



EFFICACY OF INSECTICIDES AGAINST *SPODOPTERA LITURA* AND *TRICHOPLUSIA ORICHALCEA* IN CABBAGE

ARCHUNAN K AND SELVAM K*

Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University,
Chidambaram 608002, Tamil Nadu, India

*Email: greenwld115@gmail.com (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in a farmer's field near Chidambaram to evaluate some pesticides against key cabbage insect pests during kharif 2018 and summer 2019. The results revealed that emamectin benzoate 5SG, spinosad 45SC, flubendiamide 39.35SC, indoxacarb 14.5SC, chlorfenapyr 10SC and lambda cyhalothrin 5EC effectively reduced the incidence of *Spodoptera litura* (L.) and *Trichoplusia orichalcea* (F.) Maximum reduction was accomplished with flubendiamide and spinosad. Occurrence of natural enemies when evaluated did not reveal statistically significant differences. Maximum yield was obtained with flubendiamide (24.08, 25.15 t/ ha) followed by spinosad (23.41, 23.19 t/ ha).

Key words: Cabbage, *Spodoptera litura*, *Trichoplusia orichalcea*, flubendiamide 39.35%SC, spinosad 45%SC, incidence, natural enemies, *Cotesia plutella*, *Diadegma semiclaus*, *Chrysoperla carnea*, yield

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. capitata L.) is a popular cruciferous vegetable, and in India it is grown on >2.4 lakh ha, with an annual production of roughly 56.2 lakh mt (Sarma et al., 2018). Many bottlenecks affect cabbage yield including insect pests. Insect pests alone cause yield losses ranging from 57 to 97%, as it is attacked by 37 insect pests in India. Diamond back moth *Plutella xylostella* (L.), cabbage butterfly *Pieris canidia* (L.), tobacco leaf eating caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* (L), cutworm *Agrotis ipsilon* (Hfn.), cabbage borer *Hellula undalis* F., and semilooper *Trichoplusia orichalcea* (F.) are among them (Sharma et al., 2017). Insecticides are used to protect and improve crop yields by controlling various insect pests (Liu et al., 2001). Insecticides used should also be biodegradable and ecologically beneficial (Rosell et al., 2008). Pesticides have been used indiscriminately resulting in resistance to insecticides (Archunan and Pazhanisamy, 2020). This study evaluates some new pesticides with unique modes of action against cabbage insect pests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out in two seasons, kharif 2018 and summer 2019. Each treatment consisted of two sprays applied using a high-volume hand-operated knapsack sprayer with 1250 l/ ha. The experiments were laid out in randomized block design (RBD) comprising seven treatments and three replications. First spray was applied at 40 days after transplanting and repeated after 15 days of first spray.

The treatments included emamectin benzoate 5SG (0.5 g/ l), spinosad 45SC (0.5 ml/ l), flubendiamide 39.35SC (0.5 ml/ l), indoxacarb 14.5SC (2.0 ml/ l), chlorfenapyr 10SC (1.5 ml/ l) and lambda cyhalothrin 5EC (2.0 ml/ l), and untreated control. When ETL of 2 larvae/ plant was observed sprays were given. Observations were made from five plants selected and tagged/ plot- *S. litura* and *T. orichalcea* were counted one day prior to treatment (pretreatment) and at 3, 7, 10 and 15 days after each spray. Natural enemies such as *Cotesia plutella* Kurdjumov, *Diadegma semiclaus* Horstmann and *Chrysoperla carnea* Steinmann occurring in the field were counted likewise, one day before treatment and on the 15th day after each spray. The marketable cabbage heads were weighed and converted to t/ ha. After appropriate transformation the data were subjected to ANOVA with means separated using CD (p=0.05) using OPSTAT software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results revealed the least counts of *S. litura* with flubendiamide at 3, 7, 10, and 15 days after spray (DAS) during kharif 2018 and summer 2019. Meena et al. (2013) also found that flubendiamide 39.35% SC was effective against the chilli defoliator *S. litura*. Selvam et al. (2020) observed the least larval counts of *Maruca vitrata* Geyer when flubendiamide was used. Flubendiamide treated larvae get affected by paralysis and death (Cordova et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2019; Anon, 2021). Second best was spinosad

Table 1. Efficacy of insecticides against *S. litura* and *T. orichalcea* on cabbage (kharif 2018, summer 2019)

