

EFFICACY OF INSECTICIDES AGAINST TOBACCO CATTERPILLAR SPODOPTERA LITURA (F) AND GRAM CATERPILLAR HELICOVERPA ARMIGERA (HUBN) ON GROUNDNUT

JAGMOHAN¹, M K MISHRA^{1*}, RAKESH PANDEY¹, B K SINGH¹ AND S K SINGH¹

¹Department of Entomology, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda 210001, Uttar Pradesh *Email: mishraent@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0001-8970-7587

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out during kharif 2021 to evaluate the efficacy of some insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* (F) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubn) on groundnut. Amongst these, chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC @ 30g a.i. ha⁻¹ proved to be the most effective with least larval counts (0.93 and 1.00 plant⁻¹, respectively) and efficacy (63.27 and 63.70%, respectively) against *S. litura* and *H. armigera*, respectively after two sprays. This was followed by cyantraniliprole 10OD @ 90g a.i. ha⁻¹ and novaluron 5.25+ indoxacarb 4.5SC @ 39.38+ 33.77g a.i. ha⁻¹ giving maximum groundnut yield (22.37 q ha⁻¹) with 52% increase over untreated control. The evaluation of economics revealed that chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC gave the highest monetary return, net income (Rs. 55765.00) and cost: benefit ratio (1:6.80).

Key words: Efficacy, insecticides, chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC, cyantraniliprole 10OD, novaluron 5.25 + indoxacarb 4.5SC, C:B ratio, groundnut, *Helicoverpa armigera*, *Spodoptera litura*

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important oilseed crop giving 45-48% fat, and accounts for >40-50 % in area and 60 to 70% in production, and in India, it is grown in 47.31 lakh ha producing 100.96 lakh mt (Anonymous, 2020), with productivity of 903 kg/ ha in Uttar Pradesh (Anonymous, 2021). Groundnut crop is attacked by >350 species of insects (Amin,1987; Vijayalakshmi et al., 2017), of which the tobacco caterpillar Spodoptera litura (F) and gram caterpillar Helicoverpa armigera (Hubn) are polyphagous feeding on leaves causing considerable damage by defoliation. These are the most important constraints to groundnut production (Mehrotra, 1989; Sharma et al., 2005); S. litura causing maximum reduction in pod yield (Dhir et al., 1992), extending to a total yield loss up to 15-30% (Ghewande and Nandagopal, 1997); H. armigera was also found to be the major pest with damage intensity of 80-90% (Kim et al., 2018). Insecticides play a vital role in suppressing pests with great success but their indiscriminate and injudicious use causes imbalance of natural enemies' activity, resulting in pest resurgence, secondary pest outbreaks and insecticide resistance. Hence, alternative safer approaches are necessary in groundnut, and recently new insecticides viz., chlorantriniliprole, cyantraniliprole, flubendiamide, methoxyfenozide etc. are available. This study evaluates these insecticides against H. armigera and S. litura in groundnut.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dol. No.: 10.55446/IJE.2024.1394

The field experiment was conducted during kharif 2021 at the instructional farm of Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda (24°53' 25°55'N, 80°07' 81°34'E). Experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and seven treatments including untreated control. The variety Dharani was sown on 18th July, 2021 in 5.0 x 4.0 m plot size with 30 cm spacing between rows and 10 cm between the plants. Standard agronomic practices except plant protection measures were followed. Insecticides viz, methoxyfenozide 21.8SC (T₁) @ 210 g a.i. ha⁻¹, chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC (T₂) @ 30 g a.i.ha⁻¹, lambda cyhalothrin 5EC (T₂) @ 25 g a.i.ha⁻¹, flubendiamide 20WG (T₄) @ 50 g a.i.ha⁻¹, cyantraniliprole 100D (T₅) @ 90g a.i. ha⁻¹ and novaluron 5.25+ indoxacarb 4.5SC (T_c) @ 39.38+33.77g a.i.ha⁻¹ along with untreated control (T₂) were the treatments. These insecticides were applied twice as foliar spray using knapsack sprayer, first was applied when H. armigera incidence (no. of larvae plant⁻¹) reached its economic threshold level (2 larvae plant⁻¹ or 20-25% defoliation at 40 days); second was given at 15 days after the first. Larval counts of S. litura and H. armigera were recorded one day before treatment and 3, 7 and 10 days after each spray on 10 randomly selected plants/ plot. Field efficacy of

