

BEHAVIOURAL RESPONSES OF THE SOLITARY ENDOPARASITOID COTESIA VESTALIS (HALIDAY) TO DAMAGED CABBAGE

Abuzid I^{1*}, Mansour S², Khalid A Saad³, Kermani Nadia⁴, Idris A B⁵, Mohamad Roff M N⁶, Mohd Hanifah Y⁶, Shukri M⁶ and Mirad R⁷

 ¹Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tripoli, PO Box 13275, Tripoli, Libya
 ²Department of Zoology, Omar Al-Mukhtar University, PO Box 919 Al-Bayda, Libya
 ³Department of Biology, Faculty of Education, Derna University, Libya
 ⁴Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Zawia University, Zawia, Libya
 ⁵School of Environmental and Natural Resource Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
 ⁶Agrobiodiversity Environment Research Centre, MARDI Headquarter Persiaran, MARDI-UPM, 43400, Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
 ⁷Horticulture Research Centre and Strategic Resources Research Centre, MARDI Headquarter Persiaran, MARDI-UPM, 43400, Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
 *Email: iabuzid2011@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0002-2789-1574

ABSTRACT

Cotesia vestalis (Haliday) is the key biological control agent of *Plutella xylostella* L, the diamond back moth. This study investigated the influence of induced chemical defenses in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) on the olfactory responses of *C. vestalis* females. Herbivores trigger plants to release more volatile organic compounds (VOCs), attracting natural enemies. This study hypothesized that *C. vestalis* would be more attracted to specific volatiles emitted from differently herbivore-damaged cabbage compared to undamaged plants. Y-olfactometer experiments revealed that *C. vestalis* females were significantly more attracted to volatiles emitted by *P. xylostella*-damaged cabbage. This preference was also observed for plants damaged by *Crocidolomia* spp. Gas chromatography analysis confirmed qualitative and quantitative differences in volatile profiles between damaged and undamaged cabbage. Findings suggest that induced plant volatiles play a critical role in attracting *C. vestalis* to host plants, highlighting the potential for using plant-mediated attraction to enhance biological control strategies.

Key words: *Cotesia vestalis, Plutella xylostella*, parasitoids, herbivorous, plant volatiles, Brassica, Y-olfactometer, screen cage, bioassays, plant mediated attraction, IPM, olfactory responses

Plants have evolved sophisticated chemical defenses, continuously producing defensive compounds regardless of herbivore attack (Parsons, 2021). When attacked, plants release volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that attract natural enemies like parasitic wasps and predators (Dicke, 1999). These VOC emissions can vary depending on the attacking herbivore species, allowing plants to tailor their defenses (Dicke, 1999; Bruinsma and Dicke, 2008). VOCs released by plants serve as a chemical signal that attracts parasitoids to the location of their host insects (Saini et al., 2019; Takabayashi and Shiojiri, 2019; Blažytė-Čereškienė et al., 2022). By attracting herbivore enemies, these plant-induced volatiles offer protection against herbivory (Shiojiri et al., 2010; Dicke, 1999). While individual compounds can attract natural enemies, blends of numerous compounds are often used in nature (Takabayashi et al., 2006). The combination of relatively common VOCs in a particular way creates a specific blend that acts as a signal for target acquisition by parasitoids (Blažytė-Čereškienė et al., 2022). The structure of these blends can be complex and specific, varying qualitatively and quantitatively depending on the plant and herbivore species (Pierre et al., 2011).

