



WHITE GRUB (*LEUCOPHOLIS CONEOPHORA* BURMEISTER) MANAGEMENT IN MID-HILL REGION OF MEGHALAYA

DEVINA SERAM^{1*}, KANCHAN SAIKIA², HAABIJAM JAMES WATT³ AND AQUINY B T MAWTHOH¹

¹Department of Entomology, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University,
Phagwara 144402, Punjab, India

²Department of Agricultural Entomology, ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Assam, India

³Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, School of Agriculture,
Lovely Professional University, Phagwara 144402, Punjab, India

*Email: devnah@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0002-6093-1240

ABSTRACT

White grubs are one of the most damaging soil insect pests inflicting economic losses in groundnut cultivation in India. The white grub *Leucopholis coneophora* (Burmeister) is a polyphagous pest of coconut and intercrops. However, in the mid-hill region of Meghalaya, the occurrence of this species was recorded, which calls for an investigation. Also, there is a need to develop location-specific and easily adoptable climate-ready technologies before it attains pest status. In this context, a field experiment was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of few insecticides and bioformulations with different methods of application. Results showed that seed treatment with imidacloprid 17.8SL @ 3ml/ kg seeds proved to be the most effective by exhibiting the lowest grub incidence (0.17 grubs/ 12 sq m plot) and minimum plant infestation (1.82%). For bioformulations, field spraying with *Metarrhizium anisopliae* (1×10^8 spores/ml @5ml/ l) was found to be superior over *Beauveria bassiana* at the same dose. These results will aid in formulating an ecofriendly IPM strategy for white grubs in this climate-resilient region of India.

Key words: Entomopathogenic fungi, biopesticides, efficacy, hill agriculture, *Arachis hypogaea*, *Leucopholis coneophora*, ecofriendly management, imidacloprid, seed treatment, *Metarrhizium anisopliae*, *Beauveria bassiana*

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), belonging to the family Fabaceae, is an important oilseed crop. After China, India is the world's second-largest producer and consumer of groundnut. In India, groundnuts are grown to produce 6.69 mt across 4.81 million ha (Anonymous, 2019; Yadav and Baloda, 2022). In the northeastern region (NER) of India, it is a non-traditional crop, but the average production suggests that the region has a tremendous potential. It has been reported that roughly 4000 ha was used for groundnut cultivation in the northeast region (Munda et al., 2006; Patel et al., 2020). Among the various biotic and abiotic constraints, infestation by insect pests is the most important (Umeh et al., 2001). A dozen insects feed on various groundnut parts; where half of these species are associated with soil and occur sporadically (Majumdar, 1997). Of these soil pests, white grubs present a major problem (Anitha et al., 2005; Anitha et al., 2006; Chandel et al., 2021). White grubs, also called as root grubs, (Scarabaeidae: Coleoptera) are considered as "national pests", which are prevalent in most of the Indian agroecological regions. Out of 1500 species recorded in India, 45 species are reported to be serious pests (Sreedevi

et al., 2017; Chandel et al., 2021). White grubs are economically significant mostly due to the feeding activities of third instar grub (Chandel et al., 2015). Many of its species are associated with groundnut damage; *Holotrichia serrata* (F.), *H. consanguinea* (Blanchard), and *Leucopholis lepidophora* (Blanchard) are the predominant in North-Eastern Hill regions (Thakur et al., 2001; Shylesha et al., 2006).

Studies on the ecology and behaviour of melolonthid root grubs in India have mostly focused on *Holotrichia* sp. and other white grub species. Literature pertaining to this particular *L. coneophora* species is meager and information on their seasonal incidence, activity, and management tactics is limited from India (Seram and Saikia, 2015). Hence, an experiment was conducted on the ecofriendly management of white grubs on groundnut under field conditions. The indiscriminate use of pesticides to control this pest has upset the natural ecological balance (Pandey and Kanujia, 2005). The use of ecofriendly insecticides as an important and alternate control practice. Among microbials, entomopathogenic fungi like *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin

(Sandhu et al., 2001; Monika et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2022), *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin (Patel et al., 2022) and *Nomuraea rileyi* (Farlow) (Tang et al., 1999) have shown excellent potential. These mycoinsecticides based deuteromycetous fungi have been considered as the most suitable bioagents (Patel et al., 2020). Although several workers have tested various insecticides applied before sowing and as a seed treatment against white grubs (Anitha et al., 2005), very little information is available on the management of white grubs on standing crops (Rajkumar et al., 2022) Considering the potential of this species becoming a pest in this region, the present field experiment was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of some commonly used insecticides and ecofriendly bioformulations against *L. coneophora* in groundnut.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was undertaken at the Entomology field, Division of Crop Improvement, ICAR-RC NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya during kharif (2018) on groundnut (variety JL-24). The white grub species was identified by Dr. V.V. Ramamurthy from the Division of Entomology, IARI, New Delhi. The experiment was laid out in RBD with eight treatments including control, replicated four times. The crop was sown in 12 sq m plots with 40 x 20 cm (row-plant) spacing following proper agronomic practices. The treatments included imidacloprid 17.8SL (3 ml/ kg), chlorpyrifos 20EC (25 ml/ kg) as seed-treatment; imidacloprid 17.8 SL (300 ml/ ha), chlorpyrifos 20EC (4l/ ha) as soil drenching; carbofuran 3G (33kg/ ha) as soil application; and *Beauveria bassiana* (1×10^8 spores/ml), *Metarhizium anisopliae* (1×10^8 spores/ ml) as spray application. Insecticides and bioformulations were obtained from Division of Entomology and Division of Plant Pathology, ICAR-RC for NEH Region, Barapani, Meghalaya. Treatments were applied at the time of sowing and on standing crop. Imidacloprid (3 ml/ kg) and chlorpyrifos (25 ml/ kg) were applied as seed-treatment (ST) following Mathur and Bhatnagar (2001), while other treatments were applied on standing crop at 45 days after sowing (DAS). Carbofuran (33 kg/ ha) was applied directly in soil. Liquid formulation of entomopathogenic fungi as foliar spray, soil drenching (SD) with imidacloprid (300 ml/ ha) and chlorpyrifos (4l/ ha) were done along the rows by mixing in pulverized soil following Singh et al. (1999), keeping spray volume @1 l/ plot. Observations were made on initial plant population at 15 days after sowing (DAS); pre-treatment observations on plant population

at 45 days after sowing (DAS) and post-treatment observations on plant infestation at 15 days interval till harvesting. Plant infestation and plant mortality by grubs were converted into % infestation following Mathur and Bhatnagar (2001) and Patel et al. (2022).

At harvesting, three pits (100x100x30 cm) were dug in randomly selected 1 sq m area in each plot and observations on grub population per pit; yield of crop/ 1 sq m and weight of infested pods/ 1 sq m were recorded. Plants showing wilting, stunted growth and failure of crop emergence were recorded and considered as damage caused due to grub given by Metcalf and Flint (1975), Chandel et al. (2021), and Patel et al. (2022). Data were computed to derive mean values and statistically analyzed with ANOVA using SPSS. Plant infestation and mortality data in % were statistically analyzed with angular transformed values. Significant and non-significant results of the variance due to treatment effects were determined by calculating F values. Wherever the variance ratio (F) was significant, the CD was reported at $p=0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Efficacy of eight treatments (including control) against *L. coneophora* grubs was evaluated following the methods given by Singh et al. (1999) and Patel et al. (2020) based on % plant infestation and mortality (Patel et al., 2022), grub population/ plot (12 sq m) and pod yield at the time of harvest. Field effectiveness of insecticides as seed treatment and soil drenching (imidacloprid 17.8SL and chlorpyrifos 20EC) and field spraying with *M. anisopliae*, *B. bassiana* formulations at 45 days after sowing (DAS) were evaluated. Observations were recorded on plant infestation and mortality before treatment and at 45, 60, 75, 90, 105 and 120 DAS. Pre-treatment data were found to vary from 8.54 to 11.15/ sq. m. at 15 DAS but did not differ significantly (Table 1). Imidacloprid seed treatment recorded lowest grub infestation (0.63%) at 45 DAS and was on par with chlorpyrifos seed-treatment (0.99%), however, were significantly different. Imidacloprid (seed treatment) recorded the lowest intertaken (1.03%); *M. anisopliae* treatment recorded 3.65 which did not differ significantly from *B. bassiana* (3.80%). Observations at 90 DAS showed that all treatments differed and lowest plant infestation was in imidacloprid ST (1.51%). The higher efficacy of imidacloprid (seed treatment) than chlorpyrifos seed treatment may be attributed to its systemic nature and excellent translaminar movement (Anitha et al., 2005).

