Dol. No.: 10.55446/IJE.2023.1096



Indian Journal of Entomology Online published Ref. No. e23096

# INSECT POLLINATORS OF MUSTARD AND THEIR FORAGING BEHAVIOUR

ADLIN PRAJULA J G<sup>1</sup>, ACHARYA V S<sup>1</sup> AND SYED MOHAMED IBRAHIM S<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner 334006, Rajasthan, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Entomology, C P College of Agriculture, SDAU 385506, Gujarat, India \*Email: adlinprajula@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0000-0001-9393-2285

### **ABSTRACT**

Mustard provides both nectar and pollen, so it is attractive to various insect visitors. To study the diversity and foraging behaviour, mustard crop was grown at the Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner. It was revealed that a total of 31 species belonging to 16 families of six orders visited flowers. *Apis florea* showed the peak activity at 13.00 hr (12.44 bees/10 plants/5 min) and foraging rate (14.49 flowers visited/5 min). *Eristalinus megacephalus* showed peak activity at 13.00 hr (9.06 insect visitors/10 plants/5 min) and foraging rate (12.37 flowers visited/5 min) and *Halictus* sp showed peak activity at 14.00 hr (8.69 insect visitors/10 plants/5 min) and foraging rate (6.30 flowers visited/5 min).

**Key words:** Mustard, insect visitors, diversity, foraging behaviour, pollinators, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, Diptera, entomophily, Brassica, honey bees, hover fly, house fly, carpenter bee, blow fly, sand bee, sweat bee

Pollination improves the quality of most crop species, making agricultural production one of the most important economic sectors (Choi and Jung, 2015). Out of 95% cross pollinated crops, 10% rely on wind pollination and 85% on animal pollination (Tewari and Singh, 1983), with insect pollination (entomophily) accounting for 90% of animal pollination (Buchmann and Nabhan, 1996). Pollinators play an important role in both natural and agroecological ecosystem services. Pollinating insects mainly belongs to three orders: Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera, and Diptera (Jadhav et al., 2018). Among the hymenopterans, honey bees are the predominent insect pollinators succeeded by wasps and flies (Bashir et al., 2018). Mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern) is the most widely grown oilseed crop, accounting for approximately 28.6% of total oilseed production. Plants in the genus Brassica, like many others in the crucifer family, are known to be very attractive to insect pollinators, serving primarily as a source of nectar and pollen (Kunjwal et al., 2014). So far, honey bees have been regarded the most important pollinators on Brassica crops (Khan and Ghramh, 2021). Diversity is the basis for human survival. The composition and richness of species assemblages also strongly influences ecosystem functioning and stability. The degree of pollination mainly depends on foraging speed, foraging rate, strength and diversity of pollinators. Foraging ecology is one of important parameter in successful pollination and is variable from

one pollinator species to other, even within the species. The present investigations were undertaken to study insect pollinator diversity and its foraging activity in mustard.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The diversity of insect visitors/ pollinators on mustard was observed daily on ten randomly selected plants for five min at hourly interval from 06.00 to 18.00 hr throughout the flowering period. The insects visiting flowers were collected with sweep nets, mounted and got identified and the diversity was measured using Shannon-Weiner diversity index. The temporal variations (foraging time) were recorded for 5 min from 06.00 hr to 18.00 hr for major pollinator species at hourly interval and their variations in foraging activities at different timings were expressed as mean number of pollinators/ten plants/five min. The foraging rate (number of flowers visited by foraging species/ five min) was recorded at peak foraging hour of respective forager species during entire flowering period and average number of flowers visited by pollinator species was expressed as number of flowers visited by a pollinator/ five min. The foraging speed (time spent by each visitor on flower) were recorded with the help of a stopwatch during the peak foraging hour. The time spent to insert the proboscis and suck up the nectar or brushing/collecting pollen were considered as the time spent/ flower, which were