The intricate olfactory system of insects governs behaviour critical for their survival and reproduction. This system plays a pivotal role in enabling insects to detect food sources, avoid unsuitable hosts, select suitable oviposition sites, locate mates, and even evade natural enemies (Cao et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). *Cotesia vestalis*, a specialist solitary endoparasitoid of the diamond back moth (DBM) *Plutella xylostella*, is a major global pest of cruciferous plants (Shi et al., 2008). While studies have shown that *C. vestalis* is attracted to volatiles emitted from infested host plants (Furlong et al., 2013), the influence of damage types on the parasitoid's olfactory response remains largely unexplored. This study investigates the olfactory responses of *C. vestalis* females to volatiles emitted from cabbage plants (*Brassica oleracea*) that have been subjected to four different damage types. It was hypothesize that *C. vestalis* will exhibit a greater attraction to specific volatiles emitted from plants damaged by herbivores compared to undamaged plants. The findings of this study will contribute to a better understanding of the role of plant volatiles in mediating the interactions between herbivores, plants, and parasitoids, with potential implications for enhancing biological control strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cabbage plants (Brassica oleracea L.) were cultivated in a greenhouse for 4-5 weeks to the 6-leaf stage. Seedlings were grown in pots with soil, watered twice daily, and fertilized less than three times at two-week intervals. Adults and larvae of *Plutella* xylostella were collected from small farms near Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Mass rearing occurred on potted cabbage plants in a controlled environment (27± 2° C, $60 \pm 5\%$ RH, 16 hr photoperiod) using small netting cages (Abuzid et al., 2014a). Adults were fed a 20% honey and newly hatched larvae received fresh cabbage plants. Field-collected larvae (both parasitized and non-parasitized) were used to establish a stock colony of the parasitoid wasp C. vestalis (Kermani et al., 2014). Briefly larvae were housed with cabbage leaves in cages and monitored for parasitoid cocoon formation. Emerged wasps were fed a honey solution. Larvae of cabbage leaf webber Crocidolomia pavonana were collected from fields near Serdang, Malaysia. Rearing followed the methods used for DBM (Abuzid et al., 2014a). The aphid Lipaphis erysimi Kaltenbach (Homoptera: Aphididae) was collected from Chinese mustard plants and reared on the same plant species in screened cages under controlled conditions (27±2°C, 60± 5% RH).

Y-Tube olfactometer assay was used to test the response of female *C. vestalis* to volatile compounds from cabbage plants (Girling et al., 2011). Briefly, females were released into the Y-tube and allowed to choose between airflow-carrying volatiles from undamaged plants or plants infested with DBM larvae, *C. pavonana* larvae, aphids, or mechanically damaged. The effect of cabbage plant volatiles on *C. vestalis* was evaluated in a screen cage experiment at a UKM University greenhouse. Cabbage plants at the 6-leaf

stage were damaged mechanically or infested with DBM larvae, C. pavonana larvae, or aphids (details on damage levels provided in [reference for damage levels]). Damaged and undamaged plants were placed in screen cages, and mated C. vestalis females were released. The number of wasps found on each plant was counted at various time points (1, 2, 3, 6, and 24 hr) to assess their preference. Volatile compounds from undamaged and damaged cabbage plants were collected using headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) following established protocols (Risticevic et al., 2010). Briefly, plants were enclosed in jars for one hour, and volatiles were absorbed into an SPME fibre. Collected volatiles were analyzed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) with a DB-5 msec column (Saad et al., 2014). Compounds were identified by comparison of the mass spectra with those available in the library of the GC-MS system of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Data were pooled and transformed (x + 0.5)to normalize and handle zero values. Parametric tests, including one-way ANOVA and paired t-tests, were used to compare VOC profiles across damage types, as well as to analyze data from the Y-tube and screen cage experiments to determine significant differences in C. vestalis behaviour. All analyses were conducted in Minitab version 16.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cotesia vestalis females exhibited differential olfactory responses to volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by cabbage damaged by various herbivores. In a Y-tube olfactometer assay, naive parasitoids were significantly attracted to VOCs from cabbage plants damaged by their specialist host DBM larvae, compared to undamaged plants. Similarly, *C. vestalis* females showed a preference for VOCs from plants damaged by *Crocidolomia pavonana* larvae, another chewing herbivore. Conversely, no significant attraction was observed towards plants damaged by aphids or mechanically damaged plants (Fig. 1). These findings align with previous studies demonstrating



undamaged (control) (*Brassica oleracea*) and DBM, crocidolomia, aphids, and mechanical damaged cabbage plants tested in Y-olfactometer

Behavioural responses of the solitary endoparasitoid *Cotesia vestalis* (Haliday) to damaged cabbage 3 Abuzid I et al.

that herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPVs) play a crucial role in parasitoid host location (Geervliet et al., 1994). The qualitative and quantitative differences in VOC profiles triggered by herbivore feeding likely provide crucial information for C. vestalis to distinguish between host and non-host infested plants, as well as undamaged ones (Paré and Tumlinson, 1999). Yang et al. (2016) identified specific plant volatiles like trans-2-hexenal, benzaldehyde, β-caryophyllene, and cis-3-hexenol as attractive to C. vestalis. This research aligns with the broader understanding that a diverse array of plant volatiles plays a crucial role in insect foraging behaviour (Debnath et al., 2023; Franco et al., 2021). Plant volatiles are essential for egg-laying female insects to locate and identify suitable host plants, highlighting the critical role these chemical signals play in host plant specialization (Lin et al., 2024).

