

RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF DIFFERENT APIS SPP. ON RAPESEED-MUSTARD

JYOTI INDORA*, SUNITA YADAV, YADAV S S¹ and Sunidhi

Department of Entomology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India ¹Directorate of Research, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India * Email: jyotiindora385@gmail.com (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

Abundance of insect pollinators on rapeseed-mustard showed that bees were most abundant on *Brassica rapa* L. var. toria i.e. 15.15, 18.47, 21.02 and 15.11 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min and least abundant on *Brassica nigra* i.e. 8.43, 9.53, 11.30 and 8.47 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min at 1000 h, 1200 h, 1400 h and 1600 h, respectively. At 1000 h, *Apis mellifera* was observed as the most abundant pollinator (13.94 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min) followed by *A. cerana* (11.23 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min), *A. dorsata* (10.50 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min) and *A. florea* (8.30 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min) and the same trend was also followed at 1200 h, 1400 h and 1600 h. The comparative mean abundance of *Apis* spp. on different varieties/ genotypes of rapeseed-mustard revealed that bees were most abundant on variety TH 68 (16.77 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min) and least abundant on Nigra (9.12 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min). Also *A. mellifera* had maximum (15.50 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min) mean comparative density across the varieties/genotypes followed by *A. cerana* (12.90 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min), *A. dorsata* (12.25 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min) and *A. florea* (9.37 bees/ $m^2/ 10$ min). The activity of different honey bees varied with time i.e. maximum abundance of *A. mellifera*, *A. cerana*, *A. dorsata* and *A. florea* was reported at 1200 to 1400 h and it was least abundant at 1000 h and 1600 h of the day.

Key words: Abundance, *Apis cerana*, *A. dorsata*, *A. florea*, *A. mellifera*, pollinator, rapeseed, mustard, *Brassica* spp.

India is the fourth largest rapeseed-mustard producer in the world after European Union, Canada and China. At national level, rapeseed-mustard is cultivated over an area of 6.12 million hectare having production of 9.26 million tonnes and productivity of 1511 kg/ ha (Annonymous, 2020a). This crop accounts about one-third of the oil produced in India, making it the country's key edible oilseed crop. Haryana is the second most important rapeseed-mustard producing state in the country with an area of 0.51 million ha, production of 0.95 million tonnes and productivity of 1830 kg/ha (2016-2017) which is highest in the country (Annonymous, 2020b). Rapeseed-mustard crop in India includes conventionally grown native species, namely brown sarson (Brassica campestris L. var. brown sarson), toria (B. campestris L. var. toria), yellow sarson (B. campestris L. var. yellow sarson), Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czernj & Cosson], black mustard [B. nigra (L.) Koch] and taramira (Eruca sativa Mill) along with non-native species like white mustard (Sinapis alba L.), gobhi sarson (B. napus L.) and Ethiopian mustard/ karanrai (B. carinata).

The different *Brassica* spp. requires external pollinating agents like insects for transfer of the pollen grains from anther to stigma. Among various insect pollinators that visited *B. juncea* flowers, honey bees

viz. Apis dorsata, A. cerana, A. mellifera and A. florea constituted the major proportion (Abrol, 2007a) and reported to be sympatric in existence on rapeseed mustard crop (Chaudhary, 2006). Brassica oilseed crops provide abundant floral resources, producing nectar with relatively high concentrations of sugars and huge quantities of pollen, which makes them attractive to a wide variety of insect pollinators (Thom et al., 2016). Insect pollination ensures uniform ripening and earlier pod setting, increased seed germination and seed oil content in Brassica oilseed crops (Bartomeus et al., 2014 and Abrol, 2007b). Ahmed and Rehman (2002) observed that pollination of rapeseed crop (B. campestris var. toria) by insect foragers gave maximum (9.16 q/ha) yield which was 133.33% higher than the self-pollinated treatment. Manning and Wallis (2005) found that placing hives of honey bees (A. mellifera L.) in canola (B. napus L.) at a density of approximately one hive/ha resulted in 20% seed yield increase, whereas the yield declined in plots located more than 200 m from the apiary. A total of eight insect pollinators belonging to three different orders viz., Hymenoptera, Diptera and Coleoptera were found visiting on mustard flowers. The highest contribution of relative abundance (%) and average insect population was observed in the order Hymenoptera as 84.64% with 22.15 insect pollinators/ m^2/min , respectively (Singh et al., 2018).