Table 1. Efficacy of insecticides and bioformulations against *L. coneophora* in groundnut

Treatments	Dosage	Pre-treatment plant population (1 sq. m)	White grub infested plant (%)							No. of white grub larvae/plot (12 sq m. area)	Pod yield (q/ ha)
			45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS	105 DAS	120 DAS			
Imidacloprid ST	3ml/ kg	9.54	0.63 (2.27) ^b	1.03 (4.13) ^d	1.39 (5.87) ^e	1.51 (6.12) ^d	1.75 (6.59) ^d	1.82 (6.71) ^c	0.17 ^d	3.472 ^a	
Chlorpyrifos ST	25 ml/ kg	9.40	0.99 (4.04) ^b	1.19 (4.44) ^d	1.55 (6.19) ^e	1.74 (6.58) ^d	2.07 (8.27) ^{cd}	2.32 (8.74) ^{bc}	0.33 ^d	3.392 ^a	
Imidacloprid SD	300 ml/ ha	10.50	6.30 (14.40) ^a	1.07 (4.20) ^d	1.60 (6.30) ^e	2.22 (8.56) ^c	3.09 (10.01) ^c	3.13 (10.09) ^b	0.92 ^c	3.104 ^{ab}	
Chlorpyrifos SD	4l/ ha	11.04	6.38 (14.49) ^a	1.26 (4.56) ^d	1.61 (6.32) ^{de}	2.11 (8.34) ^c	2.40 (7.60) ^{cd}	2.55 (9.19) ^b	0.58 ^{cd}	3.181 ^a	
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> FS	5 ml/ l	11.15	6.14 (14.27) ^a	3.80 (11.08) ^b	4.04 (11.59) ^b	4.31 (11.87) ^b	5.71 (13.55) ^b	7.60 (15.83) ^a	1.75 ^b	1.948 ^d	
Carbofuran SA	33 kg/ ha	10.79	5.98 (11.67) ^a	1.68 (6.46) ^{cd}	2.44 (8.98) ^c	2.97 (9.81) ^c	3.14 (8.76) ^{cd}	3.61 (9.32) ^b	1.08 ^c	2.490 ^c	
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> FS	5 ml/ l	8.54	6.01 (13.93) ^a	3.65 (9.47) ^b	2.31 (8.74) ^{cd}	2.77 (9.50) ^c	3.03 (9.97) ^c	3.18 (10.18) ^b	1.00 ^c	2.684 ^{bc}	
Control (water)	-	9.13	5.74 (13.84) ^a	6.58 (14.53) ^a	7.22 (15.51) ^a	7.87 (16.28) ^a	8.06 (16.47) ^a	8.55 (16.99) ^a	2.58 ^a	1.684 ^d	
SEd±		6.40	1.80	1.67	1.23	0.90	1.46	1.23	0.26	0.22	
CD (p=0.05)		NS	3.58	3.33	2.44	1.78	2.91	2.44	0.54	0.43	

Means followed by same alphabet(s) in a column not significantly different from each other (p=0.05); Figures in parentheses angular transformed; values; ST- Seed treatment; SD- Soil drenching; SA- Soil application; FS – Foliar spray; NS – Non-significant; DAS – Days after sowing

Chaudhary and Dashad (2002a and 2002b) also reported that seed treatment with chlorpyrifos 20EC (@ 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 ml/ kg seed) and imidacloprid (@1, 2, and 3 g/ kg seed) were effective in controlling *Holotrichia consanguinea* (Blanch.) in groundnut and pearl millet. Anitha et al. (2005) demonstrated that chlorpyrifos and imidacloprid seed-dressings were effective against *Holotrichia reynaudi* and *H. serrata* at rates as low as 0.6 and 3 g a.i/kg, respectively. Rakesha (2007) reported that chlorpyrifos 20 EC @ 1.2% as the best when evaluated against *L. lepidophora*.

