

MORPHOMETRICS OF *PECTINOPHORA GOSSYPIELLA* (SAUNDERS) ON COTTON AS INFLUENED BY TEMPERATURE

K V DESHMUKH1* AND V K BHAMARE1

¹PG Research laboratory, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Latur 413512, Maharashtra, India (Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani 431402, Maharashtra, India) *Email: kirandeshmukh473@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0001-8455-2643

ABSTRACT

The morphometry of life stages of the pink boll worm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) was evaluated at four temperature levels of 20, 25, 30 and 35°C to assess the impact of temperature. Measurement of larval head capsule width, body length, body width and weight on cotton at 20°C were 0.68, 0.87, 0.98 and 1.24 mm, 2.27, 5.80, 7.46 and 10.60 mm, 1.08, 1.58, 1.68 and 2.53 mm and 0.40, 2.84, 24.42 and 64.21 mg for I, II, III and IV larval instars, respectively. The mean pupal length and weight of male and female varied significantly when reared at different temperature levels.

Key words: *Pectinophora gossypiella*, morphometrics, temperature, head capsule, wing span, instars, body length, body weight, Dyar's rule

The pink boll worm Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a pervasive and devastating pest that severely damages cotton. It is found across various cotton-growing areas and is notorious for causing extensive losses in seed cotton quantity and quality (Bhamare and Wadnerkar, 2018). Temperature variation has a significant impact on the morphometrics of life stages of insects. Various aspects like physical characteristics, such as body size, weight and developmental rates vary with temperature (Huang et al., 2018). Temperature variations can affect the growth and development of larvae and pupae. ultimately influencing their overall size and shape. Understanding the relationship between temperature and the morphometrics of P. gossypiella is crucial for developing effective IPM in cotton.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The evaluation of morphometrics of *P. gossypiella* was conducted under laboratory conditions at 20, 25, 30 and 35°C using a BOD incubator at the Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Latur, during kharif 2019. Immediately after hatching 30 larvae for each instar were transferred into separate plastic containers. These were reared individually on cotton bolls. Every day fresh food was provided to the larvae. The observation of the casting of exuviae was made under a microscope. During each instar, immediately after each moulting head capsule width, body length & width, and weight of each larva were

measured with an ocular and stage micrometer to the nearest value of 0.1053 mm. The application of Dyar's rule (1890) was tested for all the instars when fed on cotton at different temperature levels. The regression relationship between the instar and mean value of head capsule width, body length, body width and weight of larva over instars was calculated using the formula. $Log10\ Y = a + bx$, where, Y = head capsule width/ head capsule length/ body length/ body width/ body weight of larva (mean), a = constant, b = logarithm of growth ratio, x = number of instars. The growth ratio was calculated by dividing the mean value of head capsule width/ head capsule length by the value of the mean of head capsule width/ head capsule length of the larva of the preceding instar.

Dol. No.: 10.55446/IJE.2023.1323

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The larvae of *P. gossypiella* passed through four instars when reared on cotton at 20, 25, 30 and 35°C. Table 1 reveals that the head capsule width exhibited variations; when reared at 20°C, the widths for the first to fourth instars were 0.68 ± 0.02 , 0.87 ± 0.01 , 0.98 ± 0.03 and 1.24 ± 0.02 mm, respectively; at 25°C, the corresponding measurements were 0.68 ± 0.02 , 0.84 ± 0.02 , 0.96 ± 0.07 and 1.21 ± 0.02 mm; similarly, at 30°C, widths ranged from 0.63 ± 0.01 to 1.11 ± 0.02 mm for the respective instars; and at 35°C these were 0.62 ± 0.01 , 0.74 ± 0.02 , 0.82 ± 0.01 and 1.08 ± 0.02 mm. More or less similar results were obtained by Dongarjal et al. (2016) with *Earias vitella*; and with varieties. Shitole