Treatments	Dose (g or ml/l)	Kharif 2018												Summer 2019											
		PTC		No. of <i>S. litura</i> larvae / 5 plants						% ROC		PTC		No. of <i>S. litura</i> larvae / 5 plants						% ROC					
		3	7	1 st Spray		2 nd Spray		15		3	7	10	15	1 st Spray		2 nd Spray		3	7	10	15				
				DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS					DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS					DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS
Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG	0.5	7.01 (2.83)	1.54 (1.59)	1.05 (1.43)	2.29 (1.81)	2.99 (1.99)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.52 (1.23)	1.27 (1.49)	87.81	5.18 (2.48)	1.57 (1.60)	1.08 (1.44)	1.33 (1.53)	1.46 (1.57)	0.00 (1.00)	0.41 (1.18)	0.45 (1.20)	1.13 (1.46)	88.95				
Spinosad 45% SC	0.5	7.28 (2.88)	1.24 (1.50)	0.57 (1.24)	1.23 (1.49)	1.83 (1.68)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.80 (1.34)	92.84	5.78 (2.60)	1.14 (1.46)	0.74 (1.32)	1.05 (1.43)	1.16 (1.47)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.68 (1.29)	92.92				
Flubendiamide 39.35% SC	0.5	7.70 (2.95)	0.69 (1.30)	0.06 (1.03)	0.42 (1.19)	1.14 (1.46)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.33 (1.15)	96.68	6.53 (2.74)	0.86 (1.36)	0.49 (1.22)	0.75 (1.32)	0.80 (1.34)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.15)	0.33 (1.53)	95.20				
Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	2.0	7.01 (2.83)	3.34 (2.08)	3.17 (2.04)	4.59 (2.35)	7.67 (2.94)	1.90 (1.70)	2.48 (1.86)	3.29 (2.07)	4.46 (2.33)	60.98	5.64 (2.57)	3.27 (2.06)	2.82 (1.95)	3.84 (2.19)	4.81 (2.41)	2.56 (1.88)	2.43 (2.10)	3.43 (2.19)	3.79 (2.19)	59.96				
Chlorfenapyr 10% SC	1.5	7.96 (2.99)	3.65 (2.16)	3.29 (2.06)	6.50 (2.74)	9.25 (3.20)	3.33 (2.08)	3.46 (2.11)	5.45 (2.54)	7.59 (2.92)	46.31	6.25 (2.69)	4.28 (2.27)	4.16 (2.53)	5.42 (2.77)	6.67 (2.21)	3.88 (2.03)	3.11 (2.27)	4.19 (2.37)	4.63 (2.37)	46.01				
Lambda cyhalothrin 5% EC	2.0	7.27 (2.88)	2.99 (2.00)	2.40 (1.84)	3.78 (2.19)	4.43 (2.32)	0.00 (1.00)	0.63 (1.26)	1.91 (1.69)	2.43 (1.85)	76.56	6.25 (2.69)	2.42 (1.84)	1.89 (1.70)	2.98 (1.99)	3.33 (2.08)	1.57 (1.60)	1.54 (1.59)	2.11 (1.76)	2.53 (1.88)	72.69				
Untreated control	-	7.23 (2.87)	7.75 (2.95)	8.36 (3.06)	9.50 (3.24)	10.83 (3.44)	10.26 (3.32)	10.59 (3.4)	11.86 (3.59)	0.00	0.00	6.06 (2.66)	6.88 (2.81)	7.36 (2.89)	7.91 (2.99)	8.43 (3.07)	8.89 (3.14)	9.02 (3.17)	9.37 (3.22)	9.41 (3.22)	0.00				
C.D at 5% SE(m)		NS	0.24	0.29	0.33	0.31	0.17	0.28	0.26	0.25		NS	0.22	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.23	0.22	0.18					
		0.04	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.08		0.09	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06					