The information available on the abundance of different *Apis* spp. on new genotypes of various *Brassica* spp. is very meager. Besides the research on the resource partitioning by *Apis* spp. on various *Brassica* spp. has not been fully exploited. Therefore, to bridge over the gap of information, the present study was planned to study abundance of major insect pollinator i.e. *Apis* spp. on *Brassica juncea*, *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, *B. carinata*, *B. nigra* and *Eruca sativa*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was executed during 2019-20 in the research area of Oilseeds Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana. It lies in the semi-arid, subtropics at 29°10' N latitude and 75°46' E longitude and at an altitude of 215.2 meters above mean sea level.

Eleven genotypes of different *Brassica* spp. of rapeseed-mustard selected for present study. The cultivars studied are RH 725, RH 0502, DRMRIJ-31 (GIRIRAJ) and RCH-1 of *B. juncea* L., HNS 0901 of *B. napus* L., YSH-401, BSH-1 and TH 68 of *B. rapa* L., HC-212 of *B. carinata* Braun, NIGRA of *B. nigra* Koch and T27 of *Eruca sativa* Mill.

All genotypes were sown and raised as per recommended Package of Practices of CCS HAU, Hisar. The sowing was done on 13th October 2019 with three replications. The abundance of major flower pollinators on different Brassica spp. flowers was recorded by visual observations from per square meter area of crop for 10 minutes for three consecutive sunny days at twohour interval starting from 1000 to 1600 h using a hand tally counter. Data obtained were analyzed subjecting to randomized block design using online software OPSTAT. The weather data for parameters such as relative humidity, atmospheric temperature, rainfall, sunshine hours and wind speed was collected from the observatory of the Department of Agro-meteorology, CCS HAU, Hisar and was correlated with foraging behavior of different Apis spp. The data was statistically analyzed using Pearson's method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Abundance of *Apis* spp. at 1000 h on various rapeseed-mustard varieties/genotypes

At 1000 h (Table 1), bees were most abundant on variety TH 68 (15.15 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min) and least abundant on Nigra, T-27 (8.43 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min). The mean density of *A. mellifera* was maximum (13.94 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) followed by A. cerana (11.23 bees/m²/10 min), A. dorsata (10.50 bees/m²/10 min) and A. florea $(8.30 \text{ bees/m}^2/10 \text{ min})$ and all varied statistically from each other. A. mellifera population was found maximum on variety TH 68 (22.93 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and the population varied from 10.20-16.87 bees/ $m^2/10$ min in all other varieties/ genotypes with significant variation. A. cerana population vary from 8.87-14.47 bees/ $m^2/10$ min and all these varieties/ genotypes vary significantly from each other except genotype HNS 0901 which was found statistically at par with variety T-27. A. dorsata was most abundant on genotype HC-212 (15.20 bees/ $m^2/10$ min). Further, the population of A. florea was most abundant on variety TH 68 (11.33 bees/ $m^2\!/$ 10 min) and its population varied from 5.00-10.53 bees/ m²/ 10 min on rest of the varieties/genotypes.

Abundance of *Apis* spp. at 1200 h on various rapeseed-mustard varieties/genotypes

At 1200 h (Table 2), bees were most abundant on variety TH 68 (18.47 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and least abundant in Nigra (9.53 bees/ $m^2/10$ min). The mean density of A. mellifera was maximum (16.76 bees/m²/ 10 min) followed by A. cerana (13.87 bees/ $m^2/10$ min), A. dorsata (12.91 bees/m²/10 min) and A. florea $(10.15 \text{ bees}/\text{ m}^2/10 \text{ min})$ and all vary statistically from each other. Here, A. mellifera population was found maximum on variety TH 68 (26.80 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and the population in all other varieties/ genotypes varied non-significantly from 13.27-19.80 bees/m²/10 min. A. cerana was most abundant on variety TH 68 (18.33 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) and their population varied from 7.47-17.00 bees/m²/10 min in different varieties/ genotypes. Population of A. dorsata was higher on variety BSH-1 (19.20 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and it varied from 9.93-14.60 bees/ m²/ 10 min on other varieties/ genotypes. A. florea was most abundant on variety TH 68 (14.13 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) and its population in rest of the varieties/genotypes varied non-significantly from 6.80-12.53 bees/ m²/ 10 min.