Table 1. Morphometrics of P. gossypiella on cotton as influenced by temperature

Larval head	Larval head capsule width and length (mm)	th and leng	th (mm)													
Temperature	i.e	20± 1°C	J.			25±	25± 1°C			30± 1°C	1°C			35± 1°C	1°C	
Larval	Width± SE	Growth I	Length± SE	Growth	Width± S.E.	Growth	Length± SE	Growth	Width± S.E.	Growth ratio	Length± SE	Growth	Width± (S.E.	Growth ratio	Length± SE	Growth
I	0.68±		2.27±		0.68±		2.52±		0.63±		2.30±		0.62		2.10±	1
П	0.02 0.87±	1.28	5.80±	2.56	0.02 0.84±	1.24	5.66±	2.25	0.78±	1.24	5.00±	2.17	0.74±	1.19	5.00±	2.38
III	0.98± 0.03	1.13	7.46± 0.10	1.29	0.96± 0.07	1.14	7.53± 0.20	1.33	0.89 ± 0.02	1.14	6.80 ± 0.19	1.36	0.82 ± 0.01	1.11	6.26 ± 0.19	1.25
IV	1.24 ± 0.02	1.27	10.60± 0.30	1.42	1.21± 0.02	1.26	11.7± 0.30	1.55	1.11± 0.02	1.25	8.40± 0.01	1.24	1.08± 0.02	1.32	8.20± 0.01	1.31
Stages		20±1°C	ွင			25±1°C	1°C			30≠	30± 1°C			35±1°C	1°C	
)	Length (mm)			Weight (mg)	Length (mm)			Weight (mg)	Length (mm)			Weight (mg)	Length (mm)			Weight (mg)
Larva	Mean± SE	3 Mean± SE		Mean± SE	Mean± SE	∃ Mean± SE		Mean± SE	Mean± SE	∃ Mean± SE		Mean± SE	Mean± SE	Mean± SE		Mean± SE
I Instar	2.27± 0.06	5 1.08± 0.13		0.40± 0.06	2.52±0.10	'	0.03 ± 0.03	0.46±0.08	2.30±0.09	9 0.95± 0.02		0.48±0.06	2.10±0.08	0.86± 0.02		0.56± 0.09
II Instar	5.80 ± 0.20			2.84 ± 0.07	5.66 ± 0.22	_	.48± 0.05 3	3.50±0.08	5.00±0.22		.28± 0.29 3	3.84±0.08	5.00±0.22	1.20 ± 0.04		3.86± 0.08
III Instar	7.46 ± 0.10	0.08 ± 0.02		24.42 ± 0.04	7.53 ± 0.20	_	1.52 ± 0.02 2.	24.80±0.07	6.80 ± 0.19		1.38± 0.03 2 ²	24.86±0.39	6.26 ± 0.19	1.38 ± 0.03		24.90 ± 0.06
IV Instar	10.60 ± 0.30	$0 2.53 \pm 0.10$		64.21 ± 0.07	11.7 ± 0.30	2.40 ± 0.13		65.07 ± 0.04	8.40 ± 0.01	$1 2.30 \pm 0.14$		66.06 ± 0.40	8.20 ± 0.01	2.39 ± 0.06		69.02 ± 0.18
Pupa																
Male	4.16± 0.11	1.18± 0.08		20.36± 0.28	4.24 ± 0.14	4 1.33± 0.13		22.55± 0.35	4.18 ± 0.16	5 1.28± 0.11		21.65± 0.20	4.10 ± 0.12	1.12± 0.11		18.42 ± 0.34
Female	4.53± 0.06	5 1.10± 0.07		21.22 ± 0.34	4.60 ± 0.04		1.27± 0.12 2 ²	24.62± 0.31	4.56± 0.06	6 1.23± 0.11		22.18± 0.28	4.51 ± 0.08	3 1.08± 0.12	.	20.42 ± 0.31
Adults	Body length (mm)	th (mm)	Wing sp.	Wing span (mm)	Body length (mm)	th (mm)	Wing sp	Wing span (mm)	Body length (mm)	th (mm)	Wing sp	Wing span (mm)	Body length (mm)	h (mm)	Wing sp	Wing span (mm)
Male	8.26±0.6	0.61	15.31	15.31 ± 0.43	8.34± 0.45	0.45	16.49	16.49 ± 0.54	8.31 ± 0.52	0.52	16.21	16.21 ± 0.28	8.20± 0.49	0.49	15.17	15.17 ± 0.38
Female	8.27 ± 0.40	0.40	1 ± 0.41	0.41	8.37 ± 0.54	0.54	17.40	17.40 ± 0.58	8.33 ± 0.38	0.38	17.05	17.05 ± 0.57	8.21 ± 0.34).34	15.50	15.50 ± 0.51