treatments were calculated using the formula given by Henderson and Tilton (1955). The crop was harvested plot wise at maturity and nuts were picked manually. The well dried and cleaned nuts were weighed on net plot basis and converted into q ha⁻¹ for calculating economics of the treatments. The data were analyzed statistically to test the significance. Prevailing market price of groundnut, insecticides' cost and cost of labour were considered for calculating the cost: benefit ratio to evaluate the economics based on net income obtained from additional yield over control.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data on larval counts of S. litura and H. armigera and efficacy of insecticides are presented in Table 1; there were non-significant differences among treatments before spray. The larval counts of S. litura and H. armigera varied from 0.43 to 6.90 and 0.29 to 5.42 plant⁻¹, respectively. The least counts were observed with chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC @ 30g a.i. ha⁻¹ (0.93 and 1.0 plant⁻¹) followed by cyantraniliprole 10OD (1.38 and 1.05 plant⁻¹) and novaluron 5.25+ indoxacarb 4.5 SC (1.79 and 1.57 plant⁻¹); these treatments were statistically at par with chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC. Thus, chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC was superior, in conformity with the results of many coworkers (Gadhiya et al., 2014; Maruthi et al., 2017; Khinchi and Kumawat, 2021) in various crops including groundnut. Cyantraniliprole 10OD @ 90g a.i. ha-1 was the second best compared to flubendiamide 20WG @ 50g a.i. ha⁻¹ agreeing with the results of Natikar et al. (2016). Besides, novaluron 5.25+ indoxacarb 4.5SC was also found more effective than flubendiamide, methoxyfenozide and lambda cyhalothrin; these observations corroborate those of Ghosal et al. (2016). The results on flubendiamide 20WG agree with those of Narayanamma et al. (2013) in castor. In terms of reduction in larval incidence varied from 20.69 to 52.76 and 32.10 to 52.96%, respectively, with chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC. Cyantraniliprole 10 OD (47.30 and 52.52%) was statistically at par but differed significantly from novaluron+ indoxacarb and others. Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC and cyantraniliprole 100D are thus promising, in conformity with the results of Thara et al. (2019). Chlorantraniliprole gave significantly higher yield (22.37 q ha⁻¹) at par with cyantraniliprole (21.08 q ha⁻¹) confirming with Thara et al. (2019) and Waykule et al. (2020). Economics of insecticides computed considering prevailing market price of groundnut and treatments including labour charges revealed that net realization of Rs. 55765 was

maximum in chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC followed by cyantraniliprole 10OD (Rs. 44026.70). Cost: benefit ratio was also maximum in chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC (1:6.80) followed by flubendiamide 20WG (1:5:12). Similar results were also recorded by Thara et al. (2019) and Waykule et al. (2020).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Dr G S Panwar, Dean, College of Agriculture and Dr Mukul Kumar, Dean, PGS, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda for providing facilities in the form of Post Graduate Research.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The financial support provided by the Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda in the form of Post Graduate Research is acknowledged.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

J, MKM and RP devised and designed research and conducted the experiment. BKS and SKS conducted the statistical analysis. RP and MKM wrote the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Amin P W. 1987. Insect-pests of groundnut in India and their management. Lead papers. National seminar on plant protection in field crops. 29-31 January 1986, CPPTI. pp. 219-231.
- Anonymous 2020. Agricultural Statistics at a glance. MAFW, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India.
- Anonymous 2021. Normal estimate of area, production and yield of selected principal crops. MAFW, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India. 58 pp.
- Dhir B C, Mohapatra H K, Senapati B. 1992. Assessment of crop loss in groundnut due to tobacco caterpillar, S. litura (F.). Indian Journal of Plant Protection 20(2): 215-217.
- Gadhiya H A, Borad P K, Bhut J B. 2014. Effectiveness of synthetic insecticides against H. armigera (Hubner) hard wick and S. litura (Fabricius) infesting groundnut. The Bioscan 9(1): 23-26.
- Ghewande M P, Nandgopal V. 1997. Integrated pest management in groundnut A. hypogaea L. in India. Integrated Pest Management Reviews 2(1): 1-15.
- Ghosal A, Dolai A K, Chatterjee M. 2016. Plethora- novaluron+ indoxacarb insecticide for the management of tomato fruit borer complex. Journal of Applied and Natural Science 8(2): 919-922.
- Henderson C F, Tilton E W. 1955. Tests with acaricides against the brow wheat mite. Journal of Economic Entomology 48(2): 157-161.