Abundance of *Apis* spp. at 1400 h on various rapeseed-mustard varieties/genotypes

At 1400 h (Table 3), bees were most abundant on variety TH 68 and least abundant in Nigra (11.30 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min). The mean density of *A. mellifera* was maximum (19.57 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min) followed by *A. cerana* (15.87 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min), *A. dorsata* (14.89 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min) and *A. florea* (11.54 bees/ $m^2/$ 10 min) and all varied statistically from each other. *A. mellifera* population was found maximum on variety TH

					No of he	mev hees/ m	^{2/} 10 min					
Pollinator	RH 725	RH 0502	1060 SNH	YSH 401	BSH-1	TH 68	HC-212	NIGRA	T-27	GIRIRAJ	RCH-1	Mean
A malliford	15.60*	12.40	16.40	16.87	13.53	22.93	11.00	10.20	10.53	11.53	12.67	13.97
a. menyer u	$(4.07)^{**}$	(3.65)	(4.17)	(4.22)	(3.81)	(4.89)	(3.46)	(3.34)	(3.39)	(3.54)	(3.70)	(3.84)
V concrud	10.60	12.07	8.93	11.67	9.27	13.67	12.60	7.20	8.87	14.20	14.47	11.23
A. Cerunu	(3.40)	(3.61)	(3.15)	(3.55)	(3.20)	(3.82)	(3.68)	(2.86)	(3.14)	(3.90)	(3.93)	(3.48)
1 doucata	11.13	9.87	9.53	8.67	14.87	12.67	15.2	9.40	9.13	7.53	7.53	10.50
A. dorsata	(3.48)	(3.29)	(3.24)	(3.10)	(3.98)	(3.69)	(4.02)	(3.22)	(3.18)	(2.92)	(2.92)	(3.37)
A Acres	7.67	8.00	8.20	10.00	9.47	11.33	10.53	6.93	7.47	6.73	5.00	8.30
A. JUITEU	(2.94)	(3.00)	(3.03)	(3.31)	(3.23)	(3.51)	(3.39)	(2.81)	(2.91)	(2.78)	(2.45)	(3.04)
Magn	11.25	10.58	10.77	11.80	11.78	15.15	12.33	8.43	9.00	10.00	9.92	
INICALL	(3.48)	(3.39)	(3.40)	(3.55)	(3.56)	(3.98)	(3.64)	(3.06)	(3.16)	(3.29)	(3.25)	
CD (p=0.05)	(0.203)	(0.035)	(0.039)	(0.028)	(0.048)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.032)	(0.037)	(0.115)	(0.123)	(0.023)
SE (m)	0.057	0.01	0.011	0.008	0.014	0.008	0.011	0.009	0.01	0.032	0.035	0.008
CD (p=0.05) fo SF (m)	r varieties/gen	lotypes				(0.037)						
	,	- - -	[- 		-	21222						
	Table 2. A	bundance o	f <i>Apis</i> spp. 0	n different	varieties/ge	notypes of	rapeseed-m	ustard at 12	00 h at His	ar during 20	19-20	
					No. of hc	m /sees/ m	² / 10 min					
Pollinator	RH 725	RH 0502	1060 SNH	YSH 401	BSH-1	TH 68	HC-212	NIGRA	T-27	GIRIRAJ	RCH-1	Mean
A mallifour	18.93*	15.80	19.73	19.80	16.60	26.80	13.53	12.53	13.27	13.40	13.93	16.76
A. menyera	$(4.46)^{**}$	(4.09)	(4.55)	(4.56)	(4.19)	(5.27)	(3.81)	(3.67)	(3.78)	(3.80)	(3.86)	(4.19)
V concred	13.47	13.73	13.33	15.27	13.00	18.33	15.07	7.47	9.53	16.40	17.00	13.87
A. Cerunu	(3.80)	(3.83)	(3.78)	(4.03)	(3.74)	(4.39)	(4.00)	(2.90)	(3.25)	(4.17)	(4.24)	(3.83)
A dougata	13.60	12.07	12.40	10.80	19.20	14.60	17.67	10.47	11.33	9.93	9.93	12.91
n. uursuuu	(3.82)	(3.61)	(3.65)	(3.43)	(4.49)	(3.94)	(4.32)	(3.38)	(3.51)	(3.31)	(3.31)	(3.71)
A Acres	9.47	9.47	11.27	11.93	11.93	14.13	12.53	7.67	8.27	8.13	6.80	10.15
A. Juoreu	(3.23)	(3.23)	(3.49)	(3.59)	(3.59)	(3.87)	(3.67)	(2.94)	(3.04)	(3.02)	(2.79)	(3.32)
Mean	13.87	12.77	14.18	14.45	15.18	18.47	14.70	9.53	10.60	11.97	11.92	
INTCALL	(3.83)	(3.70)	(3.88)	(3.91)	(4.01)	(4.38)	(3.96)	(3.23)	(3.39)	(3.57)	(3.55)	
CD (p=0.05)	(0.164)	(0.022)	(0.043)	(0.051)	(0.051)	(0.051)	(0.038)	(0.14)	(0.085)	(0.148)	(0.138)	(0.035)
SE (m)	0.046	0.006	0.012	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.04	0.024	0.042	0.039	0.013
CD (p=0.05) fo SE (m)	r varieties/gen	lotypes				(0.059) 0.021						
*Each value repre	sents a mean c	of 5 observati	ons; **Figures	s in parenthes	es are the me	ans of $\sqrt{n+1}$ ti	ransformation					
			2									