recorded with a stopwatch. The data were subjected to oneway ANOVA using the SPSS computer program (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Insect visitors documented from mustard revealed a total of 31 species belonging to 16 families of six orders (Table 1). The hymenopterans were the major floral visitors comprising of 11 species viz., *Apis florea* F., *Trigona* sp., *Apis dorsata* F., *Xylocopa auripennis, Xylocopa aestuans* L., *Xylocopa sp.* (Apidae), *Andrena* sp. (Andrenidae), *Halictus* sp. (Halictidae) *Camponotus compressus* F. (Formicidae), *Polistes olivaceus, Vespa orientalis* L. (Vespidae). They were followed by Lepidoptera which comprised

of six species from three families viz., Pieris brassicae L., Anaphaeis aurota, Cepora nerissa (Pieridae), Danaus chrysippus L.(Nymphalidae), Helicoverpa armigera (Noctuidae), Uthesia pulcella L. (Erebidae). Hemiptera included four species from two families viz., Halyomorpha halys, Nezara viridula L, Bagrada cruciferarum (Pentatomidae), Dysdercus cingulatus (Pyrrhocoridae). Coleoptera included three species from a family viz., Coccinella septumpunctata L., Coccinella transversalis, Cheilomenes sexmaculata F. (Coccinellidae). Diptera included six species from three families viz., Eristalinus megacephalus, Eupeodes corolla, Eristalis sp. (Syrphidae), Calliphora sp., Lucilia sericata (Calliphoridae), Musca domestica (Muscidae). Neuroptera included only one species viz., Chrysoperla carnea (Chrysopidae).

Table 1. Diversity of insect visitors/pollinators in mustard

S.No.	Common name	Scientific name	Family	Order		
1.	Indian little bee	Apis florea F				
2.	Stingless bee	Trigona sp.				
3.	Giant honey bee	Apis dorsata F	Apidae			
4.	Carpenter bee	bee Xylocopa auripennis				
5.	Carpenter bee	<i>Xylocopa aestuans</i> L				
6.	Carpenter bee	Xylocopa sp.		Hymenoptera		
7.	Sand bee	Andrena sp.	Andrenidae			
8.	Sweat bee	Halictus sp.	Halictidae			
9.	Black ants	Camponotus compressus F	Formicidae			
10.	Common paper wasp	Polistes olivaceus	Vespidae			
11.	Oriental hornet	Vespa orientalis L	vespidae			
12.	Shield bug	Halyomorpha halys				
13.	Green plant bug	Nezara viridula L	Pentatomidae	Hemiptera		
14.	Painted bug	Bagrada cruciferarum (Kirkaldy)		пенириета		
15.	Red cotton bug	Dysdercus cingulatus F	Pyrrhocoridae			
16.	Hover fly	Eristalinus megacephalus (Rossi)				
17.	Hover fly	Eupeodes corolla F	Syrphidae			
18.	Hover fly	Eristalis sp.		Diptera		
19.	House fly	<i>Musca domestica</i> L	Muscidae			
20.	Blow fly	Calliphora sp.	Calliphoridae			
21.	Blow Fly	Lucilia sericata (Meigen)	Camphoridae			
22.	Lady bird beetle	Coccinella septumpunctata L				
23.	Lady bird beetle	Coccinella transversalis F	Coccinellidae	Coleoptera		
24.	Lady bird beetle	Cheilomenes sexmaculata F				
25.	Cabbage butterfly	Pieris brassicae L				
26.	Ker butterfly	Anaphaeis aurota F	Pieridae			
27.	Common gull butterfly	Cepora nerissa F		Lanidantara		
28.	African monarch butterfly	monarch butterfly Danaus Chrysippus L Nymphalidae		Lepidoptera		
29.	American bollworm	Helicoverpa armigera (Hübner)	Noctuidae			
30.	Sunn hemp moth	Uthesia pulcella L	Erebidae			
31.	Green lace wing	Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens)	Chrysopidae	Neuroptera		