Screen cage experiments corroborated the olfactometer results, with *C. vestalis* females spending significantly more time on DBM-damaged plants compared to other treatments (Table 1, Fig. 2). This suggests that while VOCs play a significant role in the initial host location, other cues like contact pheromones from DBM larvae might further guide the parasitoid's behaviour within proximity to the host (Abuzid et al., 2014a; Abuzid et al., 2014b). GC-MS analysis revealed distinct volatile profiles for each damage type. Notably,

Table 1. Two-way ANOVA for mean numbers ofCotesia vestalis females found onBrassica oleracea (screen-cage bioassay)

Source	df	Sum of squares	F-value	P-value
Time	4	52.81	11.03	0.001
Treatments	4	300.93	15.72	0.000
Time x Treatments	4	79.16	4.13	0.005
Error	65	311.10		
$\begin{bmatrix} 30\\ BS \\ \Rightarrow 25 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a\\ a \end{bmatrix}$				



Fig. 2. Mean (±SE) of total numbers of *Cotesia vestalis* females found on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*)
damaged and control plants after 24 hr under free choice in Screen Cage bioassay, using two-way ANOVA

DBM and Crocidolomia-damaged plants displayed higher quantities of specific hydrocarbons and fatty acids. These findings further highlight the distinct VOC profiles elicited by different types of damage. Notably, DBM infestation led to a significant increase in hexacosane, while mechanical damage significantly increased hexacosane and triacontane. Interestingly, aphid infestation resulted in a decrease in oxalic acid and heptadecane, suggesting that the type of damage influences the specific VOCs produced. These specific compounds, previously implicated in host recognition by parasitoids (O'Hara et al., 1996; Kumazaki et al., 2000), likely contribute to the attraction of C. vestalis. Interestingly, C. vestalis responded similarly to VOCs from DBM and Crocidolomia larvae in the olfactometer assay, but showed a preference for DBM-damaged plants in the screen cage experiment. This discrepancy suggests that additional cues, potentially from host body odours, frass, or ozone, might be crucial for final host discrimination during close-range encounters (Blande, 2021; Turlings et al., 1991). Ayelo et al. (2021) introduces an interesting complexity, suggesting that high doses of HIPVs can sometimes act as repellents in addition to their attractive properties.

In various cases, the defense compounds are released through different pathways. Present findings on the significant increase in VOC emissions after herbivore damage align with prior research (Heil et al. 2008; Allmann and Baldwin, 2010). These studies demonstrate that intact leaves release minimal amounts of green leaf volatiles (GLVs), but mechanical damage or herbivore feeding significantly triggers the release of these compounds, making them the initial surge in VOCs following herbivore attack. Shiojiri (2000) demonstrated that in C. vestalis females' specific attraction to DBM-infested cabbage plants, a synthetic blend of four key HIPVs (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate, α -pinene, sabinene, and n-heptanal played a key role and it has been successfully used to attract these parasitoids (Shiojiri, 2010; Ozawa et al., 2018). This highlights the potential for utilizing HIPVs for the development of environmentally friendly pest management strategies. GC-MS analysis revealed distinct VOC fingerprints in cabbage responding to diverse damage (insect feeding, mechanical injury). While total VOC quantity remained similar, specific compounds varied. Undamaged plants boasted a rich VOC profile, while those damaged by different herbivores or mechanically emitted unique blends (Table 2). These results align with earlier work (Alborn et al., 1997). Notably, defensive glucosinolates (GSLs), known for their sulphur content (Tripathi