Relative abundance of different *Apis* spp. On rapeseed-mustard 103 Jyoti Indora et al.

	Table 3. A	bundance o	f <i>Apis</i> spp. o	n different v	varieties/ ge	enotypes of	rapeseed-m	ustard at 14	00 h at His	sar during 20	19-20	
Dollington					No. of ho	mey bees/m	² / 10 min					
FUILIBIOU	RH 725	RH 0502	HNS 0901	YSH 401	BSH-1	TH 68	HC-212	NIGRA	T-27	GIRIRAJ	RCH-1	Mean
The sub-	22.07*	18.60	23.13	23.47	20.40	30.00	15.87	14.33	15.53	14.87	17.00	19.57
A. menyera	$(4.80)^{**}$	(4.42)	(4.91)	(4.94)	(4.62)	(5.56)	(4.10)	(3.91)	(4.07)	(3.98)	(4.24)	(4.51)
	16.27	16.00	15.67	17.53	15.60	20.53	15.73	8.87	10.93	18.47	18.93	15.87
A. cerunu	(4.15)	(4.12)	(4.08)	(4.30)	(4.07)	(4.64)	(4.09)	(3.14)	(3.45)	(4.41)	(4.47)	(4.09)
1 doucate	14.60	13.73	14.53	12.67	22.4	16.87	20.13	12.53	13.47	11.40	11.40	14.89
A. uorsaua	(3.95)	(3.83)	(3.94)	(3.69)	(4.83)	(4.22)	(4.59)	(3.67)	(3.80)	(3.52)	(3.52)	(3.96)
1 42000	10.53	10.13	11.67	13.80	13.73	16.67	14.87	9.47	9.60	8.33	8.13	11.54
A. Jiorea	(3.39)	(3.34)	(3.59)	(3.84)	(3.83)	(4.20)	(3.98)	(3.23)	(3.26)	(3.06)	(3.02)	(3.52)
Moon	15.87	14.62	16.25	16.87	18.03	21.02	16.65	11.30	12.38	13.27	13.87	
INICALI	(4.08)	(3.93)	(4.12)	(4.20)	(4.34)	(4.66)	(4.19)	(3.49)	(3.65)	(3.74)	(3.81)	
CD (p=0.05)	(0.08)	(0.032)	(0.041)	(0.03)	(0.041)	(0.026)	(0.058)	(0.047)	(0.083)	(0.148)	(0.118)	(0.020)
SE (m)	0.023	0.009	0.012	0.008	0.012	0.007	0.016	0.013	0.023	0.042	0.033	0.007
CD (p=0.05) for	r varieties/gei	notypes				(0.033)						
SE (m)						0.012						

