg (25.00)</td>
<td>17.87</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>81.57</td>
<td>1:2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Phorate 10 G</td>
<td>- (25.72)</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>34.31</td>
<td>43.48</td>
<td>45.47</td>
<td>39.51</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>1:2.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

One day before first spray the pretreatment count of deadheart damage ranged from 17.87 to 19.56%. The data were analyzed using GLM software to determine the transformed mean values using Fisher’s Least Significant Difference (LSD, p=0.05) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). The maximum damage was in emamectin benzoate SSG (4.97). On 10 days after spray, the highest damage was in spinetoram (11.7%). Five days after spraying spinetoram, 11.7% SC (4.57) recorded the lowest deadheart damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (4.45). On 15 days after spray, spinetoram 11.7% SC (1.9) recorded the least deadheart damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (1.8). The maximum damage was in emamectin benzoate SSG (6.79) and phorate 10G (6.79). The maximum white ear damage was in spinetoram 11.7% SC (7.29) and phorate 10G (7.29). The maximum white ear damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (7.29) and phorate 10G (7.29). The treatment phorate 10G recorded the highest damage of 7.29%.

The cumulative white ear damage (17.87 to 19.56%) was recorded in phorate 10G. The most effective treatment to control the white ear damage was spinetoram 11.7% SC (88.71), followed by chlorantraniliprole 4G (88.66) and flubendiamide 20WG (88.52). The least reduction in white ear damage was in chlorantraniliprole 4G (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The least reduction in white ear damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The treatment phorate 10G recorded the highest damage of 7.81%.

The cumulative white ear damage (17.87 to 19.56%) was recorded in phorate 10G. The most effective treatment to control the white ear damage was spinetoram 11.7% SC (88.71), followed by chlorantraniliprole 4G (88.66) and flubendiamide 20WG (88.52). The least reduction in white ear damage was in chlorantraniliprole 4G (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The least reduction in white ear damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The treatment phorate 10G recorded the highest damage of 7.81%.

The cumulative white ear damage (17.87 to 19.56%) was recorded in phorate 10G. The most effective treatment to control the white ear damage was spinetoram 11.7% SC (88.71), followed by chlorantraniliprole 4G (88.66) and flubendiamide 20WG (88.52). The least reduction in white ear damage was in chlorantraniliprole 4G (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The least reduction in white ear damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The treatment phorate 10G recorded the highest damage of 7.81%.

The cumulative white ear damage (17.87 to 19.56%) was recorded in phorate 10G. The most effective treatment to control the white ear damage was spinetoram 11.7% SC (88.71), followed by chlorantraniliprole 4G (88.66) and flubendiamide 20WG (88.52). The least reduction in white ear damage was in chlorantraniliprole 4G (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The least reduction in white ear damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The treatment phorate 10G recorded the highest damage of 7.81%.

The cumulative white ear damage (17.87 to 19.56%) was recorded in phorate 10G. The most effective treatment to control the white ear damage was spinetoram 11.7% SC (88.71), followed by chlorantraniliprole 4G (88.66) and flubendiamide 20WG (88.52). The least reduction in white ear damage was in chlorantraniliprole 4G (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The least reduction in white ear damage followed by flubendiamide 20WG (7.81) and phorate 10G (7.81). The treatment phorate 10G recorded the highest damage of 7.81%.
and net return were calculated based on the price of barnyard millet grains (One quintal = Rs. 5700). The cost benefit ratio was maximum in flubendiamide 20% WG (1:3.42) followed by chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (1:3.31), spinetoram 11.7% SC (1:3.08) and phorate 10 G (1:2.84). Less CBR was recorded in emamectin benzoate 5% SG (1:2.78) and cartap hydrochloride 4% G (1:2.49).

Previous studies found that cartap hydrochloride 4G was the most effective against \textit{S. inferens} in finger millet (Sasmal, 2018), Spinosad 45SC recorded highest % yield increase (Deole et al. 2017) in maize. Sahu and Deole (2017) found emamectin benzoate as the most effective. It is concluded that spinetoram 11.7SC and flubendiamide 20WG are the superior ones and can be recommended against \textit{S. inferens} with maximum cost benefit ratio.
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