The soil drenching approach involves applying diluted insecticides directly to the base of the plant base or near the root region (Youssef et al., 2023). Since systemic insecticides can be translocated throughout plants via the root systems, they can also be applied through soil drenching (Mao et al., 2022). Therefore, soil drenching with systemic insecticides before sowing is suggested to be a potential strategy (Resende-Silva et al., 2020). In the present study, soil drenching with imidacloprid and chlorpyrifos each recorded 2.22 and 2.11% infested plants, putting them on par with *M. anisopliae* (2.77%) and carbofuran (2.97%). These findings are consistent with those of Baruah (2005) on imidacloprid and chlorpyrifos. Singh et al. (1999) and Bhagat et al. (2001) also validated the effectiveness of soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20EC against *H. longipennis* on rice. Patil et al. (1991), Veeresh et al. (1977) and Kumar (2016) in chillies in Maharashtra, sugarcane in southern India and soybean in rain-fed conditions of Uttarakhand hills, respectively observed similar efficacy. The incidence of white grubs in the transplanted rice field was reduced up to 1.55% through soil application of chlorpyrifos 20 EC (80 ml/ 200 m² area) (NCIPM, 2008-09) Bhattacharya et al. (2015) observed that chlorpyrifos and imidacloprid before sowing was effective for controlling *Lepidiotia mansueta* (Burmeister) in Majuli Island, Assam, India.

Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) are being considered as promising bio-agents for the control of white grubs. This is because good moisture is present in the soil during the rainy season, which promotes fungal multiplication under natural field conditions (Monika et al., 2011). *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana* have both shown good results for a wide range of soil-inhibiting insect pests (Bhagat et al., 2003; Patil and Bhagat, 2005). Moreover, EPF can attract greater attention than other microbial agents for white grub management. In the present study, *M. anisopliae* field spraying (1.00 grubs/plot) was superior to *B. bassiana* (1.75

grubs/ plot), which was at par with other insecticide treatments (soil drenching of imidacloprid and soil application of carbofuran). This result corroborates with that of Rakesha (2007) and Monika et al. (2011) on *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *B. brongniartii* Logan et al. (1999) found that an isolate of *M. anisopliae* (@ 1x10¹⁰ conidia/ ml) significantly increased sugarcane yield while reducing the number of greyback cane grubs (*Demolepida albohirtum*) after six months in Australia. Similar observations were recorded by Bhattacharya et al. (2008) in Assam. The current findings were also in agreement with Channakeshava (2006) on *L. lepidophora* using *M. anisopliae* in arecanut. Use of insecticides increases the risk of pest resistance (Chandel et al., 2018). Literatures pertaining to the bio-efficacy studies comparing different entomopathogenic (EPF) formulations against white grub species are meagre (Patel et al., 2022). At harvesting, the lowest *L. coneophora* larval counts plot was observed in imidacloprid ST (0.17 grubs/ plot), followed by chlorpyrifos ST (0.33 grubs/ plot) and chlorpyrifos SD (0.58 grubs/ plot) (Table 1). These observations are similar to the findings of Rakesha (2007) on reduction in number of grubs (*L. lepidophora*). Data on pod yield given in Table 1 reveal that pod yield for all treatments differed significantly from control (1.684 q/ ha) except *B. bassiana* (1.948 q/ ha). Maximum yield (3.472 q/ ha) and lowest weight of infested pods (0.126 q/ ha) were recorded in imidacloprid ST plots. These findings are in conformity with that of Anitha et al. (2005) with imidacloprid ST (3.5 g a.i./ kg seed) followed by chlorpyrifos seed-treatment. Among the bioformulations, the application of *M. anisopliae* (1x10⁸ spores/ml) and *B. bassiana* (1x10⁸ spores/ml) recorded groundnut yield of 2.684 q/ha and 1.948 q/ ha, respectively.

In conclusion, suitable management approaches such as seed treatment with imidacloprid and soil drenching with chlorpyrifos evolved from the present study were useful in the management of the white grub, *Leucopholis coneophora* in the groundnut field. In addition, the effectiveness of the bio-formulations (entomopathogenic fungi) can be enhanced through enrichment with various organic amendments, such as vermicompost, castor cake, and neem cake (Patel et al., 2022).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The corresponding author is grateful to the College of Post-Graduate Studies (CPGS), Central Agricultural

University (CAU), Umiam, Meghalaya for financial support in the form of CAU Merit Scholarship. Identification of the white grub species as *Leucopholis coneophora* by Dr. V.V. Ramamurthy, Principal Scientist, Division of Agricultural Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi is acknowledged. The authors acknowledge Dr N S Azad Thakur, Head of Department (then), Division of Crop Improvement, ICAR-RC NEH Region, Umiam for the provision of research materials, experimentation field, and laboratory. Authors acknowledge the anonymous reviewer and journal editor whose suggestions significantly improved the manuscript.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