	resp	onse to DBM,	crocidolomia, a	aphids, and mec	hanical damage	(*) p-values a	re significan	t	
Compound	RT	Control	DBM	Control	Crocidolomia	Control	Aphid	Control	Mechanically
ĸ	(min)	(DBM)		(Crocidolomia)		(Aphid)	4	(Mechanically)	
Squalene	56.5	0.58 ± 0.2	0.38 ± 0.038	0.58 ± 0.2	0	0.58 ± 0.2	0.37 ± 0.3	0.58 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2
Hexadecanoic acid	34.8	0	1.0.4	0	2. 1.84	0	7.5±4	0	0
Oxalic acid	29	0.56 ± 0.012	$0.03 \pm 0.005^{*}$	0.56 ± 0.012	0.12 ± 0.1	0.56 ± 0.012	*0	0.56 ± 0.012	$0.05 \pm 0.01^{*}$
1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic	46.5	2.5 ± 0.67	2.2±2.2	2.5 ± 0.67	2.47 ± 1.2	2.5 ± 0.67	4.56 ± 1.9	2.5 ± 0.67	2.2±2.2
acid									
Hexacosane	59	0.05 ± 0.05	$5.60 \pm 0.09 *$	0.05 ± 0.05	5.43 ± 2.09	0.05 ± 0.05	2.1 ± 1.3	$0.05 {\pm} 0.05$	$9.0 \pm 1.1^{*}$
Heptadecane	35	0.13 ± 0.02	0.21 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.02	0.423 ± 0.23	0.13 ± 0.02	*0	0.13 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.07
Dodecane	44	0.14 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	3.68 ± 2.9	0.14 ± 0.02	*0	1.0.02	*0
Heptacosane	40	1.46 ± 0.88	0	1.46 ± 0.88	5.37 ± 2.04	1.46 ± 0.88	1.12 ± 1.1	$1.46{\pm}~0.88$	0
Triacontane	41.1	1.25 ± 0.53	12.95± 4.5	1.25 ± 0.53	0.43 ± 0.43	1.25 ± 0.53	6.85 ± 1.4	1.25 ± 0.53	$10.6 \pm 1.7^{*}$
Tetrapentacontane	39.6	2.69 ± 2.06	0	2.69 ± 2.06	0.06 ± 0.03	2.69 ± 2.06	0	3.2.06	0
(alkane)									
Neopentane	7.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$1.\ 0.003*$
(3Z)-hex-3-en-1-vlacetate	9.8	0.32 ± 0.3	0.87 ± 0.8	0	0	0	0.32 ± 0.3	0.32 ± 0.3	0.32 ± 0.3

Table 2. GC-MS analysis of changes in some key volatile compounds in *B. oleracea* in

and Mishra, 2007) were not detected, possibly due to sampling limitations (Olsen and Sørensen, 1981). The findings of this study highlight the complexity of plant stress responses and the crucial role of VOCs in mediating interactions with herbivores and natural enemies, potentially attracting or repelling parasitoids (Caarls et al., 2021; Conboy et al., 2020).

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that *C. vestalis* females effectively utilize herbivore-induced plant volatiles for host location. The specific composition of VOCs emitted by damaged plants, particularly the presence of specific hydrocarbons and fatty acids, plays a significant role in attracting parasitoids. While VOCs provide initial cues for host location, additional contact cues from the host itself might be crucial for final host discrimination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors thank all supporting staff from UKM University and MARDI for their assistance with daily greenhouse activities and screen cage experiments; also the staff of the Horticulture Research Centre and Strategic Resources Research Centre, MARDI for assistance and maintenance of the volatile's identification experiments. This work is dedicated to the memory of Mohamed Hanif Yahia, a dear friend and colleague who was always there to lend a hand with unwavering support.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

Plant cultivation and insect rearing were conducted in UKM and MARDI greenhouses and entomology laboratories. Olfactometer experiments were performed in the MARDI entomology laboratory. Headspace analysis, gas chromatography, and volatile identification were conducted at the Horticulture Research Centre and Strategic Resources Research Centre, MARDI Headquarters, Persiaran. There were no grants for this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

