

Indian Journal of Entomology Online published Ref. No. e23282

FOOD HIDING BEHAVIOUR OF ANT CAREBARA AFFINIS (JERDON)

PRIYANKA DAS^{1*} AND SHEELA SAROJ¹

¹Central Entomology Laboratory, Zoological Survey of India, M-Block, New Alipore, Kolkata 700053, West Bengal, India *Email: priyankazsi10@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0002-6087-4872

ABSTRACT

For the first time, food hiding behaviour by the Ant *Carebara affinis* (Jerdon, 1851) is reported here from Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden, which is an urban garden situated at Howrah, West Bengal, India. Multiple roles played by the species as predators, scavengers and in seed dispersal is emphasised here.

Key words: Ant, Formicidae, Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden, frog, decomposition, predators, scavengers, Howrah, carcass, minor castes, soil nest, urban garden, food hiding

Ants, classified under family Formicidae is one of the most successful insect groups on earth. Their eusocial way of living, feeding habits and nesting behaviour help them to flourish in a massive way. Global data reveals that there are 14,108 species of ants known (Antweb, 2023). India inhabits 861 species/subspecies of ants (AntWiki, 2023) and West Bengal is the most species diverse Indian state with 382 species/ subspecies (Bharti et al., 2016). Studies show that different species of ants can coexist in a particular habitat. It has been reported that 104 species of ants were found in Malaysia in just 20 m² leaf litter and rotting logs (Agosti et al., 1994). Most of the ants are terrestrial but their niche could be different. In the ground soil, some prefer to live deep under while a few choose to make their nest just beneath the soil surface. There are ants which live under leaf litter or inside rotten logs as they do not prefer fluctuations in temperature. It is usually seen that ants adapt themselves with the increasing urbanization and human activities, because we could collect several species including rare forms from highly polluted city environments.

Ants like *Paratrechina longicornis* (Latreille, 1802), *Trichomyrmex mayri* (Forel, 1902), *Lepisiota sericea* (Forel, 1892) found to build their nest in manmade concrete structures. The species *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Fabricius, 1775), *Polyrhachis dives* Smith (1857) almost all species of the genus *Tetraponera*, and many species of the genus *Crematogaster* adapt themselves in arboreal life to avoid the large competition in the soil surface. Ants can construct their nests in very short span of time and this gives them opportunity to establish themselves near their preferred food sources. Most ant species are omnivorous and consume plants as well as animal-based food materials (Stradling, 1974). Ants like *Camponotus, Anoplolepis, Myrmicaria* are known as generalists and devour different kinds of food items, while genus *Pheidole, Messor, Pheidologeton, Monomorium, Tetramorium* are known for their seed dispersal ability and called as specialist seed harvesters (Narendra and Kumar, 2006). Usually members of the subfamily Ponerinae, many of the Myrmicinae and army ants largely or solely rely on different kinds of invertebrate preys. They consume different groups of invertebrates like termites, collembolans and it is also very common to see one species of ant predates on other species.

A study conducted in a tropical rainforest of Borneo reveals that with increasing altitude, predatory ants and their preys like termite or other ground dwelling ants decrease in comparison to omnivorous ants (Bruhl, 1999). Nesting habits and food preference help different species to segregate their niche and coexist in a particular habitat; for example different species of *Leptogenys* display minor differences in their nesting behaviour but significant difference in their food choices (Steghaus-Kovac and Maschwitz, 1993); and species of *Polyrhachis* show a significant nesting behaviour but nutrition play a minor role (Liefke, 1998).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study of diversity and association of ants was done at the Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden (22°33′23.31′′N 88°17′7.95′′E). Sample specimens were collected using soft brush soaked in 70% alcohol, and field photography and videography were done with a Nikon d5600 camera. Identification was done using standard literature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Carebara affinis (Jerdon, 1851) a common, terrestrial species of ants which was frequently encountered in the study area. They make their nest on ground and form very long trail with thousands of members to carry food materials from long distances to their nest. They are usually known as seed collectors as they are commonly found carrying seeds of grasses and their nests can be easily recognized by the heap of seeds found outside their nests. At the same time, they also act as ferocious predators as they encounter to fetch live insects, larvae, earthworms etc. A large colony (both major and minor castes) of Carebara affinis was noticed in the study area, busy to bring soil particles, dry and fresh leaves and small wooden materials and hurriedly burying a fresh frog carcass. When it was first observed they almost covered 90% of animal body with the above-mentioned materials (Fig. 1). The soil covered frog was recovered for observation and photography (Fig. 2, 3). Once the frog was taken away, ants started moving around in the vicinity, but not leaving the place completely. After a gap of ten minutes, frog was kept open a little away