No financial support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

DS arranged the materials and conducted the entire experiments. KS formulated the objectives. DS and HJW took observations, recorded the relevant information and analyzed the data. DS and ABTM wrote the manuscript, with the guidance from KS.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Anitha V, Wightman J, Rogers J A. 2005. Management of white grubs (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) on groundnut in Southern India. *International Journal of Pest Management* 51(4): 315-322.
- Anonymous. 2019. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, DAC and FW.
- Baruah S. 2005. Standing crop treatment technology. Techniques Developed. Report. All India Network Project on White grubs and Other Soil Arthropods (AINPWGOSA).
- Bhagat R M., Kashyap N P, Viji C P. 2001. Management of white grubs through insecticides. *Pesticide Research Journal* 13(2): 247-249.
- Bhagat R M, Gupta R B L, Yadav C P S. 2003. Field efficacy of two entomopathogenic fungal formulations against white grub in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 65(1): 76-81.
- Bhattacharya B, Baruah A A L H, Purnima D, Bhuyan U. 2008. Field efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorok against white grubs in Assam. *Journal of Biological Control* 22(1): 81-84.
- Bhattacharyya B, Bhagawati S, Mishra H, Gogoi D. 2015. Evaluation of some insecticides against white grub, *Lepidiota masueta* in *Colocasia esculenta*. *Journal of Entomological Research* 39(4): 361-363.
- Chandel R S, Pathania M, Verma K S, Bhattacharyya B, Vashisth S, Kumar V. 2015. The ecology and control of potato white grubs of India. *Potato Research* 58: 147-164.
- Chandel R S, Soni S, Vashisth S, Pathania M, Mehta P K, Rana A, Bhatnagar A, Agrawal V K. 2018. The potential of entomopathogens in biological control of white grubs. *International Journal of Pest Management*. 65(4): 348-362.
- Chandel R S, Verma K S, Baloda A S, Sreedevi K. 2021. White grubs in India. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 83(1): 109-113.
- Channakeshava A. 2006. Bio-ecology and management of arecanut root grubs with special reference to *Leucopholis lepidophora* Blanch. MSc (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Chaudhary O P, Dashad S S. 2002a. Deleterious effects of various insecticides used to control white grubs (*Holotrichia consanguinea* B.) in groundnut cultivars. *Crop Research* 3(1): 164-170.
- Chaudhary O P, Dashad S S. 2002b. Effectiveness of insecticides against white grub (*Holotrichia* sp.) and their deleterious effects on germination in pearl millet. *Crop Research* 23(2): 247-252.
- Kumar P A. 2016. Evaluation of pre-sown application of granular insecticides against white grub (*Holotrichia longipennis*) infesting soybean grown under rain-fed condition of Uttarakhand hill. *Journal of Entomological Research* 40(2): 169-172.
- Logan D P, Robertson L N, Milner R J. 1999. Review of the development of *Metarhizium anisopliae* as a microbial insecticide, BioCane, for the control of greyback cane grub, *Dermolepida albohirtum* (Waterhouse) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in Queensland sugarcane. Bureau of Sugar Experimentation Station, Qld-4807, Australia.
- Majumdar A. 1997. Soil insect pests of peanuts in Alabama. PubID: ANR-1351. ACES.edu
- Mao L, Zhang L, Wang S, Zhang Y, Zhu L, Jiang, H, Liu X. 2022. Application of insecticides by soil drenching before seedling transplanting combined with anti-insect nets to control tobacco whitefly in tomato greenhouses. *Scientific Reports* 12: 15939.
- Mathur Y S, Bhatnagar A. 2001. White grub and its management in the groundnut cropping system. Sharma G, Mathur Y S, Gupta R B L, (eds.). *Indian phytophagous Scarabaeidae and their management*. India. Agrobios pp. 48-64.
- Metcalf G L, Flint W P. 1975. Destructive and useful insects, their habits and control. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited. pp.146-148.
- Monika K, Chandel R S, Mehta P K, Pathania M, Paul Y S. 2011. *Beauveria bassiana*: A potential entomopathogenic fungus for the management of white grubs in Himachal Pradesh. *Plant Disease Research* 26(2):172.
- Munda G C, Bujarbaruah K M, Hazarika U K, Panwar A S, Patel D P, Kumar R, Das A, Singh I M, Vishwakarma A K, Mitra J. 2006. Technology for Oilseeds Production in NEH Region, ICAR RC for NEH Region. Technical Bulletin No. 25. 19 pp.
- NCIPM 2009. Annual Report 2008-09. National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi.
- Pandey A K, Kanaujia K R. 2005. Effect of different grain media on sporulation, germination and virulence of *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin against *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius larvae. *Journal of Biological Control* 19(2): 129-133.
- Patel T M, Baraiya K P, Kaneria P B, Jadav A H. 2020. Efficacy of insecticides against white grub, *Holotrichia consanguinea* infesting groundnut. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 8(4): 759-762.
- Patel P S, Deb S, Rabari P H, Joshi M J. 2022. Field evaluation of the entomopathogenic fungi enriched with organic amendments against *Holotrichia consanguinea* Blanchard (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) infesting groundnut crop. *Egypt Journal of Biological Pest Control* 32: 7.
- Patial A, Bhagat R M. 2005. Field evaluation of some insecticides against