AI: Designed and conducted the experiments; analyzed the data; and wrote the manuscript. M S, KN and SK: Participated in study design and data analysis. SM and MR: Participated in volatile analysis and identification. HM Y: Participated in plant cultivation and insect collecting and rearing. IA G and RM: Provided supervision and finalized the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript for publication.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abuzid I, Mohamad Roff M N, Salam M, Mohd Hanifah Y, Abd Ghani I. 2014a. Responses of naive female DBM (*Plutella xylostella*) to volatile organic chemicals of selected Brassicaceae plants. ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences 9(8).
- Abuzid I, Mohamad Roff M N, Salam M, Kermani N, Mohd Hanifah Y, Abd Ghani I. 2014b. Effects of Chinese mustard *Brassica juncea* volatiles on the olfactory responses of *Plutella xylostella*. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 22(4): 584-590.
- Alborn T, Turlings T C J, Jones T H, Steinhagen G, Loughrin J H, Tumlinson J H. 1997. An elicitor of plant volatiles from beet armyworm oral secretion. Science 276(5314): 945-949.
- Allmann S, Baldwin I T. 2010. Insects betray themselves in nature to predators by rapid isomerization of green leaf volatiles. Science 27; 329(5995): 1075-8.
- Ayelo P M, Yusuf A A, Pirk C W, Chailleux A, Mohamed S A, Deletre E. 2021. Terpenes from herbivore-induced tomato plant volatiles attract *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Hemiptera: Miridae), a predator of major tomato pests. Pest Management Science 77(11): 5255-67.
- Blande J D. 2021. Effects of air pollution on plant-insect interactions mediated by olfactory and visual cues. Current Opinion in Environmental Science and Health 1(19): 100228.
- Blažytė-Čereškienė L, Aleknavičius D, Apšegaitė V and Būda V. 2022. Response of parasitic wasp *Cotesia glomerata* L. (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) to cabbage plants of two varieties: Olfactory spectra of males and females. Journal of Economic Entomology 115(5): 1464-1471.
- Bruinsma M, Dicke M. 2008. Herbivore-induced indirect defence: From induction mechanisms to community ecology. In Induced Plant Resistance to Herbivory (pp. 31-60). Springer Publishers.
- Cao S, Huang T, Shen J, Liu Y, Wang G. 2020. An orphan pheromone receptor affects the mating behavior of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Frontiers in Physiology. 30;11:413.
- Caarls L, Mousa R, Strijker M F, Vosman B, van't Westende W P. 2021. Natural pest management-genetic variation in volatile production in cabbage: Breeding for enhanced attraction of natural enemies. Wageningen University and Research.
- Conboy N J, McDaniel T, George D, Ormerod A, Edwards M, Donohoe P, Gatehouse A M, Tosh C R. 2020. Volatile organic compounds as insect repellents and plant elicitors: an integrated pest management (IPM) strategy for glasshouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes* vaporariorum). Journal of Chemical Ecology 46: 1090-104.
- Debnath R, Bhattacharyya B, Koner A, Barik A. 2023. Semiochemicals from Trichosanthes anguina (Cucurbitaceae) plants influence behavior in *Diaphania indica*. Pest Management Science 79(11): 4295-308.
- Dicke M. 1999. Specificity of herbivore-induced plant defences. Novartis Foundation Symposium 223: 43-54.
- Franco F P, Túler A C, Gallan D Z, Gonçalves F G, Favaris A P, Peñaflor M F, Leal W S, Moura D S, Bento J M, Silva-Filho M C. 2021. Fungal phytopathogen modulates plant and insect responses to promote its dissemination. The ISME Journal 15(12): 3522-33.
- Furlong M J, Wright D J, Dosdall L M. 2013. Diamondback moth ecology and management: problems, progress, and prospects. Annual Review of Entomology 58(1): 517-41.