Each value represents a mean of 5 observations; **Figures in parentheses are the means of $\sqrt{n+1}$ transformation

68 (30.00 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) and the population varied significantly from 14.33-23.47 bees/m²/10 min in all other varieties/ genotypes. A. cerana was most abundant in variety TH 68 (20.53 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and their population varied from 8.87-18.93 bees/ m²/ 10 min in different varieties/genotypes and all these varieties/ genotypes varied significantly from each other except HNS 0901, HC-212 and BSH-1 which were found at par. Population of A. dorsata was most abundant on variety BSH-1 (22.40 bees/m²/10 min) with statistical variations and its population varied from 12.53-16.87 bees/ $m^2/10$ min in all other varieties/genotypes. A. florea was most abundant on variety TH 68 (16.67 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) and its population in rest of the varieties/ genotypes varied significantly from 8.13-14.87 bees/ m²/10 min except varieties YSH 401 and BSH-1 which were at par with each other.

Abundance of Apis spp. at 1600 h on various rapeseed-mustard varieties/genotypes

At 1600 h (Table 4), bees were most abundant on variety TH 68 (15.11 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and least abundant on Nigra and T-27 (8.47and 9.31 bees/ m²/ 10 min, respectively) and all these varieties/genotypes were found at par with each other. The mean density of A. mellifera was maximum (14.69 bees/m²/10 min) followed by A. cerana (12.03 bees/ m²/ 10 min), A. dorsata (11.30 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and A. florea (8.74 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and they varied statistically from each other. A. mellifera population was found maximum on variety TH 68 (18.73 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and the population varied non-significantly from 9.13-15.07 bees/ $m^2/10$ min in all other varieties/ genotypes. A. cerana population was most abundant on variety TH 68 (11.27 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) and in all other varieties/ genotypes their populations varied from 7.93-11.00 bees/m²/10 min with non-significant variation except BSH-1, Nigra, T-27, Giriraj and RCH-1 which vary significantly from each other. Population of A. dorsata was most abundant on variety BSH-1 (15.07 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and it varied non-statistically from 7.67-10.33 bees/ $m^2/10$ min in all other varieties/genotypes. A. florea was most abundant on variety TH 68 (9.93 bees/ m²/ 10 min) and its population varied non-significant from 6.07-8.67 bees/ m²/ 10 min in all other varieties/ genotypes except TH 68 and HNS 0901.

Comparative mean abundance of Apis spp. on different varieties/genotypes of rapeseed-mustard

Comparative mean abundance (Table 5) of honey bees was highest in variety TH 68 (16.77 bees/ m²/ 10