The mean number of insect visitors/ pollinators foraging in 10 plants was recorded for 5 min. The studies on foraging ecology of frequent and dominant insect visitors i.e., A. florea, E. megacephalus, Halictus sp, on mustard flowers at different hours of the day showed variations in activity over time. The A. florea started foraging activity from 9.00 hr and maximum activity was observed at 12.00 to 14.00 hr (9.28 to 11.07 bees/10 plants/ 5 min) with peak activity at 13.00 hr (12.44 bees/ 10 plants/ 5 min). The lowest activity was observed at 17.00 hr (4.74 bees 10 plants/ 5 min). Eristalinus megacephalus started its foraging activity from 10.00 hr showing an increasing trend up to 14.00 hr with maximum activity at 13.00 hr (9.06 insect visitors/10 plants/ 5 min) and later the activity declined thereafter with minimum at 16.00 hr (4.41 insect visitors/ 10 plants/ 5 min) of the day. The foraging activity of *Halictus* sp, was initiated at 11.00 hr and maximum activity was observed at 13.00 to 15.00 hr (6.81 to 6.19 insect visitors/ 10 plants/ 5 min) with peak activity at 14.00 hr (8.69 insect visitors/10 plants/ 5 min). The lowest activity was observed at 11.00 hr (3.81 insect visitors/ 10 plants/ 5 min). No activity was observed during early morning and evening hours. The mean pollinator population over different day hours on mustard flowers ranged from 5.62 insect visitors/ ten plants/ five min (A. florea) to 2.38 bees/ ten plants/ five min (Halictus sp). The activity of Apis florea and Halictus sp was maximum at 13.00 hr which is in agreement with Giri et al. (2018) who stated that foraging activity of A. dorsata was found to be dominant among other pollinators, which foraging activity recorded as maximum in afternoon followed by A. mellifera in forenoon (9.00-10.00 hr). The present results agree with those of Akhtar et al. (2018) who reported that the peak activity of *Apis* spp. at noon. Dalio (2018) observed that highest foraging rate of Apis spp. was at 1200 hr on the parental lines of B. napus Mandal et al. (2018) reported a maximum abundance of pollinators at 11.00 hr of the day. Other related literature revealed maximum foraging activity at 12.00 hr followed by 14.00 and 10.00 hr on *B. napus* at weekly interval (Khan and Ghramh, 2021).

The maximum number of flowers were visited by A. florea (14.49 flowers visited/ 5 min) followed by E. megacephalus (12.37 flowers visited/ 5 min), Halictus sp. (6.30 flowers visited/ 5 min) (Table 2). In Apis florea, the peak foraging hour was at 13.00 hr with mean number of pollinators as 12.44/ ten plants/ five min for E. megacephalus, the peak foraging hour was recorded at 13.00 hr with 9.06 insect visitors/ten plants/ five min, respectively. The peak foraging hour for Halictus sp. was at 14.00 hr. Studies revealed that A. florea visited maximum number of flowers. The present study corroborates with Kunjwal et al. (2014) that A. florea visited 4.2 to 8.5 flowers/min. Yadav et al. (2022) reported that A. cerana foraged 18.20 flowers/ min followed by A. dorsata (17.57), A. mellifera (17.32) and A. florea (6.45).

Eristalinus megacephalus spent maximum time (53 sec) (Table 2). This was followed by A. florea and Halictus sp. The present findings are in agreement with Ahmad et al. (2017) who found that A. cerana spent 6.24 $\pm$  0.12 sec/ apple flower and visited 10.50 $\pm$  0.18 flowers/ min, whereas A. mellifera spent 8.44 $\pm$  0.38 sec/ flower and visited 9.40 $\pm$  0.12 flowers/ min. Yadav et al. (2022) reported that A. florea spent the maximum of 5.3 sec/ flower, which was followed by A. dorsata (1.7 sec), A. mellifera (1.6 sec) and A. cerana (1.3 sec).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author thanks the Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner for providing facilities and scientific help.