Fig. 1. *Carebara affinis* ant colony covered the dead prey with soil and other materials



Fig. 3. Dead frog uncovered (dorsal view)



High degree of social organization, manipulation of the situation and time management is evident from the event. Similar kind of behaviour was found by Singh et al. (2020) where a study was conducted with rat carcass in which *Carebara diversa* (Jerdon, 1851) had covered the whole rat carcass with soil particles and they were present all stages of decomposition (fresh, bloated, active decay, advanced decay, and dry-remains stage) and it was observed that this activity prevents oviposition or colonization of flies and beetles.



Fig. 2. Dead frog uncovered (ventral view)



Fig. 4. Major and minor *C. affinis* ants cut soft flesh from webbed toes

Fig. 5. Dead frog again covered by *C. affinis* ant colony (observation after 90 minutes)

As per Qin et al. (2019) when there are surplus food materials, Solenopsis invicta Buren, 1972 burry them for future use; but during shortage there will be a hustle to clear as much food possible to the nest. Such cognitive behaviour is common among crows, that, during breeding time, on getting surplus food, or when there is less food and more members around, crows used to hide food and later take away conveniently from the place without fail. Different kinds of hoarding behaviour have been reported in Red Foxes (Fisher, 1951; Henry, 1977), rodents like hamsters, squirrels, birds like Canada Jay and woodpeckers. It is common sight that ants carry dead or live prey in whole or parts, like insects, larvae, fish etc. But hiding behaviour was noticed for the first time and with their cooperative division of labour, they could slowly cut the body parts in to small pieces and the entire frog was taken away to the nest. As it is not possible to carry huge materials to the nest, they used to cut it into pieces and carry them; at the same time, they are intelligent enough to understand the risk of losing it to larger predators like birds or other mammals. That may be the reason to bury the prey. At the same time by burying, it can be preserved for more time from drying up and can prevent from insects.

Diptera or other insect groups could enhance decomposition of the body, so it is wise to hide it immediately, as different studies showed decomposition rate is much slower in buried ones than exposed body (Lundt, 1964; Payne, 1965; Payne and King, 1968). Different species of ants are known for their food storage behaviour like, *Pheidole* or *Pogonomyrmex*, the seed harvester ants, store seeds inside their nest for future use (Judd, 2006; Mackay and Mackay, 1984). It has been reported that *Solenopsis invicta* keep dead preys inside their mount and these dead preys are used in the absence of fresh prey (Gayahan and Tschinkel, 2008). In case of *Solenopsis invicta*

Fig. 6. Entrance in nest like structure through which ant communicated with its prey

only a few individuals and mostly the minor ants of colony participate in burying activity (Qin et al., 2019) whereas in this present study both major and minor castes of *Carebara affinis* participated in food hiding as well as a few individuals seen to tear flesh from the frog's body simultaneously (Fig. 7). This study emphasises the role of *Carebara affinis* in ecosystem as a scavenger, as well as their efficiency through collective and systematic effort to successfully fulfilling the food requirements. *Camponotus compressus* (F.) and *Paratrechina longicornis* (Latreille) were found tending

Fig. 7. Major and minor *C. affinis* ants participate in food hiding behaviour

on various homopterans in this study area thereby emphasising their role as pests, but *Carebara affinis* was never found associated with homopterans, rather they were found engaged in seed dispersal or act as scavenger or predator and this way they help to clean their surroundings. Long term studies in controlled condition are required further to corelate this behaviour in its evolutionary perspective.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the Director, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata for providing help and support. The authority and staff members of the Acharya Jagadish