					Ź	o. of honey b	ees/ m ^{-/} 10 I.	uin				
Pollinator	RH 725	RH0502	HNS0901	YSH 401	BSH-1	TH 68	HC-212	NIGRA	T-27	GIRIRAJ	RCH-1	Mean
A malliford	13.27*	11.87	15.00	14.53	15.07	18.73	9.67	8.93	9.13	9.73	11.53	14.69
A. menyeru	(3.77) **	(3.58)	(3.99)	(3.94)	(4.00)	(4.44)	(3.26)	(3.15)	(3.18)	(3.27)	(3.54)	(3.92)
1 concerce	9.80	10.60	9.33	10.67	10.20	11.27	11.00	6.40	7.93	11.60	13.33	12.03
A. cerana	(3.26)	(3.40)	(3.21)	(3.41)	(3.34)	(3.50)	(3.46)	(2.72)	(2.98)	(3.55)	(3.79)	(3.58)
A doucata	10.33	9.27	7.87	9.13	15.07	9.87	14.00	7.47	7.80	7.67	7.67	11.30
A. uorsuu	(3.36)	(3.20)	(2.97)	(3.18)	(4.00)	(3.29)	(3.87)	(2.90)	(2.96)	(2.94)	(2.94)	(3.47)
4 Anrea	6.93	7.20	6.20	8.67	8.60	9.93	9.07	6.07	6.80	6.60	5.53	8.74
11. Just cu	(2.81)	(2.86)	(2.68)	(3.10)	(3.09)	(3.29)	(3.17)	(2.65)	(2.79)	(2.75)	(2.56)	(3.09)
Mean	12.13	11.20	11.65	12.64	13.98	15.11	12.87	8.47	9.31	10.33	10.93	
INICALI	(3.58)	(3.46)	(3.50)	(3.65)	(3.83)	(3.95)	(3.70)	(3.05)	(3.19)	(3.33)	(3.40)	
CD (p=0.05)	(0.384)	(0.031)	(0.034)	(0.026)	(0.048)	(0.035)	(0.048)	(0.043)	(0.049)	(0.033)	(0.093)	(0.062)
SE (m)	0.109	0.009	0.01	0.007	0.014	0.01	0.014	0.012	0.014	0.009	0.026	0.022
CD (p=0.05) fo SE (m)	r varieties/gei	lotypes				(0.103) 0.037						
Tal	ole 5. Comp.	arative mea	n abundanc	e of Apis sp	p. on differ	ent varieties	// genotype:	s of rapeseed	l-mustard a	t Hisar durir	ıg 2019-20	
Pollinator						· OI HOHAY ON	~?»/ III / I / II	1111				
	RH 725	RH 0502	HNS 0901	YSH 401	BSH-1	TH 68	HC-212	NIGRA	T-27	GIRIRAJ	RCH-1	Mean
A mallifara	17.47*	14.67	18.57	18.67	14.16	24.61	12.51	11.50	12.11	12.38	13.78	15.50
A. memberu	$(4.30)^{**}$	(3.96)	(4.42)	(4.43)	(3.88)	(5.05)	(3.67)	(3.53)	(3.62)	(3.65)	(3.84)	(4.04)
1 courses	12.60	13.10	11.82	13.78	13.10	15.95	13.60	7.48	9.31	15.16	15.93	12.90
A. Cerunu	(3.69)	(3.76)	(3.58)	(3.84)	(3.74)	(4.11)	(3.81)	(2.90)	(3.21)	(4.02)	(4.11)	(3.71)
A dove at a	12.47	11.23	11.08	10.31	17.88	13.50	16.75	9.97	10.43	12.00	9.13	12.25
A. aursau	(3.67)	(3.50)	(3.48)	(3.36)	(4.33)	(3.80)	(4.21)	(3.31)	(3.37)	(3.60)	(3.17)	(3.62)
A Horea	8.84	8.70	9.33	11.10	10.93	13.01	11.75	7.53	8.03	7.45	6.36	9.37
A. Justen	(3.14)	(3.11)	(3.22)	(3.47)	(3.44)	(3.74)	(3.56)	(2.91)	(3.00)	(2.89)	(2.71)	(3.21)
CD (p=0.05)						(0.036)						(0.011)
SE (m)						(0.013)						(0.004)
Moon	12.84	11.93	12.70	13.47	14.02	16.77	13.65	9.12	9.98	11.75	11.30	
INICALI	(3.70)	(3.58)	(3.67)	(3.78)	(3.86)	(4.18)	(3.82)	(3.17)	(3.31)	(3.55)	(3.46)	
CD (p=0.05) SF (m)						(0.018)						
						(000.0)						

Relative abundance of different *Apis* spp. On rapeseed-mustard 105 Jyoti Indora et al.