### FINANCIAL SUPPORT

No funding

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT**

Acharya V S helpful in monitoring the entire observation and data collection process. Syed Mohamed Ibrahim S helpful in statistical analysis work with IBM SPSS software package. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Table 2. Foraging rate and	forgaing anad	of incost visitors/	nollinators in mustard
rable 2. Polaging rate and	roraging speed	of firsect visitors/	polililators ili iliustaru

Insect visitor/ pollinator	Foraging	Peak	Peak Mean no. of		Time spent on each flower		
species	rate (flowers	foraging hr	pollinators/		(Sec)		
	visited/ 5 min)		5 min	Max	Min	Avg	
Apis florea	14.49	13.00	12.44	51	6	27.66	
Eristalinus megacephalus	12.37	13.00	9.06	53	21	35.36	
Halictus sp.	6.30	14.00	8.69	39	19	26.91	

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmad S B, Dar S A, Pandith B A. 2017. Comparative foraging behaviour of honey bees, *Apis cerana* and *Apis mellifera* on Apple bloom. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5(1): 474-482.
- Akhtar T, Aziz M A, Naeem Md, Ahmed, M S, Bodlah I. 2018. Diversity and Relative Abundance of Pollinator Fauna of Canola (*Brassica napus* L. var. Chakwal Sarsoon) with Managed *Apis mellifera* L. in Pothwar Region, Gujar Khan, Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Zoology 50(2): 567-573.
- Bashir M A, Saeed S, Sajjad A, Khan K A, Ghramh H A, Shehzad M A, Mubarak H, Mirza N, Mahpara S, Rehmani M I A. Ansari M J. 2019. Insect pollinator diversity in four forested ecosystems of southern Punjab. Pakistan. Saudi Journal of biological Sciences 26(7): 1835-1842.
- Buchmann L S, Nabhan P G. 1996. The Forgotten Pollinators. Island Press, Washington DC, USA, 292.
- Choi S W, Jung C. 2015. Diversity of insect pollinators in different agricultural crops and wild flowering plants in Korea: literature review. Journal of Apiculture 30(3): 191-201.
- Dalio J S. 2018. Foraging Frequency of Apis species on bloom of Brassica napus L. The International Journal of Engineering and

- Science 7(2): 28-33.
- Giri S K, Chandra U, Singh G, Gautam M P, Jaiswal R. 2018. Study the abundance of insect pollinators/visitors in rapeseed-mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Journal of Entomological and Zoological Studies 6: 2563-2567.
- Jadhav A, Kolla J S, Rajendra Prasad P. 2018. Insect pollinator diversity and abundance in sunflower ecosystem. Current Biotica 5(3): 344-350.
- Khan K A, Ghramh H A. 2021. Pollen source preferences and pollination efficacy of honey bee, Apis mellifera (Apidae: Hymenoptera) on Brassica napus crop. Journal of King Saud University-Science 33(6): p. 101487.
- Kunjwal N, Kumar Y, Khan M S. 2014. Flower-visiting insect pollinators of Brown Mustard, *Brassicajuncea* (L.) Czern and Coss and their foraging behaviour under caged and open pollination. African Journal of Agricultural Research 9(16): 1278-1286.
- Mandal E, Amin M R, Rahman H, Akanda A M. 2018. Abundance and foraging behavior of native insect pollinators and their effect on mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Bangladesh Journal of Zoology 46(2): 117-123.
- Tewari G N, Singh K. 1983. Role of pollinators in vegetable seed production. Indian Bee Journal 45: 51.
- Yadav S, Jat M K, Yadav S S, Kumar H. 2022. Diversity, abundance and foraging behaviour of pollinators in early sown rapeseed-mustard genotypes. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology 14: 104-112.

(Manuscript Received: February, 2023; Revised: September, 2023; Accepted: September, 2023; Online Published: September, 2023)
Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e23096