Table 1. Efficacy of insecticides against S. litura and H. armigera in groundnut

Benefit: cost ratio			1:3.3	1:6.8	1:3.9	1:5.1	1:3.4	1:3.0	,			٠	
Net profit (Rs. ha ⁻¹)			19386.7	55765.0	14128.3	31768.8	44026.7	31346.7	ı		,	ı	
Total cost for two sprays (Rs. ha ⁻¹)			4550.0	0.0089	2200.0	4799.5	11480.0	9200.0				1	
	Realization (Rs. ha ⁻¹)		25336.7	63965.0	17728.3	37968.3	56906.7	41946.7	,				
H. armigera Yield	% increase over control		30.02	52.00	23.09	39.13	49.08	41.53	ı		ı		,
	¹⁻ sd tQ		15.34	22.37	13.96	17.64	21.08	18.36	10.74	09.0	1.86	6.04	
	Field efficacy (% reduction over control)		43.19 (41.04)	63.70 (52.96)	28.35 (32.10)	44.91 (41.99)	62.46 (52.22)	45.45 (42.36)	0.00	(2.23)	(96.9)	(10.31)	
	Larval count (no./ plant)	After two sprays	1.84	1.00	2.63	1.95	1.05	1.57	5.42	0.18	0.55	13.93	
	Larva (no./	Before spray	1.84	1.78	1.84	1.85	1.75	1.82	1.84	60.0	NS	8.42	
S. litura	Efficacy (% control)		22.97 (27.58)	63.27 (52.76)	14.46 (20.69)	27.02 (30.65)	54.04 (47.30)	32.30 (33.86)	0.00	(3.53)	(10.98)	(20.09)	
	al count / plant)	After two sprays	2.20	0.93	2.76	2.02	1.38	1.79	06.9	0.13	0.41	8.80	
	Larva (no./	Before spray	2.12	2.07	2.14	2.09	2.09	2.10	2.15	0.04	NS	3.52	-
T. Treatments No.			T_1 Methoxyfenozide 21.8SC (@ 210g a.i. ha ⁻¹)	T_2 Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC (@ T_2 30g a.i. ha ⁻¹)	T ₃ Lambda cyhalothrin 5EC (@ 25g a.i. ha ⁻¹)	T ₄ Flubendiamide 20 WG $(@50g \text{ a.i. ha}^{-1})$	T ₅ Cyantraniliprole 100D (\emptyset 90g a.i. ha ⁻¹)	Novaluron 5.25 + T ₆ Indoxacarb 4.5 SC (@ 39.38+33.77g a.i. ha ⁻¹)	T ₇ Untreated control (Water)	SE(m)±	C D (p=0.05%)	CV	

Figures in parentheses angular transferred values; Labour charge for insecticides application @ Rs 350/ labour and requirement of labour (no.) = 4 ha⁻¹; Price of groundnut Rs 5500/ q

- Kim J, Kwon M, Park K J, Maharjanm R. 2018. Monitoring of four major lepidopteran pests in Korean corn fields and management of *H. armigera*. Entomological Research 48(4): 308-316.
- Khinchi S K, Kumawat K C. 2021. Bioefficacy of chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and pod fly, *Melanagromyza obtusa* (Malloch) in pigeonpea, *Cajanus cajan* (Linn.) Millsp. Legume Research 44(12): 1475-1481.
- Maruthi M S, Hanumanthaswamy B C, Sharanabasappa, Nagarajappa A. 2017. Evaluation of safer insecticides against S. litura (Fabricius) Lepidoptera: Noctuidae on capsicum under naturally ventilated polyhouse condition. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5(6): 268-271.
- Mehrotra K N. 1989. Pesticide resistance in insect pests: Indian scenario. Pesticide Research Journal 1(2): 95-103.
- Narayanamma V L, Reddy K D, Reddy A V. 2013. Management of lepidopteran pests through newer insecticides in castor. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 41(1): 25-29.
- Natikar P K, Balikai R A, Jahagirdar S, Hosmath J A. 2016. Bioefficacy

- of newer insecticide molecules against *S. litura* Fab. infesting soybean. International Journal of Agricultural and Statistical Sciences 12(1): 117-121.
- Sharma H C, Pampathy G, Dhillon M K, Ridsdill-Smith J T. 2005. Detached leaf assay to screen for host plant resistance to H. armigera. Journal of Economic Entomology 98(2): 568576.
- Thara K T, Sharanabasappa N R G, Kalleshwaraswamy C M, Sandeep A R. 2019. Bioefficacy of newer insecticide molecules against okra fruit borer, *H. armigera*. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 8(1): 2564-2567.
- Vijayalakshmi G, Ganapathy N, Kennedy K S. 2017. Influence of weather parameters on seasonal incidence of thrips and Groundnut bud necrosis virus (GBNV) in groundnut (A. hypogea L.). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5(3): 107-110.
- Waykule P K, Mutkule D S, Jadhav A S, Dhormare A P, Bankar D R. 2020. Bioefficacy of different insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* on groundnut. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 9(12): 1697-1708.

(Manuscript Received: June, 2023; Revised: July, 2023; Accepted: July, 2023; Online Published: January, 2024)

Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e23394