- Geervliet J B F, Vet L E M, Dicke M. 1994. Volatiles from damaged plants as major cues in long-range host-searching by the specialist parasitoid *Cotesia rubecula*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 73(3): 289-297.
- Girling R D, Stewart-Jones A, Dherbecourt J, Staley J T, Wright D J, Poppy G M. 2011. Parasitoids select plants more heavily infested with their caterpillar hosts: a new approach to aid interpretation of plant headspace volatiles. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 278(1718): 2646-53.
- Heil M, Lion U, Boland W. 2008. Defense-inducing volatiles: in search of the active motif. Journal of Chemical Ecology 34: 601-4.
- Kermani N, Abu Hassan Z A, Suhaimi A, Abuzid I, Ismail N F, Attia M, Ghani I A. 2014. Parasitism performance and fitness of *Cotesia* vestalis (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) infected with Nosema sp. (Microsporidia: Nosematidae): Implications in integrated pest management strategy. PLoS One 9(6): e100671.
- Kumazaki M, Matsuyama S, Suzuki T, Kuwahara Y, Fujii K. 2000. Parasitic wasp, *Dinarmus basalis*, utilizes oviposition-marking pheromone of host azuki bean weevils as host-recognizing kairomone. Journal of Chemical Ecology 26(12): 2677-2695.
- Lin P, Chan W, Cai L, Dankowicz E, Gilbert K, Pierce N, Felton G. 2024. The links between plant volatiles and host plant specialization of herbivores. Res. Sq 2-38.
- Ohara Y, Takabayashi J, Takahashi S. 1996. Oviposition kairomones in the cuticular wax of host larvae, *Pseudaletia separata*, toward its parasitic wasp, *Cotesia kariyai*. Applied Entomology and Zoology 31(3): 271-277.
- Olsen O, Sørensen H. 1981. Recent advances in the analysis of glucosinolates. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society 58(9): 857-65.
- Ozawa R, Ohara Y, Shiojir K, Uchida T, Kakibuchi K, Kugimiya S, Uefune M, Takabayashi J. 2018. Uninfested plants and honey enhance the attractiveness of a volatile blend to a parasitoid *Cotesia vestalis*. Journal App. Entomology 142: 1-7.
- Paré P W, Tumlinson J H. 1999. Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores. Plant Physiology 121(2): 325-331.
- Parsons J. 2021. The effects of Brassica cropping systems on the abundance and behaviour of *Plutella xylostella* parasitoids (Master's thesis, Itä-Suomen yliopisto).
- Pierre P S, Jansen J J, Hordijk C A, van Dam N M, Cortesero A M, Dugravot S. 2011. Differences in volatile profiles of turnip plants subjected to single and dual herbivory above- and below ground. Journal of Chemical Ecology 37(4): 368-377.
- Risticevic S, Lord H, Gorecki T, Arthur C L, Pawliszyn J. 2010. Protocol for solid-phase microextraction method development. Nature Protocols 5(1): 122-39.
- Saad K A, Mohamad Roff M N, Mohd Shukri M A, Mirad R, Salam M, Abuzid I, Hanifah M Y, Abd Ghani I. 2014. Artificial damage induction in the leaves of chilli plants leads to the release of volatiles that alter the host plant selection behaviour of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Journal of Entomology 11(5): 273-282.
- Saini A, Sharma P L, Chandel R S. 2019. Host age influence on the parasitism of the species *Cotesia vestalis* (Haliday) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Egypt Journal of Biological Pest Control 29: 48.
- Shi M, Chen Y F, Huang F, Liu P C, Zhou X P, Chen X X. 2008. Characterization of a novel gene encoding ankyrin repeat domain from *Cotesia vestalis* polydnavirus (CvBV). Virology 375(2): 374-382.
- Shiojiri K, Takabayashi J, Yano S, Takafuji A. 2000. Flight response of

parasitoid toward plantherbivore complexes: a comparative study of two parasitoid-herbivore systems on cabbage plants. Applied Entomology and Zoology 35: 87-92.

- Shiojiri K, Ozawa R, Kugimiya S. 2010. Herbivore-specific, densitydependent induction of plant volatiles: Honest or "cry wolf" signals? PLoS One 5(8): e12161.
- Takabayashi J, Sabelis M W, Janssen A, Shiojiri K, Wijk M. 2006. Can plants betray the presence of multiple herbivore species to predators and parasitoids? The role of learning in phytochemical information networks. Ecological Research 21(1): 3-8.
- Takabayashi J, Shiojiri K. 2019. Multifunctionality of herbivory-induced plant volatiles in chemical communication in tritrophic interactions. Current Opinion in Insect Science 32: 110-117.

- Tripathi M K, Mishra A S. 2007 Glucosinolates in animal nutrition: A review. Animal Feed Science and Technology 32(1-2): 1-27.
- Turlings T C J, Tumlinson J H, Heath R R, Proveaux A T, Doolittle R E. 1991. Isolation and identification of allelochemicals that attract the larval parasitoid, *Cotesia marginiventris* (Cresson), to the microhabitat of one of its hosts. Journal of Chemical Ecology 17(12): 2235-2251.
- Wang B, Dong W, Li H, D'Onofrio C, Bai P, Chen R, Yang L, Wu J, Wang X, Wang B, Ai D. 2022. Molecular basis of (E)-β-farnesenemediated aphid location in the predator *Eupeodes corollae*. Current Biology 32(5): 951-62.
- Yang G, Zhang Y N, Gurr G M, Vasseur L, You M S. 2016. Electroantennogram and behavioral responses of *Cotesia plutellae* to plant volatiles. Insect Science 23: 245-252.

(Manuscript Received: June, 2024; Revised: July, 2024; Accepted: July, 2024; Online Published: September, 2024) Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e24319 A