min) and lowest in Nigra (9.12 bees/ $m^2/10$ min). The mean density of A. mellifera was maximum (15.50 bees/ $m^2/10$ min) followed by A. cerana (12.90 bees/ $m^2/10$ min), A. dorsata (12.25 bees/m²/10 min) and A. florea $(9.37 \text{ bees/m}^2/10 \text{ min})$ and they varied statistically from each other. A. mellifera population was found maximum on variety TH 68 (24.61 bees/m²/10 min) and the population in all other varieties/ genotypes varied from 12.11-18.67 bees/ $m^2/10$ min with significant variation except genotype HNS 0901 and variety YSH 401 which were found at par with each other. A. cerana was most abundant on variety TH 68 (15.95 bees/m²/10 min) and the population in different varieties/genotypes varied significantly from 7.48-15.93 bees/ $m^2/10$ min except TH 68 and RCH-1 which were at par with each other. Population of A. dorsata was most abundant on variety BSH-1 (17.88 bees/ m²/ 10 min respectively) and its population in rest of the varieties/genotypes (9.97-13.50 bees/m²/10 min) varied statistically. A. florea was most abundant on variety TH 68 (13.01 bees/m²/10 min) and its population in rest of the varieties/genotypes varied significantly from 7.45-11.75 bees/ m²/ 10 min.

The present observations corroborate with earlier findings. Sihag (1990) and Jhajj et al. (1996) recorded that bees started foraging at 0900-1000 h, reached a highest at 1200-1400 h and started reducing at 1500 h and the activity ceased at 1700 h of the day. The similar results were obtained by Vishwakarma and Chand (2017) reported that among the different pollinators A. mellifera was observed most frequent on flowers of mustard which backing 24.94% of pollination in experimental genotypes. Abrol and Bajiya (2017) found that A. mellifera was highest (%) 28.09, 28.31 in comparing 25.10, 25.48 of A. cerana, 18.00, 18.09 of A. dorsata, 8.53, 7.90 of A. florea and 5.55, 5.71 of X. fenestrate. Akhtar et al. (2018) recorded the abundance of managed A. mellifera was maximum (87.76%) followed by A. florea (1.11%) and A. dorsata (0.98%) and peak activity of the insect pollinators was observed at the mid of the day i.e. 12:00 pm. The activity of managed *A. mellifera* started to increase from the third week of blooming (20th Jan. 2015) and reached to maximum in the sixth week (10th Feb. 2015). Pudasaini et al. (2015) observed *A. mellifera* was most dominated and abundant (36.34%); succeeded by *A. florea* (12.45%), *A. cerana* (11.14%), *A. dorsata* (5.68%), *Andrena* spp. (3.71%), *Megachilus* spp. (0.66%).

Correlation of abundance of *Apis* spp. with weather parameters

The abundance of all four *Apis* spp. had nonsignificant correlation with maximum and minimum temperature during the different stage of flowering (Table 6). Correlation between abundance of honey bee species was highest at maximum temperature and it was least at minimum temperature. Relative humidity showed significant positive correlation with abundance of three honey bee species i.e. *A. mellifera*, *A. cerana*, *A. dorsata* but in case of *A. florea* showed non-significant correlation with morning relative humidity and analysis of relative humidity resulted significant positive correlation with abundance of all four honey bees in the evening. Wind speed had significant positive correlation with *Apis* spp. and sunshine hours were showing nonsignificant correlation with different parameters.

The present results are in agreement by Abrol and Bajiya (2017) who found that foraging activity of honey bees was positively correlated with temperature. Akhtar et al. (2018) reported that honey bees showed significantly strong and positive correlation with temperature. Kunjwal et al. (2014) revealed that abundance of pollinators was increased with rising of average daily maximum temperature (r = 0.631) and it also rise with effective sunshine hours (r = 0.696). The variation in results is because, the abundance of floral visitors depends upon weather parameters like temperature, RH, wind velocity, rainfall and many other crop dependent factors, and that varies according

	N	o of honey be	$e_{s}/m^{2}/10$ min	
Weather narameters	1	0. Of homey be		
veduier parameters	A. mellifera	A. cerana	A. dorsata	A. florea
Max. Temp	0.505	0.544	0.554	0.520
Min. Temp	0.411	0.445	0.458	0.431
RH (M)	0.814*	0.817*	0.817*	0.803
RH (E)	0.903*	0.888*	0.885*	0.886*
Wind Speed (km/h)	0.952**	0.942**	0.942**	0.945**
Sunshine (hrs)	0.250	0.299	0.302	0.274

Table 6. Correlation of abundance of honey bees with meteorological parameters

*Significant at p = 0.1 **Significant at p = 0.05

to time and space. Each species was found to have its specific ecological threshold below which activity does not occur normally.