- white grub in maize under mid-hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of Entomological Research* 29(2): 123-125.
- Patil S M, Adsule V M, Khaire V M. 1991. Efficacy of some insecticides against the white grub infesting chillies. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University* 16(2): 276-277.
- Rajkumar, Sujithra M, Subaharan K. 2022. Field evaluation of the entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) for sustainable management of white grub, *Leucopholis coneophora* burm. in coconut. *Indian Journal of Nematology* 52(1):1-6.
- Rakesha H S. 2007. Studies on Arecanut Root grub, *Leucopholis lepidophora* Blanch. and its management by entomopathogenic fungi and plant products. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad.
- Resende-Silva G A, Joseph D A, Guedes R N C, Cutler G C. 2020. Impact of imidacloprid soil drenching on survival, longevity, and reproduction of the zoophytophagous predator *Podisus maculiventris* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae: Asopinae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 113(1): 108-114.
- Sandhu S S, Unkles S E, Rajak R C, Kinghorn R J. 2001. Generation of benomyl resistant *Beauveria bassiana* strains and their infectivity against *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 11: 245-250.
- Seram D, Saikia K. 2015. Weather correlation of white grub, *Leucopholis coneophora* (Burmeister) incidence in mid-hills of Meghalaya. *Life Sciences International Research. Journal* 2(2): 286-288.
- Singh M P, Bisht R S, Mishra P N. 1999. Field efficacy of some insecticides against white grubs, *H. longipennis* infesting rice under rainfed condition in U.P. Hills. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 61(2): 159-164.
- Shylesha A N, Thakur N S A, Pathak K A, Rao K R, Saikia K, Suroshe S, Kodandaram M H, Kalaisekar A. 2006. Integrated management of insect- pests of crops in North-Eastern Hill Region, Technical Bulletin No.19.
- Sreedevi K, Tyagi S and Sharma V. 2017. Species diversity of white grubs (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in the sub-Himalayan and northern plains of India. *Current Science*. 113(2): 322-329.
- Tang T C, Cheng D J, Hou R F. 1999. Virulence of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Nomuraea rileyi* to various larval stages of the corn earworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 34: 399-403.
- Thakur N S A, Shylesha A N, Rao K R. 2001. Major insect pests of agricultural crops and their management in the North-Eastern Hill Region. *Integrated Pest Management and Biocontrol*.
- Umeh V C. 1997. Aspects of socio-ecological studies of groundnut soil pests Farmers' perspective. *International Arachis Newsletter* 17: 42-43.
- Veeresh G K. 1977. Bionomics and control of white grubs in India. *Sugar News* 9: 44-56
- Yadava C P S, Yadava S R S. 1973. Field trials with certain commonly used soil insecticides against white grub, *Holotrichia consanguinea* Blanch. Infesting groundnut. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 35(4): 329-332.
- Yadav T, Baloda A S. 2022. Estimation of white grub population and their infestation in groundnut at Jaipur region. *Biological Forum - An International Journal* 14(1): 941-943.
- Youssef S M, El-Serafy R S, Ghanem K Z, Elhakem A, Abdel Aal A A. 2022. Foliar spray or soil drench: Microalgae application impacts on soil microbiology, morpho-physiological and biochemical responses, oil and fatty acid profiles of chia plants under alkaline stress. *Biology* 12(2): 224.

(Manuscript Received: April, 2023; Revised: September, 2023;

Accepted: September, 2023; Online Published: September, 2023)

Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e23257