and Patel (2010) with breadth. The body length ranged from 2.27 ± 0.06 to 10.60 ± 0.30 mm for the first to fourth instars. When the temperature increased to 25°C these were 2.52 ± 0.10 to 11.7 ± 0.30 mm. At 30°C the body lengths ranged from 2.30 ± 0.09 to 8.40 ± 0.01 mm, while at 35°C they were measured as 2.10 ± 0.08 mm to 8.20 ± 0.01 mm for the respective instars. The results of the present investigation are comparable with those of Shrinivas et al. (2019). Sapna et al., (2017) revealed that the length of first to fourth instar larvae of *P. gossypiella* ranged from 0.48-0.54 (0.52 ± 0.01) to 6.15-11.42 (9.14 ± 1.65) mm.

At 20°C the body width of *P. gossypiella* varied from 1.08 ± 0.13 , 1.58 ± 0.05 , 1.68 ± 0.02 and 2.53 ± 0.10 mm for the first to fourth instars, respectively. Similarly, at 25°C the body widths were 1.03 ± 0.03 , 1.48 ± 0.05 , 1.52 ± 0.02 and 2.40 ± 0.13 mm for the respective instars; at 30°C these ranged from 0.95 ± 0.02 to 2.30 ± 0.14 mm; and at 35°C these were 0.86 ± 0.02 , 1.20 ± 0.04 , 1.38 ± 0.03 , and 2.39 ± 0.06 mm. Shrinivas et al. (2019), observed similar variations at 27 ± 2 °C varied Sapna et al. (2017) reported a breadth range of 0.16 ± 0.02 to 1.54 ± 0.04 mm.

At 20°C the recorded weights for the first to fourth instars were 0.40 ± 0.06 , 2.84 ± 0.07 , 24.42 ± 0.04 and 64.21 ± 0.07 mg, respectively. At 25°C the weights were 0.46 ± 0.08 , 3.50 ± 0.08 , 24.80 ± 0.07 and 65.07 ± 0.04 mg; 30°C, it ranged from 0.48 ± 0.06 to 66.06 ± 0.40 mg. Similarly, at 35°C these were 0.56 ± 0.09 , 3.86 ± 0.08 , 24.90 ± 0.06 and 69.02 ± 0.18 mg for the first to fourth instars. The present results correspond with the findings of Dhara Jothi et al. (2016). Mervat (2013) found that the larval weight of *E. vitella* was 0.004 and 0.003 g at 16 and 37°C, respectively, while it was 0.066 g at 26°C. The low and high temperatures caused a high reduction in the weight of larvae from 21 to 22 times which led to small in body size and caused failure in the adult's emergence.

Significant variations were observed in the mean pupal length, breadth and weight of male and female individuals reared on cotton at different temperature levels. In terms of pupal length, maximum length for males was recorded at 25° C (4.24 ± 0.14 mm), followed by 30° C (4.18 ± 0.16 mm), 20° C (4.16 ± 0.11 mm) and 35° C (4.10 ± 0.12 mm). Similarly, for females, it was observed at 25° C (4.60 ± 0.04 mm), followed by 30° C (4.56 ± 0.06 mm), 20° C (4.53 ± 0.06 mm) and 35° C (4.51 ± 0.08 mm). Regarding pupal breadth, males exhibited breadth (mm) at 25° C (1.33 ± 0.13), followed by 30° C (1.28 ± 0.11), 20° C (1.18 ± 0.08) and 35° C

(1.12 \pm 0.11). Conversely, female pupae were broadest at 25°C (1.27 \pm 0.12), 30°C (1.23 \pm 0.11), 20°C (1.10 \pm 0.07) and 35°C (1.08 \pm 0.12). In terms of pupal weight, males showed a maximum weight at 25°C (22.55 \pm 0.35 mg), followed by 30°C (21.65 \pm 0.20 mg), 20°C (20.36 \pm 0.28 mg) and 35°C (18.42 \pm 0.34 mg). Females, on the other hand, had the highest mean pupal weight at 25°C (24.62 \pm 0.31mg), followed by decreases at 30°C (22.18 \pm 0.28 mg), 20°C (21.22 \pm 0.34 mg) and 35°C (20.42 \pm 0.31 mg). The results are in accordance with those of Zinzuvadiya et al. (2017) on pupae. Akhtar et al. (2023) revealed that the average pupal weight of *P. gossypiella* when reared on cotton was 20.13 \pm 0.05 mg.