3

Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden are acknowledged for permitting the study and for all co-operation. The help and support by Sri Arnab Mandi, Central Entomology Laboratory, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata is acknowledged. The first author is indebted to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research for providing financial assistance for conducting research as a part of NET-SRF fellowship and she is grateful to Dr Swarnali Mukherjee, Assistant Professor of Raghunathpur College, Dr Arijit Chatterjee, Faculty of department of Environmental Science, Asutosh College and Dr Longjam Roni Kumar Singh, Post-doctoral Research Fellow Central Entomology Laboratory, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata for guidance.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The authors acknowledge the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research-Senior Research Fellowship (CSIR-SRF) scheme.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Field study, identification and photography were done by PD, Manuscript preparation and editing were done by SS and PD, whole supervision of the work was done by SS.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Agosti D, M Maryati, C Y C Arthur. 1994. Has the diversity of tropical ant fauna been underestimated? An indication from leaf litter studies in a West Malaysian lowland rain forest. Tropical Biodiversity 2: 270-275.

AntWeb. 2023. https://www.antweb.org. Accesssed 16 February 2023.

AntWiki. 2023. https://www.antwiki.org/wiki/India. Accessed on 16 February 2023. Bharti H, Guénard B, Bharti M, Economo E P, 2016. An updated checklist

Research Article

- of the ants of India with their specific distributions in Indian states (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). ZooKeys 551: 1-83.
- Bruhl C A, Mohamed M, Linsenmair K E. 1999. Altitudinal distribution of leaf litter ants along a transect in primary forest on Mount Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia. Journal of Tropical Ecology 15: 265-267.
- Fisher H I. Notes of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Missouri. 1951. Journal of Mammalogy 32: 296-299.
- Gayahan G G, Tschinkel W R. 2008. Fire ants, *Solenopsis invicta*, dry and store insect pieces for later use. Journal of Insect Science 8: 39.
- Henry J D. 1977. The use of urine marking in the scavenging behaviour of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). Behaviour 61: 82-105.
- Judd T M. Relationship between food stores and foraging behavior in *Pheidole ceres* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). 2006. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 99: 398-406.
- Liefke C, Dorow W H O, Holldobler B, Maschwitz U. 1998. Nesting and food resources of syntopic species of the ant genus *Polyrhachis* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) in West-Malaysia. Insectes Sociaux 45: 411-425.
- Lundt H. 1964. Ecological observations about the invasion of insects into carcasses buried in soil. Pedobiologia 4: 158-80.
- Mackay W P, Mackay E E. 1984. Why do harvester ants store seeds in their nests? Sociobiology 9: 31-47.
- Narendra A, Kumar S. 2006. On a trail with ants: A handbook of the ants of Peninsular India. Self published, Bangalore. pp. 1-193.
- Payne J A. 1965. A summer carrion study of the baby pig *Sus scrofa* Linnaeus. Ecology 46: 592.
- Payne J A, King E W. Arthropod succession and decomposition of buried pigs. 1968. Nature 219: 1180-181.
- Qin W, Chen X, Hooper-Bui L M, Cai J, Wang L, Sun Z, Wen X, Wang C. 2019. Food-burying behavior in red imported fire ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). PeerJ 7.
- Singh S, Abdullah N A B, Carbaugh J, Heo C C. 2020. Ants associated with a rat carcass: its implications in forensic entomology with special emphasis on *Carebara diversa* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). International Journal of Tropical Insect Science.
- Steghaus-Kovac S, Maschwitz U. 1993. Predation on earwings-a novel diet specialization within the genus Leptogenys (Formicidae, Ponerinae). Insectes Sociaux 40: 337-340.
- Stradling D J. 1974. Food and feeding habits of ants. In Production Ecology of Ants and Termites M V Brian (ed), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp. 81-106.

(Manuscript Received: May, 2023; Revised: September, 2023; Accepted: September, 2023; Online Published: September, 2023) Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e23282