The mean population of the *Apis* spp. exhibited certain temporal peaks and lows reflecting the reward presence, ideal temperature zones and pollination. As the honey bee species' abundance is temporal, so will be the pollination efficiency, that varies greatly amongst these species based on many factors, including body size, loose pollen grain carrying capacity, foraging speed, foraging rate, foraging behavior and overall abundance. It is thus, important to analyze such interactions for individual bees.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar for the provision of necessary facilities, valuable suggestions and encouragement for the current investigation.

REFERENCES

- Abrol D P, Bajiya M P. 2017. Flower-visiting insect pollinators of mustard (*Brassica napus*) in Jammu Region. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 6(5): 2380-2386.
- Abrol D P. 2007a. Honey bees and rapeseed: A pollinator plant interaction. Advances in Botanical Research 45: 337-67.
- Abrol D P. 2007b. Foraging behaviour of *Apis mellifera* L. and *Apis cerana* F. as determined by the energetics of nectar production in different cultivars of *Brassica campestris* var. toria. Journal of Apicultural Science 51(2): 19-23.
- Ahmed B, Rehman A. 2002. Population dynamics of insect foragers and their effect on yield of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L. var. toria). Indian Bee Journal 64(3&4): 1-5.
- Akhtar T, Aziz M A, NaeemMd, Ahmed M S, Bodlah I. 2018. Diversity and relative abundance of pollinator fauna of canola (*Brassica*

napus L. var. Chakwal Sarsoon) with Managed *Apis mellifera* L. in Pothwar Region, Gujar Khan, Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Zoology 50(2): 567-573.

- Anonymous.2020a. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, New Delhi.
- Anonymous. 2020b. The future of India's edible oil industry-how will India's vegetable oil demand shape up by 2030. research. rabobank.com.
- Bartomeus I, Potts S G, Steffan-Dewenter I, Vaissiere B E, Woyciechowski M, Krewenka K M, Bommarco R. 2014. Contribution of insect pollinators to crop yield and quality varies with agricultural intensification. Peer Journal 2: e328.
- Chaudhary O P. 2006. Resource partitioning by different bee species on rapeseed mustard. Journal of insect science 19(2): 171-177.
- Jhajj H S, Gatoria G S, Bakhetia D R C. 1996. Three decades of beekeeping research in the Punjab. National Agricultural Technology Information Centre, Ludhiana, Punjab, 23-27pp.
- Kunjwal N, Kumar Y, Khan M S. 2014. Flower visiting insect pollinators of Brown mustard, *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Cross and their foraging behavior under caged and open pollination. African Journal of Agricultural Research9(16): 1278-1286.
- Manning R, Wallis I R. 2005. Seed yields in canola (*Brassica napus* cv. karoo) depend on the distance of plants from honeybee apiaries. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 45(10): 1307-1313.
- Pudasaini R, Thapa R B, Chaudhary N K, Tiwari S. 2015. Insect pollinators' diversity of Rapeseed (Brassica campestris Var. Toria) in Chitwan, Nepal. Journal of the Institute of Agricultural and Animal Science 73-78.
- Sihag R C. 1990. Differential attractiveness of two cruciferous species to honeybees. In: Proceedings of 11th International Congress of IUSSI, Bangalore. pp. 434-436.
- Singh V, Dubey V K, Rana N, and Chandrakar G. 2018. The relative diversities and abundance of different insect pollinators on Mustard. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 6: 672-676.
- Thom M D, Eberle C A, Forcella F, Gesch R, Weyers S, Lundgren J G. 2016. Nectar production in oilseeds: food for pollinators in an agricultural landscape. Crop Science 56(2): 727-739.
- Vishwakarma R, Chand P. 2017. Foraging activity of insect pollinators and their impact on yield of Rapeseed-mustard. Bioinfolet 14(3): 222-227.

(Paper presented: February, 2021;

Peer reviewed, revised and accepted: April, 2022; Online Published: May, 2023)

Online published (Preview) in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org (eRef. No. NWRABNRG21)