Treatments	Dose (g or ml/l)	Kharif 2018												Summer 2019											
		PTC		No. of <i>T. orichalcea</i> larvae / 5 plants						% ROC		PTC		No. of <i>T. orichalcea</i> larvae / 5 plants						% ROC					
		3	7	1 st Spray		2 nd Spray		15		3	7	10	15	1 st Spray		2 nd Spray		3	7	10	15				
				DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS					DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS					DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS
Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG	0.5	4.12 (2.26)	1.77 (1.66)	1.02 (1.42)	1.17 (1.47)	1.71 (1.64)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.99 (1.41)	1.40 (1.54)	82.22	4.57 (2.36)	1.93 (1.71)	1.00 (1.41)	1.10 (1.45)	2.10 (1.74)	0.08 (1.04)	0.00 (1.00)	0.37 (1.15)	1.72 (1.65)	83.22				
Spinosad 45% SC	0.5	4.33 (2.31)	0.92 (1.38)	0.31 (1.14)	0.47 (1.21)	0.97 (1.40)	0.00 (1.00)	0.48 (1.22)	0.83 (1.35)	91.23	4.56 (2.36)	1.41 (1.55)	0.80 (1.34)	0.67 (1.28)	1.07 (1.43)	1.07 (1.43)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.17 (1.08)	0.87 (1.37)	89.83				
Flubendiamide 39.35% SC	0.5	4.26 (2.29)	0.59 (1.25)	0.00 (1.00)	0.24 (1.11)	0.47 (1.21)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.27 (1.12)	95.40	4.57 (2.36)	0.85 (1.34)	0.40 (1.18)	0.10 (1.05)	0.53 (1.24)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.29)	0.67 (1.37)	94.79				
Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	2.0	4.28 (2.30)	1.95 (1.72)	2.12 (1.76)	2.38 (1.83)	2.90 (1.97)	1.15 (1.46)	1.00 (1.54)	2.11 (1.87)	60.21	4.78 (2.41)*	2.61 (1.91)	2.42 (1.85)	2.87 (1.97)	5.08 (2.46)	2.02 (1.73)	2.39 (1.84)	2.50 (1.87)	4.13 (2.26)	50.97					
Chlorfenapyr 10% SC	1.5	4.17 (2.27)	2.12 (1.76)	2.73 (1.93)	3.14 (2.03)	3.13 (2.04)	1.20 (1.48)	1.36 (2.04)	1.66 (2.33)	58.19	4.70 (2.39)	2.10 (1.91)	2.07 (1.75)	2.40 (1.84)	4.43 (2.32)	4.32 (1.57)	1.47 (1.77)	2.13 (1.81)	2.27 (2.38)	4.67 (2.38)	54.86				
Lambda cyhalothrin 5% EC	2.0	4.23 (2.29)	2.50 (1.87)	2.45 (1.85)	3.26 (2.06)	3.55 (2.13)	1.66 (1.63)	1.83 (2.24)	4.05 (2.45)	46.46	4.47 (2.34)	3.77 (2.18)	4.03 (2.24)	4.43 (2.33)	5.37 (2.51)	3.67 (2.15)	3.90 (2.21)	3.67 (2.66)	6.07 (2.84)	7.08 (2.84)	21.75				
Untreated control	-	4.59 (2.36)	5.02 (2.44)	4.90 (2.42)	5.32 (2.50)	5.83 (2.61)	5.58 (2.56)	6.30 (2.70)	6.68 (2.77)	0.00	0.00	4.68 (2.38)	5.02 (2.48)	5.17 (2.48)	5.08 (2.46)	5.53 (2.55)	6.01 (2.65)	6.50 (2.73)	7.40 (3.04)	8.27 (3.04)	0.00				
C.D at 5% SE(m)		NS	0.29	0.31	0.31	0.27	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.20		NS	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.39	0.21	0.18	0.20					
		0.05	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07		0.09	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.06	0.06					

PTC— Pre-treatment count, DAS— Day after spraying, *Figures in the parentheses are square root transformed values

Table 2. Occurrence of natural enemies in treatments (kharif 2018, summer 2019)