Regarding adult body length, males were longest at 25° C (8.34± 0.45 mm), followed by 30, 20 and 35°C. Females, on the other hand, displayed the maximum mean body length at 25° C (8.37± 0.54 mm), followed by 30, 20 and 35°C. The mean male adult wing span varied significantly with temperature and it was significantly maximum at 25°C (16.49± 0.54 mm). Females also displayed the maximum mean wing span at 25°C (17.40± 0.58 mm), Zinzuvadiya et al. (2017), found that size of the adult moth (male) varied from 8.37± 1.20 mm in length and 16.60 ± 1.09 mm in breadth with wing expansion. Nagmandla and Maheshwari (2021) observed a maximum wing span of 14.6± 1.09 mm on non-Bt cotton, which was on par with okra (13.40 ± 0.54) mm) and Bt cotton (13.20 \pm 1.27 mm) but significantly differed from abutilon (13.0±0.17 mm). Morphometric analysis plays a crucial role in constructing life tables, assessing the impact of various rearing conditions on the physical fitness of predators, and determining the optimal developmental stage for their release. This study examines the growth and morphometrics when reared on cotton at four temperature levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Head, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Latur (MS) for providing necessary help and guidance while the investigation. The present study was a part of M Sc (Agri) dissertation submitted by K V Deshmukh to Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani in 2019.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Deshmukh K V and Bhamare V K conceptualized and designed the study; Deshmukh K V conducted the study, analyzed the data, and authored the report under the supervision of Bhamare V K.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

No funding received.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Akhtar S, Arif M, Gogi M. 2023. Impact of numerous larval diets on biology of pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under laboratory conditions. Trends in Biosciences 10(25): 5223-5231.
- Bhamare V K, Wadnerkar D W, 2018. Efficacy of insecticidal combinations on cotton square and boll shedding due to bollworm complex. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 7(1): 1188-1192.
- Dhara jyoti B, Naik V C B, Kranthi S, Kranthi K R, Valarmathi R. 2016. Viable mass production method for cotton pink bollworm *P. gossypiella* (Saunders). Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology
- Dongarjal R P, Bhamare V K, Bharati M S. 2016. Biometrics of *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on cotton. Indian Journal of Entomology 78: 72.
- Dyar, Harrison G. 1890. The number of molts of lepidopterous larvae. Psyche: A Journal of Entomology 5: 420-422.
- Huang F, Wan P, Huang, Y, Zhang J, Xu H, Huang M. 2018.

- Morphological characteristics and development of pPink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under constant temperatures. Journal of Economic Entomology 111(3): 1134-1140.
- Mervat A A. 2013. Relationship between temperature and some biological aspects and biochemical of *Earias insulana* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences 6(1): 11-20.
- Nagamandla R S, Maheswari T. 2021. Bionomics of pink bollworm *Pectinophora Gossypiella* (Saunders) on cotton and its alternate hosts. Indian Journal of Entomology 83(4): 546-550.
- Sapna P, Bheemanna M, Hosamani A C, Ghante V N, Kisan B. 2017. Biology of cotton pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae. Agriculture Update, 12 (TECHSEAR-3): 681-684.
- Shitole T D, Patel I S, 2010. Biology of *Earias vittella* (F.) on cotton. Indian Journal of Entomology 72(2): 144-147.
- Shrinivas A G, Sreenivas S G, Hanchinal S H, Beldhadi R V 2019. Comparative biology of pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on different hosts. Journal of Entomology and Zoology 7(1): 1053-1060.
- Zinzuvadiya H D, Desai H R, Lakum M B, Rajkumar B K. 2017. Biology of pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* Saunders (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on artificial diet under controlled condition. Trends in Bioscience 10(25): 5363-5371.

(Manuscript Received: May, 2023; Revised: September, 2023; Accepted: September, 2023; Online Published: October, 2023)
Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e23323