Treatments	Dose (g or ml/lit)	Kharif 2018						Summer 2019					
		<i>C. plutella</i>		<i>D. semiclausa</i>		<i>C. carnea</i>		<i>C. plutella</i>		<i>D. semiclausa</i>		<i>C. carnea</i>	
		PTC	15 DAS	PTC	15 DAS	PTC	15 DAS	PTC	15 DAS	PTC	15 DAS	PTC	15 DAS
Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG	0.5	1.73 (1.65)	1.85 (1.69)	1.49 (1.58)	2.22 (1.79)	1.41 (1.55)	1.50 (1.58)	1.63 (1.62)	1.80 (1.67)	1.45 (1.57)	1.89 (1.7)	1.45 (1.56)	1.57 (1.60)
Spinosad 45% SC	0.5	1.70 (1.64)	1.89 (1.7)	1.43 (1.56)	2.17 (1.78)	1.38 (1.54)	1.54 (1.59)	1.73 (1.65)	1.81 (1.68)	1.49 (1.58)	1.84 (1.69)	1.41 (1.55)	1.61 (1.62)
Flubendiamide 39.35% SC	0.5	1.73 (1.65)	1.81 (1.68)	1.45 (1.57)	2.11 (1.76)	1.39 (1.55)	1.50 (1.58)	1.71 (1.65)	1.74 (1.66)	1.46 (1.57)	1.78 (1.67)	1.42 (1.56)	1.53 (1.59)
Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	2.0	1.74 (1.66)	1.83 (1.68)	1.49 (1.58)	2.14 (1.77)	1.40 (1.55)	1.49 (1.58)	1.69 (1.64)	1.79 (1.67)	1.42 (1.56)	1.81 (1.68)	1.44 (1.56)	1.55 (1.60)
Chlorfenapyr 10% SC	1.5	1.69 (1.64)	1.76 (1.66)	1.51 (1.58)	2.07 (1.75)	1.41 (1.55)	1.45 (1.57)	1.68 (1.64)	1.71 (1.65)	1.47 (1.57)	1.74 (1.66)	1.43 (1.56)	1.48 (1.58)
Lambda cyhalothrin 5% EC	2.0	1.86 (1.69)	1.68 (1.64)	1.47 (1.57)	2.05 (1.75)	1.43 (1.56)	1.47 (1.57)	1.67 (1.63)	1.69 (1.64)	1.48 (1.58)	1.72 (1.65)	1.45 (1.56)	1.46 (1.57)
Untreated control	-	1.71 (1.65)	1.90 (1.70)	1.46 (1.57)	2.32 (1.82)	1.40 (1.55)	1.61 (1.61)	1.65 (1.63)	1.87 (1.69)	1.46 (1.57)	1.99 (1.73)	1.43 (1.56)	1.65 (1.63)
C.D.		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SE(m)		0.03	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02

PTC – Pre-treatment count, DAS– Day after spraying, *Figures in the parentheses are square root transformed values

followed by emamectin benzoate (Table 1). These results derive support from those of Pineda et al. (2007); on the fecundity and fertility of *S. littoralis* adults with different doses of spinosad. Against *T. orichalcea* also flubendiamide 39.35%SC followed by spinosad 45% SC and emamectin benzoate 5%SG after first and second sprays during kharif 2018 and summer 2019, were effective. Rao et al. (2014) revealed that spinosad 45SC @ 0.015% was highly effective against *M. vitrata* followed by emamectin benzoate in rice fallow black gram. Flubendiamide can be used in an IPM to counter insecticide resistance (Jameel and Jamal, 2017). Maximum reduction in counts of *S. litura* and *T. orichalcea* was thus observed with flubendiamide, spinosad emamectin benzoate (Table 1). The maximum yield was obtained from flubendiamide (24.08, 25.15 t/ha) treated plots followed by spinosad (23.41, 23.19 t/ha). Sangamithra et al. (2018) also observed that with flubendiamide 24% w/v + thiacloprid 24% SC w/v @ 84 + 84 g a.i/ha maximum yield of 47.92 t ha⁻¹ was obtained in cabbage.

The natural enemies' occurrence did not reveal any statistically significant changes (Table 2). These observations are comparable to those of Priyadarshini et al. (2013), and Singh and Kumar (2011) who found that flubendiamide had no effect on natural enemies. Flubendiamide at 50, 75, and 100 ml/ha did not have any unfavourable effect on natural enemies (Mishra, 2008; Latif et al., 2009; Ameta et al., 2011). Emamectin-benzoate and spinosad are both safe for mammals and effective against lepidopteran insect pests (Giraddi and Gundannavar, 2006; Xu, 2007; Wang et al., 2012).

REFERENCES

- Ameta O P, Sharma U S, Jeengar K L. 2011. Efficacy of flubendiamide 480 SC against pod borers, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and *Maruca testulalis* (L.) in pigeon pea. Indian Journal of Entomology 73(3): 191-195.
- Anonymous. 2021. The IRAC mode of action classification online. IRAC. <https://irac-online.org/modes-action>
- Archunan K, Pazhanismay M. 2020. Field evaluation of biorational insecticides against shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on bhendi. Plant archives 20(1): 2587-2590.
- Biddinger D, Hull L, Huang H, McPherson B, Loyer M. 2006. Sublethal effects of chronic exposure to tebufenozide on the development, survival, and reproduction of the tufted apple bud moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 99: 834-842.
- Cordova D, Benner E A, Sacher M D, Rauh J J, Sopa J S, Lahm G P. 2006. Anthranilic diamides: A new class of insecticides with a novel mode of action, ryanodine receptor activation. Pesticide Bio-chemistry and Physiology 84(3): 196-214.
- Giraddi R S, Gundannavar K P. 2006. Safety of emamectin benzoate, an avermectin derivative to the egg parasitoids, *Trichogramma* spp. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 19: 417-418.

- Jameel M, Jamal K. 2017. Efficacy of sub lethal concentration of flubendiamide against larvae of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5: 670-674.
- Lakshminarayana S, Rajashri M. 2006. Flubendiamide 20% WG a new molecule for the management of American bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* on cotton. Pestology 30: 16-18.
- Lal O P. 1975. A compendium of insect pest of vegetables in India. Bulletin of Entomology 16: 31-56.
- Latif M A, Rahman M M, Alam M Z, Hossain M M. 2009. Effect of flubendiamide and some other insecticides on arthropods biodiversity used to control brinjal shoot and fruit borer. International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology 2(2): 173-179.
- Liu Y H, Chung Y C, Xiong, Y. 2001. Purification and characterization of a dimethoate-degrading enzyme of *Aspergillus niger* ZHY256, isolated from sewage. Applied Environmental Microbiology 67: 3746-3749.
- Meena U, Patil A V, Kulkarni, Omkar G. 2013. Bioefficacy of flubendiamide 39.35 % SC against chili fruit borer (*Spodoptera litura*). Asian Journal of Biological Science 8(2): 241-224.
- Mishra H P. 2008. New promising insecticides for the management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee). Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem 14(2): 140-147.
- Pineda S, Schneider M I, Smaghe G, Martínez A M, Del Estal P, Viñuela. 2007. Lethal and sublethal effects of methoxyfenozide and spinosad on *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 100(3): 773-780.
- Priyadarshini G, Narendra Reddy C, Reddy D J. 2013. Critical review on bioefficacy of insecticides against pod borer complex in pigeonpea. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology 4(4): 364-371.
- Rao G D, Nagesh M, Chalam M S V, Rao V S. 2014. Efficacy of different insecticides against spotted pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* (Geyer) in rice fallow black gram. The Andhra Agricultural Journal 61(1): 151-156.
- Rosell G, Quero C, Coll J, Guerrero A. 2008. Biorational insecticides in pest management. Journal of Pesticide Science 33: 103-121.
- Sangamithra S, Vinothkumar B, Muthukrishnan N, Manoharan T. 2018. Evaluation of bioefficacy of flubendiamide 24% w/v+ thiacloprid 24% SC w/v against shoot and fruit borer and its sucking pests and its safety to non-target organisms in brinjal. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 6(1): 245-249.
- Sarma D, Saikia D K, Deves A. 2018. Effect of different intercrops and border crops on major insect pests and natural enemies of cabbage. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 6(3): 763-765.
- Selvam K., Shiva N., Manikandan K R. Rajavel, D S. 2020. The field efficacy of newer insecticide molecules against major pod borers of black gram. Toxicology International 27(1&2):1-6.
- Sharma P, Kumawat K C, Khinchi S K, Kumar V, Prasad B. 2017. Bioefficacy of different insecticides against diamond back moth. International Journal of Chemical Studies 5(3): 891-893.
- Sharma S, Kaur A, Kooner R, 2019. Relative toxicity of newer insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* and *Pieris brassicae* infesting Cole crops in Punjab. The Horticultural Society of India 76(2): 324-328.
- Sharma S, Kooner R, Arora R. 2017. Insect pests and crop losses. In Breeding insect resistant crops for sustainable agriculture. Springer, Singapore. pp. 45-66.
- Singh A K, Kumar A. 2011. Evaluation of new molecules in IPM module against *Helicoverpa armigera* in Chickpea. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences 20(1): 19-23.
- Sparks T C, Crouse G D, Durst G. 2001. Natural products as insecticides: the biology, biochemistry and quantitative structure activity relationship of spinosyns and spinosoids. Pest Management Science 57: 896-905.
- Wang Y H, Yu R, Zhao X, Chen L, Wu C, Cang T, Wang Q. 2012. Susceptibility of adult *Trichogramma nubilale* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) to selected insecticides with different modes of action. Crop Protection 34: 76-82.
- Xu H H. 2007. Plant chemical protection, China Agriculture Press, Beijing. pp.72-115.
- Zhao X, Yeh J Z, Salgado V L, Narahashi T. 2005. Sulfone metabolite of fipronil blocks γ -aminobutyric acid-and glutamate-activated chloride channels in mammalian & insect neurons. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 314(1): 363-373.

Manuscript Received: August, 2021; Revised: December, 2021;

Accepted: December, 2021; Online Published: February, 2022)

Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e21207